

A natural extension of degree centrality is eigenvector centrality. In-degree centrality awards one centrality point for every link a node receives. But not all vertices are equivalent: some are more relevant than others, and, reasonably, endorsements from important nodes count more. The eigenvector centrality thesis reads:

A node is important if it is linked to by other important nodes.

Eigenvector centrality differs from in-degree centrality: a node receiving many links does not necessarily have a high eigenvector centrality (it might be that all linkers have low or null eigenvector centrality). Moreover, a node with high eigenvector centrality is not necessarily highly linked (the node might have few but important linkers).

Eigenvector centrality, regarded as a ranking measure, is a remarkably old method. Early pioneers of this technique are Wassily W. Leontief (The Structure of American Economy, 1919-1929. Harvard University Press, 1941) and John R. Seeley (The net of reciprocal influence: A problem in treating sociometric data. The Canadian Journal of Psychology, 1949). Math Let  $A = (a_{i,j})$  be the adjacency matrix of a graph. The eigenvector centrality  $x_i$  of node  $i$  is given by:

$$x_i = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_k a_{k,i} x_k$$

where  $\lambda \neq 0$  is a constant. In matrix form we have:

$$\lambda x = xA$$

Hence the centrality vector  $x$  is the left-hand eigenvector of the adjacency matrix  $A$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . It is wise to choose  $\lambda$  as the largest eigenvalue in absolute value of matrix  $A$ . By virtue of Perron-Frobenius theorem, this choice guarantees the following desirable property: if matrix  $A$  is irreducible, or equivalently if the graph is (strongly) connected, then the eigenvector solution  $x$  is both unique and positive.

The power method can be used to solve the eigenvector centrality problem. Let  $m(v)$  denote the signed component of maximal magnitude of vector  $v$ . If there is more than one maximal component, let  $m(v)$  be the first one. For instance,  $m(-3, 3, 2) = -3$ . Let  $x^{(0)}$  be an arbitrary vector. For  $k \geq 1$ :

repeatedly compute  $x^{(k)} = x^{(k-1)}A$ ; normalize  $x^{(k)} = x^{(k)}/m(x^{(k)})$ ;

until the desired precision is achieved. It follows that  $x^{(k)}$  converges to the dominant eigenvector of  $A$  and  $m(x^{(k)})$  converges to the dominant eigenvalue of  $A$ . If matrix  $A$  is sparse, each vector-matrix product can be performed in linear time in the size of the graph.

The method converges when the dominant (largest) and the sub-dominant (second largest) eigenvalues of  $A$ , respectively denoted by  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , are separated, that is they are different in absolute value, hence when  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2|$ . The rate of convergence is the rate at which  $(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^k$  goes to 0. Hence, if the sub-dominant eigenvalue is small compared to the dominant one, then the method quickly converges.