Reliability of Distributed Systems

Ex3, due on January version 2 (updated GABCA properties to mention grades)

Graded Asynchronous Binding Crusader Agreement

There are n parties and each party i has an input $x_i \in \{0,1\}$, the goal of the protocol is to output a value $\in \{0,1,\perp\}$ and a grade $\in \{2,1,0\}$. A protocol that solves **Graded Asynchronous Binding Crusader Agreement (GABCA)** that is resilient to f < n/3 Byzantine faults has the following properties:

- 1. Validity:
 - 1. if a non-faulty party outputs $x \neq \bot$, then some non-faulty party had x as input.
 - 2. If all non-faulty parties have the same input value then each non-faulty party outputs this value with grade 2.
 - 3. If a non-faulty party outputs a value with grade 2, then all non-faulty parties will output this value.
- 2. Termination: if all non-faulty parties start the protocol, then all non-faulty parties output a value and terminate. We will reach termination in a constant number of rounds.
- 3. Binding: when the first non-faulty party terminates, the adversary must commit to one of three events:
 - A. No non-faulty will output 0
 - B. No non-faulty will output 1
 - C. all parties will output \perp .

The important aspect of this property is that the adversary has to commit to b (or to all \perp) when the first non-faulty party completes the protocol (before seeing the random coin).

Weak coin

A weak coin with parameter α is a protocol where each party outputs a value $\in \{0,1\}$ such that:

- 1. Agreement: for each $b \in \{0,1\}$, with probability at least α all non-faulty parties output b.
- 2. Unpredictability: if no non-faulty has started the protocol, the the adversary cannot predict if the coin will reach agreement and to which value

Question set 1

- 1. Show that the trivial protocol where each party chooses a coin uniformly at random is a weak coin protocol.
- 2. What is the parameter α as a function of n and f.

Binary Asynchronous Byzantine Agreement

There are n parties and each party i has an input $x_i \in \{0,1\}$, the goal of the protocol is to output a value $\in \{0,1\}$. A protocol that solves **Binary Asynchronous Byzantine Agreement (BABA)** that is resilient to f < n/3 Byzantine faults has the following properties:

- 1. Validity: If all non-faulty parties have the same input value then each non-faulty party outputs this value.
- 2. Agreement: All non-faulty parties output the same value.
- 3. Termination: if all non-faulty parties start the protocol, then all non-faulty parties output a value and terminate.

Question 2

- 1. Provide a protocol for solving Binary Asynchronous Byzantine Agreement using a weak coin protocol and a GABCA protocol.
- 2. Prove all the properties. Hint: your proof should be using all the properties of all the building blocks.

The following code can provide hints but may be incomplete and incorrect.

Party i with input x_{k-1} for round k:

- 1. $(x_k, g_k) = GABCA(x_{k-1}, k)$
- 2. coin = WeakCoin(k)
- 3. If $g_k = 2$ then decide x_k and send $< decide, x_k >$ to all
- 4. If $x_k = \bot$ then $x_k = coin$
- 5. If you hear n-2f decide then decide and send decide
- 6. If you hear n-f decide then terminate
- 7. k + +; goto 1.

One idea: 1. if the adversary chooses to bind to b and the coin equals b for all then the next round all decide due to validity. 2. if the adversary chooses all \bot and the next coin equals b for all then next round all decide due to validity.

GABCA protocol

The following sketch for GABCA can provide hints but may be incomplete or incorrect:

- 1. Send: send < val, $x_i >$ to all parties.
- 2. Echo1: (at most two values)
 - 1. If you hear < val, x > from f + 1 parties and you did not send < echo1, x > yet then send < echo1, x > to all parties.
- 3. Echo2: (at most one value and one \perp)
 - 1. *First time:* if you hear < echo1, x> from n-f parties and you did not send any < echo2, *<, then send < echo2, x> to all parties.
 - 2. *Second time*: if you hear < echo1, x> from n-f parties and you already sent exactly one < echo2, y> with $y\neq x$, then send < echo2, $\bot>$ to all parties.

- 4. Echo3: (at most one value)
 - 1. if you hear < echo2, x> from n-f parties and you did not send any < echo3, *> yet, then send < echo3, x> to all parties.
- 5. Echo4: wait for n-f echo3 messages, then wait for either:
 - 1. < echo3, x > from n f parties, then send echo4 x.
 - 2. < echo2, \bot > from n-f parties, then send echo2 \bot .
- 6. Echo5:
 - 1. if you receive $n-t < \text{echo2}, \perp >$, then send $< \text{echo5}, \perp >$
 - 2. if you receive n-t < echo4, v > then send < echo5, v >
- 7. Output: wait for n f < echo5, v > messages, then wait for:
 - 1. n f < echo(v) > then output (v, 2)
 - 2. at least one < echo5, v> then output (v,1)
 - 3. $n-t < \text{echo5}, bot > \text{then output } (\bot, 0)$

question set 3

- 1. provide a full protocol for solving GABCA.
- 2. Prove all the properties. Hint, try to first understand what each round provides.
- 3. How many bits does each party send in the worst case?
- 4. (bonus) Can you reduce the number of rounds to less than 6 and still keep a total of $O(n^2)$ bits? Make sure the binding property holds.