

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/51901111>

A simple calculation of the target height

Article in Archives of Disease in Childhood · December 2011

DOI: 10.1136/archdischild-2011-301095 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

55

READS

6,942

3 authors, including:



Paula Van Dommelen

TNO

181 PUBLICATIONS 4,260 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Yvonne Schönbeck

TNO

33 PUBLICATIONS 1,974 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

LETTER

A simple calculation of the target height

An important goal of growth monitoring in childhood is to identify genetic disorders and diseases. For early detection one requires adequate growth references, frequent measures of height and weight of children and evidence-based referral criteria.

A guideline for short stature was published recently.¹ It has been shown that target height (TH) adds greatly to the predictive ability of this guideline.

TH is a term used for the expected height of a child given the heights of the parents. The calculation of the TH has changed over the years. In 1886, Galton introduced the mid-parental height (MPH) as the average of the heights of the two parents, that is, $MPH = (FH + MH)/2$, where FH and MH denote the heights of the father and mother, respectively.² In 1970, Tanner defined an adjustment for sex on the MPH.³ In the previous Dutch growth study in 1997, the TH was based on Tanner's method with an additional correction for secular trend: $TH(\text{boys}) = (FH + MH + 13)/2 + 4.5 = MPH + 11$ and $TH(\text{girls}) = (FH + MH - 13)/2 + 4.5 = MPH - 2$, where 13 is the mean height difference (in cm) between male and female adults and 4.5 is an estimate (in cm) for the secular trend.⁴ The TH-SD score (TH-SDS) was defined as $TH-SDS = (TH - \text{mean}(\text{final height}) / \text{SD}(\text{final height}))$. In 2000, Cole defined an alternative form with $TH-SDS = MPH-SDS$, which is the average of the height SDS of the two parents.⁵

The previous definitions failed to take into account two important correlations: the correlation for assortative mating ($r(P,P)$) and the parent-offspring correlation ($r(P,O)$). In 2003, Hermanussen and Cole redefined the TH-SDS to $TH-SDS = r(P,O) \times \sqrt{2/(1+r(P,P))} \times MPH-SDS$ such that it accounts for these two correlations.⁶ In the Dutch growth study in 2009, a $r(P,P)$ of 0.19 and a $r(P,O)$ of 0.58 were found. In Dutch children, the TH-SDS definition according to Hermanussen and Cole was then equal to $TH-SDS = 0.7519 \times MPH-SDS$. This formula can be rewritten as:

$$TH-SDS = 0.7519 \times ((FH - 184.0)/7.1 + (MH - 170.6)/6.5)/2 \rightarrow (1)$$

Note that the final heights and SDs from the growth study in 1997 were chosen to calculate the SDS of the father and mother, because parents of children who were born in 2009 were approximately 19 years old in 1997. Furthermore,

$$TH-SDS = (TH - 183.8)/7.1 \rightarrow (2)$$

in Dutch boys and

$$TH-SDS = (TH - 170.7)/6.3 \rightarrow (3)$$

in Dutch girls as the mean final height (SD) of boys and girls were 183.8 (7.1) and 170.7 (6.3) cm respectively in 2009.⁷ As we know that formula (1) is equal to formula (2) in boys and formula (3) in girls, the TH can be rewritten to the formulas:

$$TH \text{ boys (cm)} = 44.5 + 0.376 \times FH \text{ (cm)} + 0.411 \times MH \text{ (cm)} \rightarrow (4)$$

$$TH \text{ girls (cm)} = 47.1 + 0.334 \times FH \text{ (cm)} + 0.364 \times MH \text{ (cm)} \rightarrow (5)$$

If father's height is unknown, we suggest the use of the following formulas:

$$TH \text{ boys (cm)} = 99.9 + 0.492 \times MH \text{ (cm)} \rightarrow (6)$$

$$TH \text{ girls (cm)} = 96.3 + 0.436 \times MH \text{ (cm)} \rightarrow (7)$$

in which we assume a mother-offspring correlation of 0.45 (Dutch growth study, 2009).

For example, let the mother's height of a boy be 171 cm and the father's height be 184 cm. According to formula (4), the TH of the boy is equal to $44.5 + 0.376 \times 184 + 0.411 \times 171 = 184$ cm. If the father's height is not known, the TH is $99.9 + 0.492 \times 171 = 184$ cm using formula (6).

These new formulas provide more accurate TH estimates than formulas that do not adjust for assortative mating and regression to the mean, especially for children with very tall or short parents. They also lead to estimates that are more precise, because the adjustments for the correlations shrink the 95% CI from ± 2.0 SD to ± 1.6 SD. Moreover, the rewritten formulas are easy to use in clinical practice, as only parental heights need to be filled in.

Formulas (4) and (5) are included in the current height-for-age growth charts for boys and girls in The Netherlands. Instead of presenting these formulas on the chart, one can also choose to present them in nomograms. The formulas can also be integrated into an electronic child record system, if available. The formulas can easily be adapted to other populations if the final height of that population is known.

Paula van Dommelen,¹ Yvonne Schönbeck,² Stef van Buuren^{1,3}

¹Department of Life Style, TNO, Leiden, The Netherlands

²Department of Child Health, TNO, Leiden, The Netherlands

³Department of Methodology and Statistics, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Correspondence to Dr Paula van Dommelen, Department of Life Style, TNO, PO Box 2215, 2301 CE Leiden, The Netherlands; paula.vandommelen@tno.nl

Funding This study is supported by the Dutch Ministry of Welfare and Sports.

Competing interests None.

Ethics approval The study was approved by the Medical Ethical Review Board of Leiden University Medical Centre.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Accepted 15 November 2011

REFERENCES

1. Grote FK, van Dommelen P, Oostdijk W, et al. Developing evidence-based guidelines for referral for short stature. *Arch Dis Child* 2008;**93**:212–17.
2. Galton F. Regression towards mediocrity in hereditary stature. *J Anthropol Inst* 1886;**15**:246–63.
3. Tanner JM, Goldstein H, Whitehouse RH. Standards for children's height at ages 2–9 years allowing for heights of parents. *Arch Dis Child* 1970;**45**:755–62.
4. Fredriks AM, van Buuren S, Burgmeijer RJ, et al. Continuing positive secular growth change in The Netherlands 1955–1997. *Pediatr Res* 2000;**47**:316–23.
5. Cole TJ. Galton's midparent height revisited. *Ann Hum Biol* 2000;**27**:401–5.
6. Hermanussen M, Cole J. The calculation of target height reconsidered. *Horm Res* 2003;**59**:180–3.
7. Talma H, Schönbeck Y, Bakker B, et al. *Groeidiagrammen 2010*. Leiden: TNO Kwaliteit van Leven, 2010.



A simple calculation of the target height

Paula van Dommelen, Yvonne Schönbeck and Stef van Buuren

Arch Dis Child published online December 18, 2011

doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2011-301095

Updated information and services can be found at:

<http://adc.bmj.com/content/early/2011/12/18/archdischild-2011-301095.full.html>

These include:

References

This article cites 6 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free at:

<http://adc.bmj.com/content/early/2011/12/18/archdischild-2011-301095.full.html#ref-list-1>

P<P

Published online December 18, 2011 in advance of the print journal.

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Notes

Advance online articles have been peer reviewed, accepted for publication, edited and typeset, but have not yet appeared in the paper journal. Advance online articles are citable and establish publication priority; they are indexed by PubMed from initial publication. Citations to Advance online articles must include the digital object identifier (DOIs) and date of initial publication.

To request permissions go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:

<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>