Composition Lemma

Edinah Gnang

Parikshit Chalise

Contents

12 '

CHAPTER 1

Composition Lemma

1.1. Overview

The *Composition Lemma* was developed and refined over 6 years, beginning in 2018, as a novel approach to settle in the affirmative the *Graceful Tree Conjecture.* The first of such papers was posted in [**Gna20**] by Gnang. A further developed series of papers resolving the same conjecture again appeared in [**Gna22**] and [**Gna23**]. Recently, the same method has been applied to settle other longstanding conjectures in [**CCG24a**] and [**CCG24b**]. We comment that the series of papers shared on the open-source platform arXiv reflect the evolving landscape of Gnang's thought process, and the frequent re-uploads were driven by the natural progression and refinement of ideas. However, we recognize that these numerous edits may have unintentionally caused confusion and raised questions regarding the success of the method. In the current work, we aim to address these concerns by presenting a detailed blueprint of the proof, with the goal of formalizing it in Lean4.

1.2. Functional Directed Graphs

For notational convenience, let $\mathbb{Z}_n$ denote the set whose members are the first n natural numbers, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Z}_n := \{0, \dots, n-1\}. \tag{1.2.1}$$

For a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \mathbb{Z}_n$, we write $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_m}$. For $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m$, $f(X)$ denotes the image of X under f, i.e.,

$$f(X) = \{f(i) : i \in X\}, \tag{1.2.2}$$

and $|f(X)|$ denotes its cardinality. For $Y \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$, $f^{-1}(Y)$ denotes the pre-image of Y under f i.e.

$$f^{-1}(Y) = \{j \in \mathbb{Z}_m : f(j) \in Y\} \tag{1.2.3}$$

DEFINITION 1.2.4 (Functional digraphs). For an arbitrary $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$, the *functional directed graph* prescribed by f, denoted G_f, is such that the vertex set $V(G_f)$ and the directed edge set $E(G_f)$ are respectively as follows:

$$V(G_f) = \mathbb{Z}_n, \ E(G_f) = \{(v, f(v)) : v \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}.$$

DEFINITION 1.2.5 (Graceful functional digraphs). The functional directed graph prescribed by $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ is graceful if there exist a bijection $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ such that

$$\left\{ \left| \sigma f \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} = \mathbb{Z}_n. \tag{1.2.6}$$

If $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$ (the identity function), then G_f — the functional directed graph prescribed by f — is gracefully labeled.

DEFINITION 1.2.7 (Automorphism group). For a functional directed graph G_f, its automorphism group, denoted $\mathrm{Aut}\,(G_f)$, is defined as follows:

$$\mathrm{Aut}\,(G_f) = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n : \{(i, f(i)) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\} = \{(j, \sigma f \sigma^{-1}(j)) : j \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}\}.$$

For a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]$, its automorphism group, denoted $\mathrm{Aut}(P)$, is defined as follows:

$$\mathrm{Aut}\,(P) = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n : P(x_0, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_{n-1}) = P(x_{\sigma(0)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(i)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n-1)})\}.$$

DEFINITION 1.2.8 (Graceful re-labelings). The set of distinct gracefully labeled functional directed graphs isomorphic to G_f is

$$\mathrm{GrL}\,(G_f) := \left\{ G_{\sigma f \sigma^{-1}} : \begin{array}{c} \sigma \text{ is a representative of a coset in } {}^{\mathrm{S}_n}\!/\!{}_{\mathrm{Aut}(G_f)} \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{Z}_n = \left\{ \left| \sigma f \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \end{array} \right\}$$

DEFINITION 1.2.9 (Complementary labeling involution). If $\varphi = n - 1 - \mathrm{id}$, i.e. $\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ such that

$$\varphi(i) = n - 1 - i, \ \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z}_n,$$

then for an arbitrary $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ the complementary labeling involution is defined as the map

$$f \mapsto \varphi f \varphi^{-1}$$

49   Observe that for all $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ the complementary labeling involution fixes the induced edge label of each edge as seen
50 from the equality

51 (1.2.10) $$|f(i) - i| = |\varphi f(i) - \varphi(i)|, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

52 In other words, induced edge labels are fixed by the vertex relabeling effected by φ. We call this induced edge label symmetry
53 the *complementary labeling symmetry* of the functional directed graph G_f.

1.3. Quotient-Remainder Theorem and Lagrange Interpolation

55   PROPOSITION 1.3.1 (Multivariate Quotient-Remainder). *Let $d(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be a degree n monic polynomial with simple*
56 *roots, i.e.,*

57 (1.3.2) $$d(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (x - \alpha_i) \ \ and \ \ 0 \neq \prod_{0 \leq u < v < n} (\alpha_v - \alpha_u),$$

58 *where $\{\alpha_u : u \in \mathbb{Z}_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. For all $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}]$, there exists a unique remainder $r(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}]$*
59 *of degree at most $n-1$ in each variable such that for quotients: $\{q_k(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) : k \in \mathbb{Z}_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$, we have*

60 (1.3.3) $$P(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) = r(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) + \sum_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_m} q_u(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \, d(x_u).$$

61   PROOF. We prove by induction on the number of variables that the remainder admits the expansion

62 (1.3.4) $$r(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_m}} P(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_g) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \left(\prod_{j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{g(i)\}} \left(\frac{x_i - \alpha_{j_i}}{\alpha_{g(i)} - \alpha_{j_i}} \right) \right),$$

63 where for notational convenience $P(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_g) := P(\alpha_{g(0)}, \ldots, \alpha_{g(m-1)})$. The base case stems from the univariate quotient-remainder
64 theorem over the field $\mathbb{C}$. The univariate-quotient remainder theorem over the field $\mathbb{C}$ asserts that there exist a unique
65 quotient-remainder pair $\big(q(x_0), r(x_0)\big) \in \mathbb{C}[x_0] \times \mathbb{C}[x_0]$ subject to

66 (1.3.5) $$H(x_0) = q(x_0) \, d(x_0) + r(x_0),$$

67 where $r(x_0) \in \mathbb{C}[x_0]$ is of degree at most $n-1$. It is completely determined by its evaluation over $\{\alpha_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$, and by
68 Lagrange interpolation we have

69 (1.3.6) $$r(x_0) = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_1}} H(\alpha_{g(0)}) \prod_{j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{g(0)\}} \left(\frac{x_0 - \alpha_{j_0}}{\alpha_{g(0)} - \alpha_{j_0}} \right),$$

70 thus establishing the claim in the base case. For the induction step, assume as our induction hypothesis that for all $F \in$
71 $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}]$, we have

72 (1.3.7) $$F = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_m} q_k(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \, d(x_k) + \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_m}} F(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_g) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_m} \left(\prod_{j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{g(i)\}} \left(\frac{x_i - \alpha_{j_i}}{\alpha_{g(i)} - \alpha_{j_i}} \right) \right).$$

73 We proceed to show that the hypothesis implies that every polynomial in $m+1$ variables also admits a similar expansion,
74 thus establishing the desired claim. Consider a polynomial $H \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_m]$. We view H as a univariate polynomial in the
75 variable x_m whose coefficients lie in the field of fraction $\mathbb{C}(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1})$. The univariate quotient-remainder theorem over
76 the field of fractions $\mathbb{C}(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1})$ asserts that there exit a unique quotient-remainder pair

77 $$\big(q(x_m), r(x_m)\big) \in \big(\mathbb{C}(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1})\big)[x_m] \times \big(\mathbb{C}(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1})\big)[x_m]$$

78 subject to

79 (1.3.8) $$H\big(x_0, \ldots, x_m\big) = q(x_0, \ldots, x_m) \, d(x_m) + r(x_0, \ldots, x_m),$$

80 where $r(x_0, \ldots, x_m) \in \big(\mathbb{C}(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1})\big)[x_m]$ is of degree at most $n-1$ in the variable x_m. We write

81 (1.3.9) $$r(x_0, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} a_k(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \, (x_m)^k.$$

We now show that coefficients $\{a_k(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) : k \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ all lie in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}]$ via the equality

$$(1.3.10) \qquad \left(\mathrm{Vander} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_u \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_0(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \\ \vdots \\ a_u(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1}(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}, \alpha_0) \\ \vdots \\ H(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}, \alpha_u) \\ \vdots \\ H(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}, \alpha_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$(1.3.11) \qquad \left(\mathrm{Vander} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_u \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_u \end{pmatrix} \right) [i,j] = (\alpha_i)^j, \ \forall \, 0 \le i, j < n.$$

Since the Vandermonde matrix is invertible by the fact

$$(1.3.12) \qquad 0 \ne \det \left(\mathrm{Vander} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_u \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_u \end{pmatrix} \right) = \prod_{0 \le u < v < n} (\alpha_v - \alpha_u),$$

we indeed have

$$(1.3.13) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} a_0(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \\ \vdots \\ a_u(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1}(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \end{pmatrix} = \left(\mathrm{Vander} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_u \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_u \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} H(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}, \alpha_0) \\ \vdots \\ H(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}, \alpha_u) \\ \vdots \\ H(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}, \alpha_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(1.3.14) \qquad H(x_0, \ldots, x_m) = q_m(x_0, \ldots, x_m) \, d(x_m) + \sum_{g(m) \in \mathbb{Z}_n} H(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}, \alpha_{g(m)}) \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{g(m)\}} \left(\frac{x_m - \alpha_{j_m}}{\alpha_{g(m)} - \alpha_{j_m}} \right).$$

Applying the induction hypothesis to coefficients

$$\{ H(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}, \alpha_{g(m)}) : \alpha_{g(m)} \in \mathbb{C} \} \subset \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}]$$

yields the desired expansion. Finally, quotients $\{q_k(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) : k \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$ lie in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}]$ since the polynomial $H(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1}) - r(x_0, \ldots, x_{m-1})$ lies in the ideal generated by members of the set $\{d(x_u) : u \in \mathbb{Z}_m\}$. $\qquad \square$

PROPOSITION 1.3.15 (Ring Homomorphism). *For an arbitrary $H \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$, let $\overline{H}$ denote the remainder of the congruence class*

$$H \text{ modulo the ideal generated by } \{d(x_i) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\},$$

where

$$d(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (x - \alpha_i) \ \text{ and } \ 0 \ne \prod_{0 \le u < v < n} (\alpha_v - \alpha_u),$$

Then the following hold:

(i) *For all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$, we have $\overline{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_g) = H(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_g)$.*
(ii) *If $H = H_0 + H_1$, where $H_0, H_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$, then $\overline{H_0} + \overline{H_1} = \overline{H}$.*
(iii) *If $H = H_0 \cdot H_1$, where $H_0, H_1 \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$, then $\overline{H} \equiv \overline{H_0} \cdot \overline{H_1}$.*

PROOF. The first claim follows from Proposition 1.3.1 for we see that the divisor vanishes over the lattice. To prove the second claim we recall that

$$\overline{H} = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}} H(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_g) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \left(\prod_{j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{g(i)\}} \left(\frac{x_i - \alpha_{j_i}}{\alpha_{g(i)} - \alpha_{j_i}} \right) \right),$$

$$\implies \overline{H} = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}} \left(H_0(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_g) + H_1(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_g) \right) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \left(\prod_{j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{g(i)\}} \left(\frac{x_i - \alpha_{j_i}}{\alpha_{g(i)} - \alpha_{j_i}} \right) \right),$$

$$\implies \overline{H} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_2} \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}} H_k(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_g) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \left(\prod_{j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{g(i)\}} \left(\frac{x_i - \alpha_{j_i}}{\alpha_{g(i)} - \alpha_{j_i}} \right) \right).$$

Thus $\overline{H_0} + \overline{H_1} = \overline{H}$ as claimed. Finally the fact (iii) is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 1.3.16, which is proved next. □

PROPOSITION 1.3.16. *Let* $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$. *For congruence classes prescribed modulo the ideal generated by* $\{d(x_i) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$, *if*

$$d(x) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (x - \alpha_i) \;\; \text{such that} \;\; 0 \neq \prod_{0 \leq u < v < n} (\alpha_v - \alpha_u),$$

then

$$L_f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot L_g(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \begin{cases} L_f(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } f = g \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Observe that

$$L_f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot L_g(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \left(\left(c_{i,f} \frac{d(x_i)}{x_i - \alpha_{f(i)}} \right) \left(c_{i,g} \frac{d(x_i)}{x_i - \alpha_{g(i)}} \right) \right),$$

where

$$c_{i,f} = \prod_{j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{f(i)\}} \left(\alpha_{f(i)} - \alpha_{j_i} \right)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{i,g} = \prod_{j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{g(i)\}} \left(\alpha_{g(i)} - \alpha_{j_i} \right)^{-1}.$$

If $f \neq g$, then there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $f(j) \neq g(j)$ and $L_f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot L_g(\mathbf{x})$ is a multiple of $(x_j)^{\underline{n}}$, as a result of which we obtain $L_f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot L_g(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$. Alternatively if $f = g$, then

$$L_f(\mathbf{x}) \cdot L_g(\mathbf{x}) = \left(L_f(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 = L_f(\mathbf{x}) + \left(\left(L_f(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 - L_f(\mathbf{x}) \right).$$

We now show that $\left(L_f(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 - L_f(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0$ modulo the ideal generated by $\{d(x_i) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$.

$$\left(L_f(\mathbf{x}) \right)^2 - L_f(\mathbf{x}) = L_f(\mathbf{x}) \left(L_f(\mathbf{x}) - 1 \right)$$

$$= L_f(\mathbf{x}) \left(L_f(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}} L_g(\mathbf{x}) \right)$$

$$= -L_f(\mathbf{x}) \left(\sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n} \setminus \{f\}} L_g(\mathbf{x}) \right)$$

$$\equiv 0,$$

where the latter congruence identity stems from the prior setting where $f \neq g$. □

DEFINITION 1.3.17 (Polynomial of Grace). We define $P_f \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ for all $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ as follows:

(1.3.18) $$P_f(\mathbf{x}) := \underbrace{\prod_{0 \leq u < v < n} (x_v - x_u)}_{V(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})} \underbrace{\prod_{0 \leq u < v < n} \left((x_{f(v)} - x_v)^2 - (x_{f(u)} - x_u)^2 \right)}_{E_f(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})}.$$

DEFINITION 1.3.19 (Congruence class). For polynomials $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$, if

$$(1.3.20) \qquad P(\mathbf{x}) \equiv Q(\mathbf{x}) \mod \left\{ \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (x_i - j) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\},$$

we simply write $P \equiv Q$.

Unless otherwise stated, all subsequent congruence identities are prescribed modulo the ideal of polynomials generated by members of the set

$$\left\{ \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (x_i - j) : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\}$$

PROPOSITION 1.3.21 (Certificate of Grace). *Let* $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$. *The functional directed graph* G_f *prescribed by* f *is graceful if and only if* $P_f(\mathbf{x}) \not\equiv 0$.

PROOF. Observe that the vertex Vandermonde factor $V(\mathbf{x})$ is of degree exactly $n-1$ in each variable and therefore equal to its remainder, i.e.,

$$(1.3.22) \qquad V(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\theta \in S_n} \mathrm{sgn}(\theta) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (x_i)^{\theta(i)} = \prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (v!) \sum_{\theta \in S_n} \mathrm{sgn}(\theta) \prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\theta(i)\}}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{\theta(i) - j_i} \right),$$

where

$$(1.3.23) \qquad \mathrm{sgn}(\theta) := \prod_{0 \le u < v < n} \left(\frac{\theta(v) - \theta(u)}{v - u} \right), \quad \forall \theta \in S_n.$$

When $n > 2$, for every $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$, the induced edge label Vandermonde factor $E_f(\mathbf{x})$ is of degree $> (n-1)$ in some of its variables. Therefore, by Proposition 1.3.1, we have

$$(1.3.24) \qquad E_f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_m} q_l(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (x_l - k) + \prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (v!) \frac{(n-1+v)!}{(2v)!} \sum_{\substack{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n} \\ |gf - g| \in S_n}} \mathrm{sgn}(|gf - g|) \prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{g(i)\}}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{g(i) - j_i} \right).$$

Observe that by the expansions in 1.3.22 and 1.3.24,

$$P_f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_m} q_l(\mathbf{x}) V(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (x_l - k) +$$

$$\left(\prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} v! \sum_{\theta \in S_n} \mathrm{sgn}(\theta) \prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\theta(i)\}}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{\theta(i) - j_i} \right) \right) \left(\prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (v!) \frac{(n-1+v)!}{(2v)!} \sum_{\substack{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n} \\ |gf - g| \in S_n}} \mathrm{sgn}(|gf - g|) \prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{g(i)\}}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{g(i) - j_i} \right) \right).$$

is congruent to

$$(1.3.25) \qquad \prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (v!)^2 \frac{(n-1+v)!}{(2v)!} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n \\ \text{s.t.} \\ |\sigma f - \sigma| \in S_n}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma |\sigma f - \sigma|) \prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma(i)\}}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{\sigma(i) - j_i} \right),$$

where the permutation $|\sigma f - \sigma|$ denotes the induced edge label permutation associated with a graceful relabeling $G_{\sigma f \sigma^{-1}}$ of G_f. The congruence above stems from Prop. 1.3.16. A graceful labeling necessitates the integer coefficient

$$\prod_{0 \le i < j < n} (j - i)(j^2 - i^2) = \prod_{0 \le i < j < n} (j - i)^2 (j + i) = \prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (v!)^2 \frac{(n-1+v)!}{(2v)!} \ne 0,$$

thus establishing the desired claim. $\qquad \square$

EXAMPLE 1.3.26. We present an example of a path on 5 vertices. This is known to be graceful, so we expect a non-zero remainder.

163   Run the SageMath script `ex1325.sage` to verify.

164   PROPOSITION 1.3.27 (Complementary Labeling Symmetry). *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ and the remainder of P_f be*

165   (1.3.28)
$$\overline{P}_f(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} (v!)^2 \frac{(n-1+v)!}{(2v)!} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n \\ \text{s.t.} \\ |\sigma f - \sigma| \in S_n}} sgn(\sigma \, |\sigma f - \sigma|) \prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma(i)\}}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{\sigma(i) - j_i}\right).$$

166   *The complementary labeling map $x_i \mapsto x_{n-1-i}$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, fixes $\overline{P}_f$ up to sign.*

167   PROOF. For notational convenience, let $\mathbf{x}_\varphi := (x_{\varphi(0)}, \ldots, x_{\varphi(i)}, \ldots, x_{\varphi(n-1)})$. Observe that for any permutation $\varphi \in S_n$,
168   the action of φ on P_f yields equalities

$$P_f(\mathbf{x}_\varphi) = \prod_{0 \leq u < v < n} (x_{\varphi(v)} - x_{\varphi(u)}) \big((x_{\varphi f(v)} - x_{\varphi(v)})^2 - (x_{\varphi f(u)} - x_{\varphi(u)})^2\big),$$

169

$$= \prod_{0 \leq \varphi^{-1}(i) < \varphi^{-1}(j) < n} (x_j - x_i)\big((x_{\varphi f \varphi^{-1}(j)} - x_j)^2 - (x_{\varphi f \varphi^{-1}(i)} - x_i)^2\big).$$

170   The last equality above features the indexing change of variable $u = \varphi^{-1}(i)$ and $v = \varphi^{-1}(j)$. If $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G_f)$ then
171   $P_f(x_{\varphi(0)}, \ldots, x_{\varphi(n-1)})$ is up to sign equal to $P_{\varphi f \varphi^{-1}}$, in accordance with Definition 1.3.17. Furthermore, by the proof of
172   Proposition 1.3.21, the action of φ on P_f yields the congruence identity

173
$$P_f(\mathbf{x}_\varphi) \equiv \overline{P}_f(\mathbf{x}_\varphi).$$

174   Hence,

175
$$\overline{P}_f(\mathbf{x}_\varphi) = \prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \left((v!)^2 \frac{(n-1+v)!}{(2v)!}\right) \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n \\ \text{s.t.} \\ |\sigma f - \sigma| \in S_n}} sgn(\sigma \, |\sigma f - \sigma|) \prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma(i)\}}} \left(\frac{x_{\varphi(i)} - j_i}{\sigma(i) - j_i}\right),$$

176
$$= sgn(\varphi) \prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \left((v!)^2 \frac{(n-1+v)!}{(2v)!}\right) \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n \\ \text{s.t.} \\ |\sigma f - \sigma| \in S_n}} sgn(\sigma \, |\sigma f - \sigma| \, \varphi^{-1}) \prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ v_u \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma \varphi^{-1}(u)\}}} \left(\frac{x_u - v_u}{\sigma \varphi^{-1}(u) - v_u}\right).$$

177   If $\varphi = n - 1 - \mathrm{id}$, then, by the complementary labeling symmetry, we have

178
$$G_{\sigma f \sigma^{-1}} \in \mathrm{GrL}(G_f) \Longleftrightarrow G_{\sigma \varphi^{-1} f (\sigma \varphi^{-1})^{-1}} \in \mathrm{GrL}(G_f)$$

179   Let $\mathfrak{G}$ denote the subrgoup of S_n whose members are $\{\mathrm{id}, \, \varphi\}$. We write

180
181
$$\overline{P}_f(\mathbf{x}_\varphi) =$$

182
$$\prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \left((v!)^2 \frac{(n-1+v)!}{(2v)!}\right) \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n / \mathfrak{G} \\ \gamma = |\sigma f - \sigma| \in S_n}} sgn(\sigma \gamma) \left(sgn(\varphi^{-1}) \prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ v_u \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma(u)\}}} \left(\frac{x_u - v_u}{\sigma(u) - v_u}\right) + \prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ v_u \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma \varphi^{-1}(u)\}}} \left(\frac{x_u - v_u}{\sigma \varphi^{-1}(u) - v_u}\right)\right).$$

183   Similarly,

184
185
$$\overline{P}_f(\mathbf{x}) =$$

186
$$\prod_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n} \left((v!)^2 \frac{(n-1+v)!}{(2v)!}\right) \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_n / \mathfrak{G} \\ \gamma = |\sigma f - \sigma| \in S_n}} sgn(\sigma \gamma) \left(\prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma(i)\}}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{\sigma(i) - j_i}\right) + sgn(\varphi^{-1}) \prod_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \\ j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma \varphi^{-1}(i)\}}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{\sigma \varphi^{-1}(i) - j_i}\right)\right).$$

187   We conclude that the complementary labeling symmetry yields the equality

188
$$\overline{P}_f(\mathbf{x}) = sgn(\varphi) \, \overline{P}_f(\mathbf{x}_\varphi) = \overline{P}_{\varphi f \varphi^{-1}}(\mathbf{x}),$$

189   thus establishing the desired claim. $\square$

190   EXAMPLE 1.3.29. We present an example of a path on 5 vertices.

191

192

193   Run the SageMath script `ex1328.sage` to verify.

1.4. The Composition Lemma

LEMMA 1.4.1 (Transposition Invariance). *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ be such that its functional directed graph G_f has at least two sibling leaf nodes, i.e., G_f has vertices $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $f^{-1}(\{u, v\}) = \varnothing$ and $f(u) = f(v)$. If the transposition $\tau \in S_n$ exchanges u and v, i.e.,*

$$\tau(i) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } i = u \\ u & \text{if } i = v \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z}_n. \\ i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

(1.4.2) $$\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(P_f(\mathbf{x})),$$

where P_f is the polynomial certificate of grace as defined in 1.3.17.

PROOF. Stated otherwise, the claim asserts that the polynomial P_f is fixed by a transposition of any pair of variables associated with sibling leaf vertices. By construction of $P_f(\mathbf{x})$, the changes in its Vandermonde factors induced by the action of τ are as follows:

(1.4.3) $$P_f(x_{\tau(0)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(i)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n-1)}) = \prod_{0 \le i < j < n} (x_{\tau(j)} - x_{\tau(i)}) \prod_{0 \le i < j < n} \left((x_{\tau f(j)} - x_{\tau(j)})^2 - (x_{\tau f(i)} - x_{\tau(i)})^2 \right).$$

Note that there is a bijection

(1.4.4) $$x_i \mapsto (x_{f(i)} - x_i)^2, \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

Hence, the transposition $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}\,(G_f)$ of the leaf nodes induces a transposition τ of the corresponding leaf edges outgoing from the said leaf nodes. More precisely, the maps

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_0 & , \ldots, & x_i & , \ldots, & x_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ x_{\tau(0)} & , \ldots, & x_{\tau(i)} & , \ldots, & x_{\tau(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} (x_{f(0)} - x_0)^2 & , \ldots, & (x_{f(i)} - x_i)^2 & , \ldots, & (x_{f(n-1)} - x_{n-1})^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (x_{\tau f(0)} - x_{\tau(0)})^2 & , \ldots, & (x_{\tau f(i)} - x_{\tau(i)})^2 & , \ldots, & (x_{\tau f(n-1)} - x_{\tau(n-1)})^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

prescribe the same permutation τ of the vertex variables and induced edges label binomials respectively. Observe that

$$P_f(x_{\tau(0)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(i)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n-1)}) =$$

$$\left(\prod_{0 \le i < j < n} \frac{x_{\tau(j)} - x_{\tau(i)}}{x_j - x_i} \prod_{0 \le i < j < n} (x_j - x_i) \right) \left(\prod_{0 \le i < j < n} \frac{(x_{\tau f(j)} - x_{\tau(j)})^2 - (x_{\tau f(i)} - x_{\tau(i)})^2}{(x_{f(j)} - x_j)^2 - (x_{f(i)} - x_i)^2} \prod_{0 \le i < j < n} \left((x_{f(j)} - x_j)^2 - (x_{f(i)} - x_i)^2 \right) \right)$$

$$= \left(\mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{0 \le i < j < n} (x_j - x_i) \right) \left(\mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{0 \le i < j < n} \left((x_{f(j)} - x_j)^2 - (x_{f(i)} - x_i)^2 \right) \right)$$

$$= \left((-1) \prod_{0 \le i < j < n} (x_j - x_i) \right) \left((-1) \prod_{0 \le i < j < n} \left((x_{f(j)} - x_j)^2 - (x_{f(i)} - x_i)^2 \right) \right)$$

(1.4.5) $$\implies P_f(x_{\tau(0)}, \ldots, x_{\tau(n-1)}) = P_f(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}),$$

thus establishing the desired claim.

$\square$

PROPOSITION 1.4.6 (Composition Inequality). *Consider an arbitrary $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ subject to the fixed point condition $\left| f^{(n-1)}(\mathbb{Z}_n) \right| = 1$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i)

$$\max_{\sigma \in S_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f^{(2)} \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right| \le \max_{\sigma \in S_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right|.$$

(ii)

$$P_{f^{(2)}}(\mathbf{x}) \not\equiv 0 \implies P_f(\mathbf{x}) \not\equiv 0.$$

(iii)

229
$$GrL(G_f) \neq \varnothing$$

PROOF. If $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ is identically constant, then G_f is graceful. We see this from the fact that the functional digraph
of the identically zero function is gracefully labeled and the fact that functional digraphs of identically constant functions
are all isomorphic. It follows that all functional directed graphs having diameter less than 3 are graceful. Consequently, all
claims hold for all functional digraphs of diameter less than 3. We now turn our attention to functional trees of diameter
greater or equal to 3. It follows by definition

(1.4.7)
$$n = \max_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right| \iff P_f(\mathbf{x}) \not\equiv 0 \iff \mathrm{GrL}(G_f) \neq \varnothing.$$

We now proceed to show (i) $\iff$ (iii). The backward claim is the simplest of the two claims. We see that if f is contractive,
so too is $f^{(2)}$. Then the assertions

(1.4.8)
$$n = \max_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f^{(2)} \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right| \text{ and } n = \max_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right|$$

indeed implies the inequality

(1.4.9)
$$\max_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f^{(2)} \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right| \leq \max_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right|.$$

We now establish the forward claim by contradiction. Assume for the sake of establishing a contradiction that for some
contractive map $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ we have

(1.4.10)
$$n > \max_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f^{(2)} \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right|,$$

for we know by the number of edges being equal to n that it is impossible that

(1.4.11)
$$n < \max_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f^{(2)} \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right|.$$

Note that the range of f is a proper subset of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. By the premise that f is contractive, it follows that $f^{\left(\lceil 2^{\lg(n-1)} \rceil \right)}$ is
identically constant and thus

(1.4.12)
$$n = \max_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f^{\left(\lceil 2^{\lg(n-1)} \rceil \right)} \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right|,$$

where lg denotes the logarithm base 2. Consequently there must be some integer $0 \leq \kappa < \lg(n-1)$ such that

(1.4.13)
$$\max_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f^{\left(\lceil 2^{\kappa} \rceil \right)} \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right| > \max_{\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f^{\left(\lceil 2^{\kappa-1} \rceil \right)} \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right|.$$

This contradicts the assertion of statement (i), thereby establishing the backward claim. The exact same reasoning as above
establishes (ii) $\iff$ (iii), for we have

(1.4.14)
$$P_{f^{\left(\lceil 2^{\lg(n-1)} \rceil \right)}}(\mathbf{x}) \not\equiv 0.$$

$\square$

Having assembled together the pieces required to prove our main result, we proceed to fit the pieces together to state
and prove the *Composition Lemma.*

LEMMA 1.4.15 (Composition Lemma). *For all contractive $f \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$, i.e., subject to $\left| f^{(n-1)}(\mathbb{Z}_n) \right| = 1$, we have*

(1.4.16)
$$\max_{\sigma \in S_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f^{(2)} \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right| \leq \max_{\sigma \in S_n} \left| \left\{ \left| \sigma f \sigma^{-1}(i) - i \right| : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \right\} \right|.$$

PROOF. Owing to Proposition 1.4.6, we prove the statement by establishing

$$P_{f^{(2)}}(\mathbf{x}) \not\equiv 0 \implies P_f(\mathbf{x}) \not\equiv 0.$$

For simplicity, we prove a generalization of the desired claim. Assume without loss of generality that the vertex labeled
$(n-1)$ is at furthest edge distance from the root in G_f (i.e. the fixed point). Given that the diameter of G_f is greater than
2, we may also assume without loss of generality that $f^{-1}(\{n-1\}) = \varnothing$ and $f^{(2)}(n-1) \neq f(n-1)$. Let the contractive
map $g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$ be devised from f and an arbitrary nonempty subset $S \subseteq f^{-1}(\{f(n-1)\})$ such that

(1.4.17)
$$g(i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} f^{(2)}(i) & \text{if } i \in S \\ f(i) & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. , \ \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

266 We show that

267 (1.4.18)
$$P_g(\mathbf{x}) \not\equiv 0 \implies P_f(\mathbf{x}) \not\equiv 0.$$

268 Note that the assertion immediately above generalizes the composition lemma since, f is only partially iterated. More
269 precisely, we iterate f on a subset S subject to $\varnothing \neq S \subseteq f^{-1}(\{f(n-1)\}) \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$. In turn, iterating (at most $\binom{n}{2}$ times)
270 this generalization of the composition lemma yields that all functional trees are graceful, which in turn implies that the
271 *Composition Lemma* as stated in Lemma 1.4.16 holds. For notational convenience, assume without loss of generality that

272 (1.4.19) $f(n-1) = n - \left| f^{-1}(\{f(n-1)\}) \right| - 1,\ f^{-1}(\{f(n-1)\}) = \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{1+f(n-1)}$ and $S = \{n-1, \cdots, n-|S|\}$.

273 If the conditions stated above are not met, we relabel the vertices of G_f to ensure that such is indeed the case. Note that
274 such a relabeling does not affect the property we seek to prove. It suffices to show that the claim holds when $S = \{n-1\}$.
275 We prove by contradiction the contrapositive claim

276 (1.4.20)
$$P_f(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0 \implies P_g(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 0.$$

277   By construction, the polynomial

278 (1.4.21)
$$P_f(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{0 \leq i < j < n-1} (x_j - x_i)\big((x_{f(j)} - x_j)^2 - (x_{f(i)} - x_i)^2\big) \times$$
$$\prod_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}} (x_{n-1} - x_u)\big((x_{f(n-1)} - x_{n-1})^2 - (x_{f(u)} - x_u)^2\big).$$

279 differs only slightly from

280 (1.4.22)
$$P_g(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{0 \leq i < j < n-1} (x_j - x_i)\big((x_{f(j)} - x_j)^2 - (x_{f(i)} - x_i)^2\big) \times$$
$$\prod_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}} (x_{n-1} - x_u)\big((x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)} - x_{n-1})^2 - (x_{f(u)} - x_u)^2\big).$$

281 Assume for the sake of establishing a contradiction that for some choice of function f subject to the premise above $P_g \not\equiv 0$
282 and $P_f \equiv 0$. We setup a variable *telescoping* within each induced edge label binomial $(x_{f^{(2)}(v)} - x_v)$ where $v \in S$ (i.e. induced
283 edge binomials of edges outgoing from the subset of vertices where f is iterated) as follows:

284
$$\underbrace{(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)} - x_{n-1})}_{x_{n-1} \longrightarrow x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}} = \underbrace{(x_{f(n-1)} - x_{n-1})}_{x_{n-1} \longrightarrow x_{f(n-1)}} + \underbrace{(x_{f^{(2)}(v)} - x_{f(n-1)})}_{x_{f(n-1)} \longrightarrow x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}} .$$

285 We start by breaking the symmetry among chromatic variables to distinguish more clearly distinct canceling partners and
286 formally define the transpositions which generate the Θ-involution. We rewrite the telescoping setup as follows

287
$$P_g(\mathbf{x}) = V(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{0 \leq i < j < n-1} \big((x_{f(j)} - x_j)^2 - (x_{f(i)} - x_i)^2\big) \times$$
$$\prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \big((x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)} - x_{u,t,f(n-1)}) + (x_{u,t,f(n-1)} - x_{n-1}) + (-1)^t (x_{f(u)} - x_u)\big).$$

288 The telescoping setup introduces the $2(n-1)$ pair of canceling blue red variables:

289
$$\left\{ (-x_{u,t,f(n-1)}, x_{u,t,f(n-1)}) : \begin{array}{l} u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\} \end{array} \right\}$$

290 into the expression of P_g. Invoking the multibinomial identity in the telescoping setup above yields a multibinomial expansion
291 of P_g of the form

292
$$P_g(\mathbf{x}) = V(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{0 \leq i < j < n-1} \big((x_{f(j)} - x_j)^2 - (x_{f(i)} - x_i)^2\big) \left[\prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \big((x_{u,t,f(n-1)} - x_{n-1}) + (-1)^t (x_{f(u)} - x_u)\big) + \right.$$

293

$$\sum_{\substack{s_{ut}\in\{0,1\}\\0=\prod_{u,t}s_{ut}}}\prod_{\substack{u\,\in\,\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\,\in\,\{0,1\}}}\left((x_{u,t,f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right)^{s_{ut}}\left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{u,t,f(n-1)}\right)^{1-s_{ut}}\Bigg],$$

which features $4^{(n-1)}$ chromatic multibinomial summands. Each chromatic multibinomial summand is associated with a choice of $s_{ut}\in\{0,1\}$ for each $(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}$ and is of the form

$$\prod_{\substack{u\,\in\,\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\,\in\,\{0,1\}}}\left((x_{u,t,f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right)^{s_{ut}}\left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{u,t,f(n-1)}\right)^{1-s_{ut}}$$

In both expressions of P_g above (which feature blue and red variables), chromatic variables cancel each other out by construction. Thus evaluations of chromatic variables play no role in evaluations of P_g overall. Consider the Abelian permutation group $\mathcal{G}$ of order $4^{(n-1)}$ generated by the $2(n-1)$ disjoint transpositions:

$$\left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{u,t,f(n-1)}\right)\quad\overset{\rightarrow}{\underset{\leftarrow}{}}\quad\left(x_{u,t,f(n-1)}-x_{n-1}\right)+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\qquad\forall\,(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}.$$

Each transposition exchanges a distinct occurrence of a "blue" binomial (so named because the binomial features a distinct blue variable $-x_{u,t,f(n-1)}$) with its corresponding complementary "red" quatrinomial partner (so named because the quatrinomial features the corresponding distinct canceling partner variable $x_{u,t,f(n-1)}$) and vice versa. This group acts upon expressions in the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ generated by arbitrary sums and products of blue binomials, red quatrinomials in the set

$$\left\{\left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{u,t,f(n-1)}\right),\ \left(x_{u,t,f(n-1)}-x_{n-1}\right)+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\ :\ (u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}\right\}.$$

Note that both expressions of P_g above which feature blue and red variables lie in $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\Theta-involution$ denote the special member of $\mathcal{G}$ induced by enacting all $2(n-1)$ transpositions

$$\left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{u,t,f(n-1)}\right)\quad\overset{\rightarrow}{\underset{\leftarrow}{}}\quad\left(x_{u,t,f(n-1)}-x_{n-1}\right)+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\qquad\forall\,(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{G}$ acts transitively on the $4^{(n-1)}$ chromatic multibinomial summands featured in the multibinomial expansion of P_g.

For the purposes of the argument we restrict chromatic variables via assignments prescribed for all $(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}$ by

$$x_{u,t,f(n-1)}=x_{f(n-1)}\ \text{ and }\ x_{u,t,f(n-1)}=x_{f(n-1)}.$$

In the present setting the $2(n-1)$ transpositions which induce the Θ-involution become

$$\left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{f(n-1)}\right)\quad\overset{\rightarrow}{\underset{\leftarrow}{}}\quad\left(x_{f(n-1)}-x_{n-1}\right)+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\qquad\forall\,(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}\ .$$

The ensuing telescoping setup and multibinomial expansion of P_g are respectively

$$P_g(\mathbf{x})=V(\mathbf{x})\prod_{0\le i<j<n-1}\left((x_{f(j)}-x_j)^2-(x_{f(i)}-x_i)^2\right)\times$$
$$\prod_{(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}}\left((x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{f(n-1)})+(x_{f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right)$$

and

$$P_g(\mathbf{x})=V(\mathbf{x})\prod_{0\le i<j<n-1}\left((x_{f(j)}-x_j)^2-(x_{f(i)}-x_i)^2\right)\Bigg[\prod_{\substack{u\,\in\,\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\,\in\,\{0,1\}}}\left((x_{f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right)+$$

$$\left. \sum_{\substack{s_{ut}\in\{0,1\}\\0=\prod_{u,t}s_{ut}}} \prod_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\in\{0,1\}}} \left((x_{f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right)^{s_{ut}} \left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{f(n-1)}\right)^{1-s_{ut}}\right].$$

We now proceed to describe the *chromatic argument*. Let $R_{f,g}$ denote the polynomial expression which results from the removal of the monochromatic red summand from the multibinomial expansion of P_g. Namely

$$R_{f,g}(\mathbf{x}) = V(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{0\le i<j<n-1} \left((x_{f(j)}-x_j)^2-(x_{f(i)}-x_i)^2\right) \times$$

$$\sum_{\substack{s_{ut}\in\{0,1\}\\0=\prod_{u,t}s_{ut}}} \prod_{(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}} \left((x_{f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right)^{s_{ut}} \left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{f(n-1)}\right)^{1-s_{ut}}.$$

By construction the expression of $R_{f,g}$ immediately above lies in $\mathcal{A}$ and is not fixed by the Θ-involution. Indeed the Θ-involution maps $R_{f,g}$ to the polynomial which results from the removal of the monochromatic blue summand from the multibinomial expansion of P_g. More precisely, the Θ-involution maps $R_{f,g}$ to the polynomial expression

$$V(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{0\le i<j<n-1} \left((x_{f(j)}-x_j)^2-(x_{f(i)}-x_i)^2\right) \left[\prod_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\in\{0,1\}}} \left((x_{f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right) + \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{\substack{s_{ut}\in\{0,1\}\\(\prod_{u,t}s_{ut})=0\ne(\sum_{u,t}s_{ut})}} \prod_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\in\{0,1\}}} \left((x_{f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right)^{s_{ut}} \left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{f(n-1)}\right)^{1-s_{ut}} \right].$$

Consequently chromatic variables no longer cancel each other out in the expression of $R_{f,g}$ but possibly cancel out in $\overline{R}_{f,g}$. We show that this is not the case. By contrast, on the multibinomial expansion of P_g, the Θ-involution transposes the unique monochromatic red summand

$$P_f(\mathbf{x}) = V(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{0\le i<j<n-1} \left((x_{f(j)}-x_j)^2-(x_{f(i)}-x_i)^2\right) \prod_{(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}} \left((x_{f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right),$$

with the unique monochromatic blue summand

$$V(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{0\le i<j<n-1} \left((x_{f(j)}-x_j)^2-(x_{f(i)}-x_i)^2\right) \prod_{(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}} \left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{f(n-1)}\right).$$

Furthermore the Θ-involution transposes each multibinomial bichromatic summand of the form

$$V(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{0\le i<j<n-1} \left((x_{f(j)}-x_j)^2-(x_{f(i)}-x_i)^2\right) \prod_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\in\{0,1\}}} \left((x_{f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right)^{s_{ut}}\left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{f(n-1)}\right)^{1-s_{ut}},$$

with the corresponding complementary multibinomial bichromatic summand

$$V(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{0\le i<j<n-1} \left((x_{f(j)}-x_j)^2-(x_{f(i)}-x_i)^2\right) \prod_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\in\{0,1\}}} \left((x_{f(n-1)}-x_{n-1})+(-1)^t(x_{f(u)}-x_u)\right)^{1-s_{u,t}}\left(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)}-x_{f(n-1)}\right)^{s_{ut}},$$

where

$$s_{ut}\in\{0,1\}\ \text{where}\ (u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}\ \text{and}\ \left(\prod_{(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}}s_{ut}\right)=0\ne\left(\sum_{(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}}s_{ut}\right).$$

We now describe the Θ-induced action multibinomial expansions of $\overline{P}_g$ and $\overline{R}_{f,g}$ expressed with respect to the Lagrange basis. We start from congruence identities

$$\overline{P}_g(\mathbf{x}) \equiv$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \left(V(\sigma) \prod_{0 \le i < j < n-1} \left((\sigma f(j) - \sigma(j))^2 - (\sigma f(i) - \sigma(i))^2 \right) L_\sigma \right) \left[\prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \left(\left(\sigma f(n-1) - \sigma(n-1) + (-1)^t (\sigma f(u) - \sigma(u)) \right) L_\sigma \right) + \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{\substack{s_{ut} \in \{0,1\} \\ 0 = \prod_{u,t} s_{ut}}} \prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \left(\left(\sigma f(n-1) - \sigma(n-1) + (-1)^t (\sigma f(u) - \sigma(u)) \right) L_\sigma \right)^{s_{ut}} \left((\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1)) L_\sigma \right)^{1-s_{ut}} \right].$$

and

$$\overline{R}_{f,g}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \left(V(\sigma) \prod_{0 \le i < j < n-1} \left((\sigma f(j) - \sigma(j))^2 - (\sigma f(i) - \sigma(i))^2 \right) L_\sigma \right) \times$$

$$\left[\sum_{\substack{s_{ut} \in \{0,1\} \\ 0 = \prod_{u,t} s_{ut}}} \prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \left(\sigma f(n-1) - \sigma(n-1) + (-1)^t (\sigma f(u) - \sigma(u)) L_\sigma \right)^{s_{ut}} \left((\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1)) L_\sigma \right)^{1-s_{ut}} \right].$$

Observe that transpositions

$$(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)} - x_{u,t,f(n-1)}) \quad \underset{\leftarrow}{\overset{\rightarrow}{}} \quad (x_{u,t,f(n-1)} - x_{n-1}) + (-1)^t (x_{f(u)} - x_u) \qquad \forall\, (u,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \times \{0,1\},$$

induce an action on scaled Lagrange basis summand prescibed for each permuation $\sigma \in S_n$ and $(u,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \times \{0,1\}$

$$\left(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1) \right) L_\sigma(x_0, \ldots, x_{f(n-1)}, x_{n-1}) \quad \underset{\leftarrow}{\overset{\rightarrow}{}} \quad \left(\sigma f(n-1) - \sigma(n-1) \right) + (-1)^t \left(\sigma f(u) - \sigma(u) \right) L_\sigma(x_0, \ldots, x_{f(n-1)}, x_{n-1}).$$

since

$$(x_{f^{(2)}(n-1)} - x_{f(n-1)}) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}} \left(h f^{(2)}(n-1) - h f(n-1) \right) L_h(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{f(n-1)}, x_{n-1}),$$

as well as the fact that for all $(u,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \times \{0,1\}$ we have

$$(x_{f(n-1)} - x_{n-1}) + (-1)^t (x_{f(u)} - x_u) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}} \left(h f(n-1) - h(n-1) \right) + (-1)^t \left(h f(u) - h(u) \right) L_h(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{f(n-1)}, x_{n-1}).$$

363 Invoking the orthonormality property of the Lagrange basis as well rules

$$
\begin{cases}
L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\cdots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) \cdot L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) & \text{is replaced by} \quad L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}), \\[2mm]
L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\cdots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) \cdot L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) & \text{is replaced by} \quad L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}), \\[2mm]
L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\cdots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) \cdot L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) & \text{is replaced by} \quad L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}), \\[2mm]
L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) \cdot L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) & \text{is replaced by} \quad L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}), \\[2mm]
L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) \cdot L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) & \text{is replaced by} \quad L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}), \\[2mm]
L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) \cdot L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) & \text{is replaced by} \quad L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}). \\[2mm]
L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) \cdot L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) & \text{is replaced by} \quad L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}), \\[2mm]
L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) \cdot L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) & \text{is replaced by} \quad L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}), \\[2mm]
L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) \cdot L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}) & \text{is replaced by} \quad L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}),
\end{cases}
$$

365 devised to record occurrences and colors of chromatic variables. By repeated use of these rules, we devise the following
366 multibinomial expansions for $\overline{P}_g$ and $\overline{R}_{f,g}$ respectively given by

$$
\overline{P}_g = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} V(\sigma) \prod_{0 \le i < j < n-1} \left((\sigma f(j) - \sigma(j))^2 - (\sigma f(i) - \sigma(i))^2 \right) \left[\prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \left(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1) \right) L_\sigma + \right.
$$

$$
\sum_{\substack{s_{ut} \in \{0,1\} \\ (\prod_{u,t} s_{ut}) = 0 \ne (\sum_{u,t} s_{ut})}} \prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \left(\sigma f(n-1) - \sigma(n-1) + (-1)^t \left(\sigma f(u) - \sigma(u) \right) \right)^{s_{ut}} \left(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1) \right)^{1-s_{ut}} L_\sigma +
$$

$$
\left. \prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \left(\sigma f(n-1) - \sigma(n-1) + (-1)^t \left(\sigma f(u) - \sigma(u) \right) \right) L_\sigma \right]
$$

372 and

$$
\overline{R}_{f,g} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} V(\sigma) \prod_{0 \le i < j < n-1} \left((\sigma f(j) - \sigma(j))^2 - (\sigma f(i) - \sigma(i))^2 \right) \left[\prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \left(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1) \right) L_\sigma + \right.
$$

$$
\left. \sum_{\substack{s_{ut} \in \{0,1\} \\ (\prod_{u,t} s_{ut}) = 0 \ne (\sum_{u,t} s_{ut})}} \prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \left(\sigma f(n-1) - \sigma(n-1) + (-1)^t \left(\sigma f(u) - \sigma(u) \right) \right)^{s_{ut}} \left(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1) \right)^{1-s_{ut}} L_\sigma \right].
$$

In both expansion immediately above, for each permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, we partition scaled Lagrange basis according to their colors. For each permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, the expressions features three distinct types of chromatic scaled Lagrange basis summands. The first type is a scaling of a red Lagrange basis element defined as

$$L_\sigma(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{f(n-1)}, x_{n-1}) := \prod_{j_{f(n-1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma f(n-1)\}} \left(\frac{x_{f(n-1)} - j_{f(n-1)}}{\sigma f(n-1) - j_{f(n-1)}}\right) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{f(n-1)\}} \left(\prod_{j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma(i)\}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{\sigma(i) - j_i}\right)\right),$$

which is scaled by a corresponding "red" coefficient (so named because it features the evaluation of red variable) of the precise form

$$P_f(\sigma) = V(\sigma) \prod_{0 \leq i < j < n-1} \left((\sigma f(j) - \sigma(j))^2 - (\sigma f(i) - \sigma(i))^2\right) \prod_{\substack{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \\ t \in \{0,1\}}} \left(\sigma f(n-1) - \sigma(n-1) + (-1)^t\left(\sigma f(u) - \sigma(u)\right)\right).$$

The second type of scaled chromatic Lagrange basis summand is a scaling of a blue Lagrange basis element defined as

$$L_\sigma(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{f(n-1)}, x_{n-1}) := \prod_{j_{f(n-1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma f(n-1)\}} \left(\frac{x_{f(n-1)} - j_{f(n-1)}}{\sigma f(n-1) - j_{f(n-1)}}\right) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{f(n-1)\}} \left(\prod_{j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma(i)\}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{\sigma(i) - j_i}\right)\right),$$

which is scaled by the corresponding "blue" coefficient of the precise form

$$V(\sigma) \prod_{0 \leq i < j < n-1} \left((\sigma f(j) - \sigma(j))^2 - (\sigma f(i) - \sigma(i))^2\right) \prod_{(u,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \times \{0,1\}} \left(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1)\right).$$

The third and last type of scaled chromatic Lagrange basis summand is a scaling of a purple Lagrange basis element defined as

$$L_\sigma(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{f(n-1)}, x_{n-1}) := \prod_{j_{f(n-1)} \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma f(n-1)\}} \left(\frac{x_{f(n-1)} - j_{f(n-1)}}{\sigma f(n-1) - j_{f(n-1)}}\right) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{f(n-1)\}} \left(\prod_{j_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{\sigma(i)\}} \left(\frac{x_i - j_i}{\sigma(i) - j_i}\right)\right),$$

which is scaled by the corresponding (red and blue) bichromatic coefficient of the precise form

$$\prod_{(u,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \times \{0,1\}} \left(\sigma f(n-1) - \sigma(n-1) + (-1)^t\left(\sigma f(u) - \sigma(u)\right)\right)^{s_{ut}} \left(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1)\right)^{1 - s_{ut}},$$

such that

$$s_{ut} \in \{0,1\} \text{ where } (u,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \times \{0,1\} \text{ and } \left(\prod_{(u,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \times \{0,1\}} s_{ut}\right) = 0 \neq \left(\sum_{(u,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \times \{0,1\}} s_{ut}\right).$$

For every $\sigma \in S_n$ the involutive action induced by the Θ-involution maps the scaled red Lagrange basis summand

$$P_f(\sigma) \, L_\sigma(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{f(n-1)}, x_{n-1})$$

to the blue scaled Lagrange basis summand

$$V(\sigma) \prod_{0 \leq i < j < n-1} \left((\sigma f(j) - \sigma(j))^2 - (\sigma f(i) - \sigma(i))^2\right) \prod_{(u,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \times \{0,1\}} \left(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1)\right) L_\sigma(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{f(n-1)}, x_{n-1})$$

and vice versa. Furthermore, the involutive action induced by the Θ-involution maps each scaled purple scaled Lagrange basis summand

$$V(\sigma) \prod_{0 \leq i < j < n-1} \left((\sigma f(j) - \sigma(j))^2 - (\sigma f(i) - \sigma(i))^2\right) \times$$

$$\prod_{(u,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \times \{0,1\}} \left(\sigma f(n-1) - \sigma(n-1) + (-1)^t\left(\sigma f(u) - \sigma(u)\right)\right)^{s_{ut}} \left(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1) - \sigma f(n-1)\right)^{1 - s_{ut}} L_\sigma(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{f(n-1)}, x_{n-1}),$$

to the corresponding purple scaled Lagrange basis summand

$$V(\sigma) \prod_{0 \leq i < j < n-1} \left((\sigma f(j) - \sigma(j))^2 - (\sigma f(i) - \sigma(i))^2\right) \times$$

$$\prod_{(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}} \left(\sigma f(n-1)-\sigma(n-1)+(-1)^t\big(\sigma f(u)-\sigma(u)\big)\right)^{1-s_{ut}} \big(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1)-\sigma f(n-1)\big)^{s_{ut}} L_\sigma(x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{f(n-1)},x_{n-1}),$$

and vice versa. the action of Θ-involution pairs up (by the involution map) purple scaled Lagrange basis summands among themselves while simultaneously pairs up each red scaled Lagrange basis summand with a corresponding blue scaled Lagrange basis summand and vice versa. By our premise, the Θ-involution symmetry holds for $\overline{P}_g = \overline{R}_{f,g}$. Given that coefficients of red Lagrange basis summands all vanish i.e. $P_f(\sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n$, a necessary condition for the Θ-involution symmetry is that

$$V(\sigma)\prod_{0\le i<j<n-1}\big((\sigma f(j)-\sigma(j))^2-(\sigma f(i)-\sigma(i))^2\big)\prod_{(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}}\big(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1)-\sigma f(n-1)\big)=0,$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n$. However the premise $P_g \not\equiv 0$ implies

$$V(\sigma)\prod_{0\le i<j<n-1}\big((\sigma f(j)-\sigma(j))^2-(\sigma f(i)-\sigma(i))^2\big)\prod_{(u,t)\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\times\{0,1\}}\big(\sigma f^{(2)}(n-1)-\sigma f(n-1)\big)\ne 0,$$

for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n$ subject to $G_{\sigma g \sigma^{-1}} \in \mathrm{GrL}\big(G_g\big)$. This fact constitutes an obstruction to the Θ-involution symmetry. Indeed owing to the fact that chromatic variables should play no role in evaluations of $\overline{P}_g$ we know that for each permutation $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n$ the linear combination of chromatic evaluations featured in the coefficients of the corresponding Lagrange basis must vanish. However in the multibinomial expansion expressing $\overline{R}_{f,g}$, for each permutation $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n$ the linear combination of chromatic evaluations featured in coefficients of Lagrange basis elements indexed by σ is equal to

$$-V(\sigma)\prod_{0\le i<j<n-1}\big((\sigma f(j)-\sigma(j))^2-(\sigma f(i)-\sigma(i))^2\big)\prod_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\in\{0,1\}}}\big(\sigma f(n-1)\big).$$

We call the quantity immediately above the chromatic defect. The chromatic defect is the left over after cancellation of evaluation of telescoping variables featured in the coefficient of the Lagrange basis indexed by σ. For we see that for each permutation σ the corresponding linear combinations of evaluations of chromatic variables which features as coefficient of the Lagrange basis indexed by $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n$ is given by

$$0 = V(\sigma)\prod_{0\le i<j<n-1}\left(\big(\sigma f(j)-\sigma(j)\big)^2-\big(\sigma f(i)-\sigma(i)\big)^2\right)\times$$

$$\left[\prod_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\in\{0,1\}}}\big(\sigma f(n-1)\big)+\sum_{\substack{s_{ut}\in\{0,1\}\\0=\prod_{u,t}s_{ut}}}\prod_{\substack{u\in\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}\\t\in\{0,1\}}}\big(\sigma f(n-1)\big)^{s_{ut}}\big(-\sigma f(n-1)\big)^{1-s_{ut}}\right].$$

Roughly speaking the chromatic defect quantifies for a given permutation $\sigma \in \mathrm{S}_n$ the extent to which the Θ-involution symmetry is broken. For the Θ-involution symmetry to hold the chromatic defect must vanish. However by the complementary involution symmetry there must be at least one permutation $\sigma \in \{\gamma, n-1-\gamma\}$ for which $\sigma f(n-1) \ne 0$ whenever $G_{\gamma g \gamma^{-1}} \in \mathrm{GrL}\big(G_g\big)$. Thus we established that the chromatic defect is non-vanishing which in turn breaks the Θ-involution symmetry and affords a non-trivial role to evaluations of chromatic variables when evaluating $R_{f,g}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_n^{\mathbb{Z}_n}$. Thus contradicting the premise that $P_f \equiv 0$. By which we conclude that $P_g \not\equiv R_{f,g} \implies P_f \not\equiv 0$ as claimed. Given that $\mathcal{G}$ acts transitively on chromatic multibinomial summands, a similar argument establishes that none of the chromatic summands is congruent to zero

$\square$

EXAMPLE 1.4.23. We present a verification of Lemma 1.4.15 with an example of a path on 5 vertices.

$G_f:$

G_g :

Run the SageMath script `ex1434.sage` to verify.

Bibliography

[CCG24a] Parikshit Chalise, Antwan Clark, and Edinah K. Gnang. Every tree on n edges decomposes $k_{nx,nx}$ and k_{2nx+1}, 2024.

[CCG24b] Parikshit Chalise, Antwan Clark, and Edinah K. Gnang. A proof of the tree packing conjecture, 2024.

[Gna20] Edinah K. Gnang. On graceful labelings of trees, 2020.

[Gna22] Edinah K. Gnang. On the composition lemma, 2022.

[Gna23] Edinah K. Gnang. A proof of the kotzig-ringel-rosa conjecture, 2023.