



# **Universal Deposit Audit**

Gnosis Ltd - Report by Côme du Crest

2025-09-17

## Table of contents

- Table of contents
- Universal Deposit Audit
  - Scope
  - Context
  - Status
- Issues
  - [Low] No slippage protection on settle
  - [Info] Cannot route one local token to two different chains
  - [Info] UniversalDepositManager.setRoute is useless without setStargateRoute
  - Optimisations and miscellaneous

## Universal Deposit Audit

This document presents the findings of a smart contract audit conducted by Côte du Crest for Gnosis Ltd.

### Scope

The scope includes all contracts within [gnosischain/universal-deposit](#) as of commit [0x92d1bdf](#).

### Context

Universal deposit accounts are created at a deterministic address via a proxy factory. These accounts are configured to send a specific token to a recipient on a different chain via Stargate. The account queries the universal deposit manager for information about the Stargate route. The universal deposit manager hold Stargate route information set by its owner.

### Status

The report has been sent to the core developer.

Issues have been fixed and last reviewed commit is [0x90a6c97](#).

## Issues

### [Low] No slippage protection on settle()

#### Summary

The `settle()` function has no slippage parameter on amount of token received by the user on destination chain. The `sendParam.minAmountLD` is populated by whatever `Stargate` yields for `receipt.amountReceivedLD` which may incur a fee charged in transferred token.

#### Vulnerability Detail

The `settle()` function uses `quoteStargateFee()` to compute the `sendParam` sent to the Stargate router:

```
1  function settle(  
2      address srcToken  
3  ) public payable returns (MessagingReceipt memory msgReceipt, OFTReceipt  
4      memory oftReceipt) {  
5      IUniversalDepositManager.StargateTokenRoute memory stargateTokenRoute =  
6          udManager.getStargateRoute(srcToken);  
7  
8      uint256 bridgeAmount = IERC20(srcToken).balanceOf(address(this));  
9  
10     ...  
11     (uint256 valueToSend, SendParam memory sendParam, MessagingFee memory  
12         messagingFee) =  
13         quoteStargateFee(bridgeAmount, stargateTokenRoute.srcStargateToken);  
14     if (address(this).balance < valueToSend) revert InsufficientNativeToken(  
15         address(this).balance, valueToSend);  
16  
17     (msgReceipt, oftReceipt,) =  
18         IStargate(stargateTokenRoute.srcStargateToken).sendToken{value:  
19             valueToSend}(sendParam, messagingFee, owner()); // Use owner as  
20             refundAddress  
21     ...  
22 }
```

The function `quoteStargateFee()` quotes Stargate for the minimum amount of tokens to receive `minAmountLD`:

```
1  function quoteStargateFee(  
2      uint256 amount,  
3      address srcStargateToken  
4  ) public view returns (uint256 valueToSend, SendParam memory sendParam,  
5      MessagingFee memory messagingFee) {  
6      if (amount == 0) revert AmountNotEnough(amount);  
7      sendParam = SendParam({
```

```
7     dstEid: udManager.chainIdToEidMap(dstChainId),
8     to: Uutils._addressToBytes32(recipient),
9     amountLD: amount,
10    minAmountLD: amount, // Will be updated with quote
11    extraOptions: new bytes(0), // Default, can be customized
12    composeMsg: new bytes(0), // Default, can be customized
13    oftCmd: '' // Empty for taxi mode
14  });
15
16  // Get accurate minimum amount from quote
17  (, OFTReceipt memory receipt) = IStargate(srcStargateToken).quoteOFT(
18    sendParam);
19  sendParam.minAmountLD = receipt.amountReceivedLD;
20  ...
21 }
```

This amount may incur a fee charged on transferred token that the user calling `settle()` did not explicitly approve.

## Impact

The amount of tokens received by the user may be unexpected due to Stargate fee.

## Code Snippets

<https://github.com/gnosischain/universal-deposit/blob/92d1bdf382829154ae9e721ccc2462523a187a5a/packages/contracts/src/UniversalDepositAccount.sol#L189-L190>

## Recommendation

Add a user-specified value for the minimum amount of tokens to receive.

## Response

As of commit `0x5f23b44`, a slippage parameter has been added to `settle()` and `quoteStargateFee()` that make the functions revert if expected received amount is too low. Unfortunately, the slippage is checked on `receipt.amountSentLD` the amount of token to send instead of `receipt.amountReceivedLD` the amount of token to receive.

This has later been fixed in commit `0x90a6c97` by using `receipt.amountReceivedLD` to check slippage.

## [Info] Cannot route one local token to two different chains

### Summary

The mappings in `UniversalDepositManager` use `srcToken` address as key, which means you cannot route one local token to two different chains.

### Vulnerability Detail

The mapping `tokenRouteMap` uses `srcToken` as key:

```
1 contract UniversalDepositManager ... {
2     ...
3     mapping(address srcToken => TokenRoute tokenRoute) public tokenRouteMap;
4     ...
5 }
```

### Impact

Cannot route one token on local chain to different chains.

### Code Snippets

<https://github.com/gnosischain/universal-deposit/blob/92d1bdf382829154ae9e721ccc2462523a187a5a/packages/con>

### Recommendation

Add `dstChainId` as a key in the mapping `tokenRouteMap` to be able to configure a different route for each (`token`, `destination`) pair.

### Response

The mapping has been updated to add `dstChainId` as a key:

```
1     mapping(address srcToken => mapping(uint256 dstChainId =>
2         StargateTokenRoute stargateTokenRoute)) public stargateTokenRouteMap;
```

**[Info] UniversalDepositManager.setRoute() is useless without setStargateRoute()****Summary**

The function `UniversalDepositManager.setRoute()` sets the route as enabled but the route does not actually work until `setStargateRoute()` is called. I don't see the point of this function right now.

**Vulnerability Detail**

The function `setRoute()` sets the route as supported, but the route does not work unless the `stargateTokenRouteMap` mapping is set by `setStargateRoute()`:

```
1  function setRoute(  
2      TokenRoute calldata tokenRoute  
3  ) public onlyOwner {  
4      tokenRouteMap[tokenRoute.srcToken] = tokenRoute;  
5      isTokenSupported[tokenRoute.srcToken] = true;  
6      bytes32 routeKey = getRouteKey(tokenRoute);  
7      isRouteSupported[routeKey] = true;  
8  }  
9  
10 function setStargateRoute(  
11     StargateTokenRoute calldata stargateTokenRoute  
12 ) public onlyOwner {  
13     stargateTokenRouteMap[stargateTokenRoute.tokenRoute.srcToken] =  
14         stargateTokenRoute;  
15     setRoute(stargateTokenRoute.tokenRoute);  
16 }
```

The account `settle()` function calls `udManager.getStargateRoute()` to get information about Stargate route:

```
1  function settle(  
2      address srcToken  
3  ) public payable returns (MessagingReceipt memory msgReceipt, OFTRReceipt  
4      memory oftReceipt) {  
5      IUniversalDepositManager.StargateTokenRoute memory stargateTokenRoute =  
6          udManager.getStargateRoute(srcToken);  
7      ...  
8  }
```

`getStargateRoute()` uses the `stargateTokenRouteMap` mapping set by `setStargateRoute()`

```
1  function getStargateRoute(  
2      address srcToken  
3  ) public view returns (StargateTokenRoute memory stargateTokenRoute) {  
4      return stargateTokenRouteMap[srcToken];  
5  }
```

```
5    }
```

## Impact

The function `UniversalDepositManager.setRoute()` is pointless as an external function.

## Code Snippets

<https://github.com/gnosischain/universal-deposit/blob/92d1bdf382829154ae9e721ccc2462523a187a5a/packages/contracts/src/UniversalDepositManager.sol#L64-L71>

## Recommendation

Make the function `setRoute()` internal or remove it.

## Response

The function `setRoute()` has been removed and `setStargateRoute()` now sets the required mappings.



## Optimisations and miscellaneous

This part lists minor gas/code optimizations that shouldn't make the code less readable or improve overall readability. It also lists questions about unclear code segments.

### Typo

uses `givernAddress` instead of `givenAddress`

<https://github.com/gnosischain/universal-deposit/blob/92d1bdf382829154ae9e721ccc2462523a187a5a/packages/contracts/src/UniversalDepositAccount.sol#L56-L57>

This has been fixed.