Table 2. Performance of *k*-fold cross-validation between benchmark (IPCC Tier 1) and knife set (KS) approach

		IPCC Tier 1		Knife Set (KS) approach	
		Mean	SD	Mean ^{2,3}	SD
	AGC ¹ (%)	39.75%	23.90%	23.29%***	24.74%
	BGC (%)	122.12%	140.90%	41.84%**	25.15%
mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) root mean square error (RMSE)	TC (%)	34.32%	23.70%	26.22%	15.61%
	ANPP (%)	172.38%	250.90%	48.92%**	38.53%
	BNPP (%)	505.34%	635.06%	59.30%*	65.84%
	TNPP (%)	328.44%	390.94%	52.35%*	51.45%
	AGC (Mg C ha-1)	31.84	32.45	14.37***	19.75
	BGC (Mg C ha-1)	18.67	22.14	12.96*	13.50
	TC (Mg C ha-1)	30.86	33.53	20.03**	24.21
	ANPP (Mg C ha-1)	6.64	10.91	4.48	6.88
	BNPP (Mg C ha-1)	4.16	5.7	3.12	4.22
	TNPP (Mg C ha-1)	13.41	16.97	8.15	10.36
mean absolute error (MAE)	AGC (Mg C ha-1)	27.92	18.83	11.50***	13.61
	BGC (Mg C ha-1)	13.9	12.63	11.03***	6.89
	TC (Mg C ha-1)	25.08	18.21	16.87*	10.93
	ANPP (Mg C ha-1)	4.11	5.29	3.16	3.22
	BNPP (Mg C ha-1)	3.05	2.97	2.25	2.27
	TNPP (Mg C ha-1)	9.63	9.79	5.79	6.02
mean error (ME)	AGC (Mg C ha-1)	-19.39	27.62	-2.40***	17.70
	BGC (Mg C ha-1)	12.02	14.47	-0.45***	13.12
	TC (Mg C ha-1)	-11.05	29.18	-3.85	19.91
	ANPP (Mg C ha-1)	3.28	5.86	0.38*	4.53
	BNPP (Mg C ha-1)	3.02	3	-0.02*	3.27
	TNPP (Mg C ha-1)	9.63	9.79	3.46	7.74

¹ Aboveground carbon (AGC) (n=77), belowground carbon (BGC) (n=39), total carbon (TC) (n=40), aboveground net primary production (ANPP) (n=33), belowground net primary production (BNPP) (n=11), and total net primary production (TNPP) (n=11).

² Means with different significant codes (*** $p \le 0.001$, ** $p \le 0.01$, * $p \le 0.05$, • $p \le 0.1$) indicate that performance of the KS approach is significantly lower than benchmark by one-way ANOVA or Welch's Heteroscedastic F Test depending on that observation is homogeneity or heterogeneity.

³ Bold black colour presents lower values (higher performance).