

A dramatic sky scene with a bright sun in the upper left, casting a warm glow over a sea of white and grey clouds. The sky transitions from a deep blue at the top to a lighter, hazy blue near the horizon. In the upper right, the dark silhouette of an airplane wing and tail is visible, partially obscuring the sky. The overall mood is serene yet powerful, suggesting flight and the elements of aerodynamics.

# Wing Flutter Analysis

---

GRAHAM WILSON

# Data

**Table 1:** Flutter Test Bench Data

Test	Setup	AOA	Flutter Velocity
1	C	2.5	37.8
2	D	2.5	25.34
3	B	2.5	31
4	B	5	28.81
5	A	5	27.33
6	A	2.5	26.18
7	D	5	25.97
8	C	5	34.72

\*Velocity Measured in m/s

# Data Cont.



# Questions

---

WHAT PREDICTIONS CAN BE MADE OF THE DIVERGENCE VELOCITY OF THE AIRFOIL FOR THE CONDITIONS WHERE THE SPRINGS WERE AT THE LEADING AND TRAILING EDGES (OPTION B & C).

---

# Analysis

## Step 1: Predicting the Divergence Velocity

### Given Parameters

- Airfoil chord,  $c = 0.1 \text{ m}$
- Spring stiffness per spring,  $k = 0.03 \text{ N/mm} = 30 \text{ N/m}$
- Effective span,  $s = 266.25 \text{ mm} = 0.26625 \text{ m}$
- Number of springs, 4 (two at leading edge, two at trailing edge)
- Air density,  $\rho_\infty = 1.225 \text{ kg/m}^3$
- Aerodynamic center,  $x_{ac} = 0.25c = 0.025 \text{ m}$
- Lift-curve slope,  $C_{L_\alpha} = 2\pi \text{ rad}^{-1}$

### Calculations

#### Wing Area

$$S = c \times s = 0.1 \text{ m} \times 0.26625 \text{ m} = 0.026625 \text{ m}^2$$

#### Equivalent Torsional Stiffness

$$k_{\text{edge}} = 2k = 2 \times 30 \text{ N/m} = 60 \text{ N/m}$$

$$b = \frac{c}{2} = 0.05 \text{ m}$$

$$k_T = 2k_{\text{edge}}b^2 = 2 \times 60 \text{ N/m} \times (0.05 \text{ m})^2 = 0.3 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m/rad}$$

#### Pivot Point Location

$$x_0 = \frac{c}{2} = 0.05 \text{ m}$$

#### Divergence Dynamic Pressure

$$q_D = \frac{k_T}{SC_{L_\alpha}(x_0 - x_{ac})} = \frac{0.3}{0.026625 \times 2\pi \times 0.025} \approx 71.658 \text{ N/m}^2$$

---

# Analysis

## Divergence Velocity

$$U_D = \sqrt{\frac{2q_D}{\rho_\infty}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 71.658}{1.225}} \approx 10.8 \text{ m/s}$$

The predicted divergence velocity for the configuration with springs at the leading and trailing edges is approximately 10.8 m/s. However, experimental observations indicated flutter occurring at 37.8 m/s, suggesting possible discrepancies due to factors such as additional structural stiffness and/or simplifications in the theoretical model.

# Question

---

- WHAT DO YOU THINK THE EFFECT OF MOVING THE SPRINGS TO THE OTHER TWO POSITIONS (A & D) WILL BE?
  - BASED ON THE PRELAB, A & D SHOULD DECREASE THE VELOCITY REQUIRED TO REACH FLUTTER

---

# Analysis

- How do your observations match the predictions?
  - The data and observations match the prediction made as both Option A & D required less velocity to cause flutter for AOA: 2.5 and AOA: 5.0 as shown in Slides 2 and 3 [Data & Data Cont.]



# Question

---

WHAT IS THE PREDICTED DIVERGENCE VELOCITY FOR THE DIFFERENT SPRING POSITIONS BY TREATING THE SPRING ARRANGEMENT AS A TORSIONAL SPRING?

# Answer

## Option A Calculations

### 1. Calculate $x_0$ and $b$

$$x_0 = \frac{x_{\text{front}} + x_{\text{rear}}}{2} = \frac{0 + 0.1}{2} = 0.05 \text{ m}$$

$$l = x_{\text{rear}} - x_{\text{front}} = 0.1 - 0 = 0.1 \text{ m}$$

$$b = \frac{l}{2} = \frac{0.1}{2} = 0.05 \text{ m}$$

### 2. Calculate Equivalent Torsional Stiffness $k_T$

$$k_T = 2kb^2 = 2 \times 30 \text{ N/m} \times (0.05 \text{ m})^2 = 0.15 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m/rad}$$

### 3. Calculate Dynamic Pressure $q_D$

$$q_D = \frac{k_T}{SC_{L_\alpha}(x_0 - x_{ac})} = \frac{0.15}{0.026625 \times 2\pi \times (0.05 - 0.025)}$$

$$q_D = \frac{0.15}{0.026625 \times 6.28319 \times 0.025} = \frac{0.15}{0.004186} \approx 35.836 \text{ N/m}^2$$

### 4. Calculate Divergence Velocity $U_D$

$$U_D = \sqrt{\frac{2q_D}{\rho_\infty}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 35.836}{1.225}} = \sqrt{58.513} \approx 7.65 \text{ m/s}$$

## Option B Calculations

### 1. Calculate $x_0$ and $b$

$$x_0 = \frac{0 + 0.12}{2} = 0.06 \text{ m}$$

$$l = 0.12 - 0 = 0.12 \text{ m}$$

$$b = \frac{0.12}{2} = 0.06 \text{ m}$$

### 2. Calculate $k_T$

$$k_T = 2kb^2 = 2 \times 30 \times (0.06)^2 = 0.216 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m/rad}$$

### 3. Calculate $q_D$

$$q_D = \frac{0.216}{0.026625 \times 6.28319 \times (0.06 - 0.025)} = \frac{0.216}{0.026625 \times 6.28319 \times 0.035}$$

$$q_D = \frac{0.216}{0.005861} \approx 36.853 \text{ N/m}^2$$

### 4. Calculate $U_D$

$$U_D = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 36.853}{1.225}} = \sqrt{60.201} \approx 7.76 \text{ m/s}$$

# Answer Cont.

## Option C Calculations

### 1. Calculate $x_0$ and $b$

$$x_0 = \frac{-0.02 + 0.12}{2} = 0.05 \text{ m}$$

$$l = 0.12 - (-0.02) = 0.14 \text{ m}$$

$$b = \frac{0.14}{2} = 0.07 \text{ m}$$

### 2. Calculate $k_T$

$$k_T = 2kb^2 = 2 \times 30 \times (0.07)^2 = 0.294 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m/rad}$$

### 3. Calculate $q_D$

$$q_D = \frac{0.294}{0.026625 \times 6.28319 \times (0.05 - 0.025)} = \frac{0.294}{0.004186} \approx 70.23 \text{ N/m}^2$$

### 4. Calculate $U_D$

$$U_D = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 70.23}{1.225}} = \sqrt{114.703} \approx 10.72 \text{ m/s}$$

## Option D Calculations

### 1. Calculate $x_0$ and $b$

$$x_0 = \frac{-0.02 + 0.1}{2} = 0.04 \text{ m}$$

$$l = 0.1 - (-0.02) = 0.12 \text{ m}$$

$$b = \frac{0.12}{2} = 0.06 \text{ m}$$

### 2. Calculate $k_T$

$$k_T = 2kb^2 = 2 \times 30 \times (0.06)^2 = 0.216 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m/rad}$$

### 3. Calculate $q_D$

$$q_D = \frac{0.216}{0.026625 \times 6.28319 \times (0.04 - 0.025)} = \frac{0.216}{0.026625 \times 6.28319 \times 0.015}$$

$$q_D = \frac{0.216}{0.002513} \approx 85.946 \text{ N/m}^2$$

### 4. Calculate $U_D$

$$U_D = \sqrt{\frac{2 \times 85.946}{1.225}} = \sqrt{140.395} \approx 11.85 \text{ m/s}$$



# Analysis

- How do your experiment compare to predictions?
  - Option C has the highest divergence velocity ( $UD = 10.72 \text{ m/s}$ ), due to the increased distance between springs, leading to higher torsional stiffness  $kT$ .
  - Option A has the lowest divergence velocity ( $UD = 7.65 \text{ m/s}$ ), with springs at the LE and TE.
- Both predictions align with the predictions in the prelab and the data where C required the most velocity to reach flutter and A (or D) required the least velocity to induce flutter but the experimental observations showed flutter occurring at  $37.8 \text{ m/s}$ , significantly higher than the predicted velocities, suggesting there may be errors in our assumptions.