

# Operations of Target in Brazil

1. Import the dataset and do usual exploratory analysis steps like checking the structure & characteristics of the dataset:

1. Data type of all columns in the "customers" table:

```
1 SELECT column_name, data_type
2 FROM `scalar-dsml-sql-411318.Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.INFORMATION_SCHEMA.COLUMNS`
3 WHERE TABLE_NAME = 'customers'
4
```

## Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	CHART	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	column_name	data_type				
1	customer_id	STRING				
2	customer_unique_id	STRING				
3	customer_zip_code_prefix	INT64				
4	customer_city	STRING				
5	customer_state	STRING				

## 2. Get the time range between which the orders were placed

```
1  /* Get the time range between which the orders were placed */
2
3  SELECT
4  | MIN(order_purchase_timestamp) AS Start_Date,
5  | MAX(order_purchase_timestamp) AS End_Date
6  FROM `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders`
7  |
```

### Query results

JOB INFORMATION		RESULTS	CHART	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
Row	Start_Date	End_Date				
1	2016-09-04 21:15:19 UTC	2018-10-17 17:30:18 UTC				

## 3. Count the Cities & States of customers who ordered during the given period

```
1  SELECT
2  | DISTINCT c.customer_city,
3  | c.customer_state,
4  | COUNT(o.customer_id) order_count
5  FROM
6  | `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
7  JOIN
8  | `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c
9  ON
10 | o.customer_id = c.customer_id
11 GROUP BY
12 | 1, 2
13 ORDER BY
14 | 3 DESC
```

Row	customer_city	customer_state	order_count
1	sao paulo	SP	15540
2	rio de janeiro	RJ	6882
3	belo horizonte	MG	2773
4	brasilia	DF	2131
5	curitiba	PR	1521

### Insights:

Here, we can see that sao paulo city from SP state alone has more orders than the following 5 cities combined. This is because sao paulo might be the most populous and the richest state in Brazil

## 2. In-depth Exploration:

a. Is there a growing trend in the no. of orders placed over the past years?

```
1 /* 1. Is there a growing trend in the no. of orders placed over the past years? */
2
3 SELECT
4   EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS year,
5   EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS month,
6   COUNT(DISTINCT o.order_id) AS order_count
7 FROM
8   `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
9 JOIN
10  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c
11 ON
12  o.customer_id = c.customer_id
13 GROUP BY
14   year, month
15 ORDER BY
16   year, month;
```

Row	year	month	order_count
1	2016	9	4
2	2016	10	324
3	2016	12	1
4	2017	1	800
5	2017	2	1780
6	2017	3	2682
7	2017	4	2404
8	2017	5	3700

### Insights:

Based on the analysis of order count, it can be observed that there is a growing trend. The count of purchases has shown an overall upward trend, with some fluctuations.

- b. Can we see some kind of monthly seasonality in terms of the no. of orders being placed?

1	/* Can we see some kind of monthly seasonality in terms of the no. of orders being placed?*/
2	
3	
4	SELECT
5	EXTRACT(MONTH FROM order_purchase_timestamp) AS month,
6	COUNT(DISTINCT order_id) AS order_count
7	FROM
8	'Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders'
9	GROUP BY
10	month
11	ORDER BY
12	month;

FORMATION	RESULTS	CHART	JSON	EXECUTION DETAILS	EXECUTION GRAPH
month	order_count				
1	8069				
2	8508				
3	9893				
4	9343				
5	10573				
6	9412				
7	10318				

### Insights:

The count of orders generally increases from March to August with fluctuations in between. Notably, there is an increase in orders during February and March. Additionally, the month of August shows a peak in order count.

- c. During what time of the day, do the Brazilian customers mostly place their orders? (Dawn, Morning, Afternoon or Night)
- 0-6 hrs : Dawn
  - 7-12 hrs : Mornings
  - 13-18 hrs : Afternoon
  - 19-23 hrs : Night

```
1  /* During what time of the day, do the Brazilian customers mostly place their orders? (Dawn, Morning, Afternoon or Night)
2  0-6 hrs : Dawn    7-12 hrs : Mornings    13-18 hrs : Afternoon    19-23 hrs : Night */
3
4  SELECT
5  CASE
6  WHEN EXTRACT(HOUR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 0 AND 6 THEN 'Dawn'
7  WHEN EXTRACT(HOUR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 7 AND 12 THEN 'Morning'
8  WHEN EXTRACT(HOUR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 13 AND 18 THEN 'Afternoon'
9  WHEN EXTRACT(HOUR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 19 AND 23 THEN 'Night'
10 END AS hour,
11 COUNT(o.order_id) AS order_count
12 FROM
13 'Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders' o
14 JOIN
15 'Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers' c
16 ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
17 GROUP BY
18 hour
19 ORDER BY
20 order_count DESC;
```

Row	hour	order_count
1	Afternoon	38135
2	Night	28331
3	Morning	27733
4	Dawn	5242

## Insights:

Based on the analysis, we found that Brazilian customers tend to place most orders during the afternoon, specifically in the morning and night. This indicates that customers prefer to place orders when they have leisure time or after completing their daily activities.

### 3. Evolution of E-commerce orders in the Brazil region:

a. Get the month on month no. of orders placed in each state.

```
1  /* Get the month on month no. of orders placed in each state*/
2
3  SELECT
4    c.customer_state,
5    EXTRACT(month FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS month,
6    COUNT(o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS order_count
7  FROM
8    `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
9  JOIN
10   `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c
11  ON
12   o.customer_id = c.customer_id
13  GROUP BY
14   c.customer_state, month
15  ORDER BY
16   c.customer_state, month;
```

Row	customer_state	month	order_count
1	AC	1	8
2	AC	2	6
3	AC	3	4
4	AC	4	9
5	AC	5	10
6	AC	6	7
7	AC	7	9
8	AC	8	7

#### Insights:

Based on the analysis, it is observed that state BA have highest orders in the month of Jan and Feb.

But there is huge margin of gap on orders places for remaining states.

b. How are the customers distributed across all the states?

```
1  /* How are the customers distributed across all the states */
2
3  SELECT
4    c.customer_state,
5    COUNT(c.customer_id) AS no_of_customers
6  FROM
7    `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c
8  GROUP BY
9    c.customer_state
10 ORDER BY
11    no_of_customers DESC;
```

Row	customer_state ▼	no_of_customers ▼
1	SP	41746
2	RJ	12852
3	MG	11635
4	RS	5466
5	PR	5045
6	SC	3637
7	BA	3380
8	DF	2140

### Insights:

The data reveals that the state of São Paulo (SP) has the highest number of customers, which can be attributed to its status as the most populous state in Brazil.

#### 4. Impact on Economy: Analyze the money movement by e-commerce by looking at order prices, freight and others.

- a. Get the % increase in the cost of orders from year 2017 to 2018 (include months between Jan to Aug only).  
You can use the "payment\_value" column in the payments table to get the cost of orders.

```
1  /* Get the % increase in the cost of orders from year 2017 to 2018 (include months between Jan to Aug only) */
2
3  SELECT
4      EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS month,
5      (
6          (
7              SUM(CASE WHEN EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) = 2018 AND
8                  EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 1 AND 8 THEN
9                  p.payment_value END)
10             -
11             SUM(CASE WHEN EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) = 2017 AND
12                 EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 1 AND 8 THEN
13                 p.payment_value END)
14         )
15         /
16         SUM(CASE WHEN EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) = 2017 AND
17             EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 1 AND 8 THEN
18             p.payment_value END)
19     ) * 100 AS percent_increase
20 FROM
21     `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
22 JOIN
23     `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.payments` p ON o.order_id = p.order_id
24 WHERE
25     EXTRACT(YEAR FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) IN (2017, 2018) AND
26     EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 1 AND 8
27 GROUP BY 1
28 ORDER BY 1;
```

Row	month	percent_increase
1	1	705.1266954171...
2	2	239.9918145445...
3	3	157.7786066709...
4	4	177.8407701149...
5	5	94.62734375677...
6	6	100.2596912456...
7	7	80.04245463390...
8	8	51.60600520477...

#### Insights:

The overall percentage increase in the cost of orders from 2017 to 2018, including only the months from January to August, is 138.53%. Upon examining the month-wise increase, January shows the highest percentage increase, followed by February and April.



b. Calculate the Total & Average value of order price for each state.

```
/* Calculate the Total & Average value of order price for each state */
```

```
SELECT
  c.customer_state,
  ROUND(AVG(oi.price), 2) AS avg_price,
  ROUND(SUM(oi.price), 2) AS total_price,
FROM
  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
JOIN
  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.order_items` oi ON o.order_id = oi.order_id
JOIN
  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
GROUP BY
  c.customer_state;
```

Row	customer_state	avg_price	total_price
1	MT	148.3	156453.53
2	MA	145.2	119648.22
3	AL	180.89	80314.81
4	SP	109.65	5202955.05
5	MG	120.75	1585308.03
6	PE	145.51	262788.03
7	RJ	125.12	1824092.67
8	DF	125.77	302603.94

### Insights:

The analysis reveals interesting findings. While São Paulo (SP) has the highest total price and surprisingly it has the lowest average price value among all states.

c. Calculate the Total & Average value of order freight for each state.

```
/* Calculate the Total & Average value of order freight for each state */  
  
SELECT  
  c.customer_state,  
  ROUND(AVG(oi.freight_value), 2) AS avg_freight_value,  
  ROUND(SUM(oi.freight_value), 2) AS total_freight_value  
FROM  
  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o  
JOIN  
  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.order_items` oi ON o.order_id = oi.order_id  
JOIN  
  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id  
GROUP BY  
  c.customer_state;
```

Row	customer_state	avg_freight_value	total_freight_value
1	MT	28.17	29715.43
2	MA	38.26	31523.77
3	AL	35.84	15914.59
4	SP	15.15	718723.07
5	MG	20.63	270853.46
6	PE	32.92	59449.66
7	RJ	20.96	305589.31

### Insights:

The analysis reveals interesting findings. While São Paulo (SP) has the highest total freight value and surprisingly has the lowest average freight value among all states.

## 5. Analysis based on sales, freight and delivery time.

- a. Find the no. of days taken to deliver each order from the order's purchase date as delivery time.

Also, calculate the difference (in days) between the estimated & actual delivery date of an order.

Do this in a single query.

You can calculate the delivery time and the difference between the estimated & actual delivery date using the given formula:

- time\_to\_deliver** = order\_delivered\_customer\_date - order\_purchase\_timestamp
- diff\_estimated\_delivery** = order\_delivered\_customer\_date - order\_estimated\_delivery\_date

```

/* Find the no. of days taken to deliver each order from the order's purchase date as delivery time.
Also, calculate the difference (in days) between the estimated & actual delivery date of an order.
*/
SELECT
    order_id,
    DATE_DIFF(order_delivered_customer_date, order_purchase_timestamp, DAY)
    AS delivered_in_days,
    DATE_DIFF(order_estimated_delivery_date, order_purchase_timestamp, DAY)
    AS estimated_delivery_in_days,
    DATE_DIFF(order_estimated_delivery_date, order_delivered_customer_date, DAY)
    AS estimated_minus_actual_delivery_days
FROM
    `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders`
WHERE
    DATE_DIFF(order_delivered_customer_date, order_purchase_timestamp, DAY) IS NOT NULL
ORDER BY
    delivered_in_days;

```

Row	order_id	delivered_in_days	estimated_delivery_in_days	estimated_minus_actual_delivery_days
1	e65f1eeee1f52024ad1dcd034...	0	10	9
2	bb5a519e352b45b714192a02f...	0	26	25
3	434cecee7d1a65fc65358a632...	0	20	19
4	d3ca7b82c922817b06e5ca211...	0	12	11
5	1d893dd7ca5f77ebf5f59f0d20...	0	10	10
6	d5fbedc85190ba88580d6f82...	0	8	7
7	79e324907160caea526fd8b94...	0	9	8
8	38c1e3d4ed6a13cd0cf612d4c...	0	17	16

b. Find out the top 5 states with the highest & lowest average freight value.

```
1  /* Find out the top 5 states with the highest */
2
3  SELECT
4      c.customer_state,
5      ROUND(AVG(oi.freight_value), 2) AS avg_freight_value
6  FROM
7      `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
8  JOIN
9      `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.order_items` oi ON o.order_id = oi.order_id
10 JOIN
11     `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
12 GROUP BY
13     c.customer_state
14 ORDER BY
15     avg_freight_value DESC
16 LIMIT 5;
17
```

Row	customer_state	avg_freight_value
1	RR	42.98
2	PB	42.72
3	RO	41.07
4	AC	40.07
5	PI	39.15

```
1  /* Find out the top 5 states with the lowest average freight value */
2
3  SELECT
4      c.customer_state,
5      ROUND(AVG(oi.freight_value), 2) AS avg_freight_value
6  FROM
7      `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
8  JOIN
9      `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.order_items` oi ON o.order_id = oi.order_id
10 JOIN
11     `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
12 GROUP BY
13     c.customer_state
14 ORDER BY
15     avg_freight_value asc
16 LIMIT 5;
```

Row	customer_state	avg_freight_value
1	SP	15.15
2	PR	20.53
3	MG	20.63
4	RJ	20.96
5	DF	21.04

c. Find out the top 5 states with the highest & lowest average delivery time.

```
1  /* Find out the top 5 states with the highest average delivery time */
2
3  SELECT
4      c.customer_state,
5      AVG(TIMESTAMP_DIFF(o.order_purchase_timestamp, o.order_delivered_customer_date, DAY)) AS avg_delivery_time
6  FROM
7      `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
8  JOIN
9      `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c
10     ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
11  WHERE
12     o.order_delivered_customer_date IS NOT NULL
13  GROUP BY
14     c.customer_state
15  ORDER BY
16     avg_delivery_time desc
17  LIMIT 5;
```

Row	customer_state	avg_delivery_time
1	SP	-8.29806148907...
2	PR	-11.5267113548...
3	MG	-11.5438132981...
4	DF	-12.5091346153...
5	SC	-14.4795601917...

```
1  /* Find out the top 5 states with the lowest average delivery time */
2
3  SELECT
4      c.customer_state,
5      AVG(TIMESTAMP_DIFF(o.order_purchase_timestamp, o.order_delivered_customer_date, DAY)) AS avg_delivery_time
6  FROM
7      `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
8  JOIN
9      `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c
10     ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
11  WHERE
12     o.order_delivered_customer_date IS NOT NULL
13  GROUP BY
14     c.customer_state
15  ORDER BY
16     avg_delivery_time asc
17  LIMIT 5;
```

Row	customer_state	avg_delivery_time
1	RR	-28.9756097560...
2	AP	-26.7313432835...
3	AM	-25.9862068965...
4	AL	-24.0403022670...
5	PA	-23.3160676532...

- d. Find out the top 5 states where the order delivery is really fast as compared to the estimated date of delivery.

You can use the difference between the averages of actual & estimated delivery date to figure out how fast the delivery was for each state.

```
1  /* | Find out the top 5 states where the order delivery is really fast as compared to the estimated date of delivery*/
2
3  SELECT
4      c.customer_state,
5      AVG(TIMESTAMP_DIFF(o.order_estimated_delivery_date, o.order_delivered_customer_date, DAY)) AS avg_delivery_difference
6  FROM
7      `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
8  JOIN
9      `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.customers` c ON o.customer_id = c.customer_id
10 WHERE
11     o.order_delivered_customer_date IS NOT NULL
12 GROUP BY
13     c.customer_state
14 ORDER BY
15     avg_delivery_difference ASC
16 LIMIT 5;
```

Row	customer_state	avg_delivery_difference
1	AL	7.947103274559...
2	MA	8.768479776847...
3	SE	9.173134328358...
4	ES	9.618546365914...
5	BA	9.934889434889...

## 6. Analysis based on the payments:

- a. Find the month on month no. of orders placed using different payment types.

```
/* Find the month on month no. of orders placed using different payment types */
```

```
SELECT
  p.payment_type,
  EXTRACT(MONTH FROM o.order_purchase_timestamp) AS month,
  COUNT(DISTINCT o.order_id) AS order_count
FROM
  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
JOIN
  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.payments` p
ON
  o.order_id = p.order_id
GROUP BY
  1, 2
ORDER BY
  1, 2;
```

Row	payment_type ▼	month ▼	order_count ▼
1	UPI	1	1715
2	UPI	2	1723
3	UPI	3	1942
4	UPI	4	1783
5	UPI	5	2035
6	UPI	6	1807
7	UPI	7	2074
8	UPI	8	2077

- b. Find the no. of orders placed on the basis of the payment installments that have been paid.

```
/* Find the no. of orders placed on the basis of the payment installments that have been paid */
```

```
SELECT
  p.payment_installments,
  COUNT(o.order_id) AS order_count
FROM
  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.orders` o
JOIN
  `Target_BusinessCase_Brazil.payments` p
ON
  o.order_id = p.order_id
WHERE
  o.order_status != 'canceled'
GROUP BY
  1
ORDER BY
  2 DESC;
```

Row	payment_installment	order_count
1	1	52184
2	2	12353
3	3	10392
4	4	7056
5	10	5292
6	5	5209
7	8	4239
8	6	3898