

# MathTools HW 8

1. **Total variation distance.** Recall that, by definition,  $d_{TV}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}\|_1$ , where  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in [0, 1]^n$  are probability distributions.

(a) Prove the following statement from TA8:

$$d_{TV}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \max_{S \subset [n]} [\mathbf{p}(S) - \mathbf{q}(S)] .$$

*Hint:* Find explicitly the maximizing set  $S$ . Also, remember that  $\sum_{i \in S} (p_i - q_i) + \sum_{i \notin S} (p_i - q_i) = 0$  - explain why.

- (b) Consider the following *hypothesis testing*. One observed a random variable  $X \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  sampled from one of the distributions  $\mathbf{p}$  or  $\mathbf{q}$  - we don't know which, and want to guess with the smallest possible error. A (deterministic) test is a set of outcomes  $S \subset [n]$  such that: (i) if  $X \in S$ , we declare " $\mathbf{p}$ "; (ii) if  $X \notin S$ , we declare " $\mathbf{q}$ ".

Denote by  $\varepsilon_p(S)$  the error probability when the group truth is  $\mathbf{p}$ : namely,  $X$  was sampled from  $\mathbf{p}$ , but we erroneously declared " $\mathbf{q}$ ";  $\varepsilon_q(S)$  is defined likewise. Prove that

$$\varepsilon_p(S) + \varepsilon_q(S) \geq 1 - d_{TV}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) ,$$

and show that there is a test for which there is equality above.

2. **Easy leftovers from TA.** Let  $P$  the transition matrix on an **ergodic** Markov chain.

- (a) Suppose that  $P = P^\top$ . Prove that its stationary distribution is the uniform distribution.
- (b) Denote by  $\mathbf{x}_t$  the marginal distribution of  $X_t$  at time  $t$ . Prove that the sequence  $d_{TV}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\pi})$  is non-increasingly, namely,  $d_{TV}(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) \leq d_{TV}(\mathbf{x}_t, \boldsymbol{\pi})$ .
- (c) Using the notations from TA8, so that

$$\tau(\varepsilon) = \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \tau(\varepsilon | \mathbf{e}_i) .$$

In other words, the "worst" starting distribution in terms of mixing time is one which starts deterministically at some state.

3. Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular, connected non-bipartite graph. As in the TA, let  $\tau(\varepsilon)$  be the mixing time of the SRW on  $G$  to within  $\varepsilon$  TV-distance from the stationary (uniform) distribution. Recall: we proved that

$$\tau(\varepsilon) = O \left( \frac{\log n + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\gamma} \right)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the spectral gap (throughout, let's assume it is small, so that  $\log \frac{1}{1-\gamma} = \Theta(\gamma)$ ). In particular, when  $\varepsilon = 1/\text{poly}(n)$ , for example,  $\varepsilon = n^{-4}$ , we get  $\tau(\varepsilon) = O\left(\frac{\log n}{\gamma}\right)$ . Prove a *matching lower bound* on the mixing time, for *very small target precision*  $\varepsilon$ : namely, show that for  $\varepsilon = n^{-4}$

$$\tau(n^{-4}) = \Omega\left(\frac{\log n}{\gamma}\right).$$

*Hint:* You need to come up with a starting distribution  $\pi_0$ , such that  $d_{TV}(\pi_0 P^t, \pi)$  is large for all small  $t$ . Explain why the following is true: if  $\|u\|_2 = 1$  and  $u \perp \mathbf{1}$ , then  $\pi + n^{-1}u$  is a kosher probability distribution (recall:  $\pi = (1/n, \dots, 1/n)$ ). Now, basically follow the same proof we did in class.

4. **Lazy random walk.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph. Consider the following random walk on  $G$ : suppose that in time  $t$ , you are in vertex  $X_t$ ; you flip an even coin (head w.p.  $1/2$ ) - if it is heads, you stay in  $X_t$  (meaning  $X_{t+1} = X_t$ ), otherwise  $X_{t+1}$  is just a random neighbor of  $X_t$ , chosen uniformly (as in a SRW).

- (a) Let  $P$  be the transition matrix for the SRW (the “usual” random walk) on  $G$ . What is the transition matrix for the LRW (lazy random walk)?
- (b) Show that the stationary distribution of the LRW is the same as that of the SRW.
- (c) Prove that the LRW is always ergodic (even when  $G$  is bipartite!).
- (d) Suppose that  $G$  is not bipartite. Denote by  $\tau_{SRW}(\varepsilon)$  the mixing time for the SRW and by  $\tau_{LRW}(\varepsilon)$  the mixing time for the LRW. Suppose that the SRW on  $G$  is rapidly mixing, in the sense that for every constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\tau_{SRW}(\varepsilon) = \text{polylog}(n)$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is thought of as a *constant*. Prove that the LRW is also rapidly mixing, that is, that  $\tau_{LRW}(\varepsilon) = \text{polylog}(n)$ .<sup>1</sup>

*Hint:* I am sure there are many ways to show this. Here is the one I had in mind (but you can prove this any way you like): Let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be the initial dist. and  $\mathbf{x}_t$  be the dist. at step  $t$ . Show that  $d_{TV}(\mathbf{x}_t, \pi) \leq 2^{-t} \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{t}{i} d_{TV}(\mathbf{x}_0^\top P^i, \pi)$ . Take, e.g,  $t = \lceil \tau_{SRW}(\varepsilon/2) \rceil^2$ ; control the first  $\sqrt{t}$  terms and the remaining  $(t+1 - \sqrt{t})$  terms separately.

---

<sup>1</sup>A nitpicky point: since we're talking about rapid mixing (asymptotics in  $n$ ), in truth we have here a *family* of graph on  $n$  vertices, and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ...