

Spike-timing Based Image Processing: Can we Reproduce Biological Vision in Hardware

Daniel Mayer

University Leipzig - Master of Science in Bioinformatics

Abstract. In here I present a review of research and technologies using asynchronous spike-based processing strategies as can be observed in biological vision. The research presented includes a general description of the issue [1], the presentation of a silicon retina [2] and a silicon cochlea [3] as input device as well as the current research on memristive devices [4]. While the common approach to immitate neural networks is simulation on conventional hardware as CPU's and GPU's this review is rather focussed on the efforts being made to reproduce the property of neural structures to work vastly parallel. In special this review will look at the fact that the biological vision system is able to compute relevant information wiith just one spike per neuron, due to the relative timing of spikes.

1 Biological Vision and its spike-timing-based component

A lot of research considers spike frequency to be the parameter in which stimuli are encoded in the nervous system. It is obvious to come to such a conclusion, since it is comparatively easy to measure the spiking frequency of a single neuron in dependence to a stimulus. But the speed of biological vision poses a problem for conventional views of how information is processed in the brain. As will be demonstrated, the notion of spike-frequency encoding of information poses a problem at the speed at which neurons from the highest level of the primate vision system can respond selectively to complex stimuli such as faces. Studies have shown that such processes can be accomplished in as much as 100ms, which combined with the fact, that cortical neurons seldomly show fire faster than every 10 ms and that there are about 10 stages of neurons involved between the photo receptors and the high level neurons, leads to the conclusion that each neuron has in average only time to fire once. It is therefore proposed, that rather than the information being only encoded in firing frequencies corresponding to analog values, the relative timing of the spikes is also used to encode such information [3] [4] [5]. It is though harder to measaure, since one has to use multiple electrodes to measure relative spike timings between neurons. Still idea has been demonstrated experimentally using recordings from the salamander retina[6]. It is showed by simulation, that it is possible to do state of the art face recognition using an approach where every neuron is only allowed to fire at most once [1]. It is inherent that if stimuli are encoded in the spike timing, also the neural plasticity needs to depend on relative spike timing, which is called spike-time-dependent-plasticity (STDP)

2 Silicon retina

conventional digital imaging chips have the immanent property of a framerate, which can be interpreted as a relict from the analog imaging times. All incoming information is collected in the sensor until the next frame is read out. For every frame the imaging chip sends out a pixel map containing the corresponding absolute value of each pixel. This yields massive output data regardless of the actual information (e.g. 1 GB/s from 352x288 pixels at 10 kFPS in [?]), Biological vision on the other hand is not bound to frames but is an event-based (asynchronous) relative intensity vision, meaning that the change of an individual pixel is send as soon as it registered. There are two essential advantages of the latter approach, which are the speed of reception of individual events, and a natural compression, removing redundant information.

With regard to these differences, I present a silicon retina as proposed in [2] which is a 128x128 pixel Cmos sensor, that outputs data in Address-event representation (AER). The device improves on prior frame based temporal difference detection imagers (e.g., [?]) by asynchronously responding to temporal contrast rather than absolute illumination.

2.1 Address-event representation (AER)

The modular design of computer hardware leads to bandwidth bottlenecks between the individual components. Thus unlike in the nervous system, where data transmission happens through many neurons in parallel, data needs to be multiplexed onto a bus, connecting the modules. The basic idea behind AER is to encode the visual stimuli into address events that are locally generated by the pixels. In this particular silicon retina the address event consists of 2x7 bits for the x and y coordinates and one ON/OFF polarity bit.

There has been some other research about AER imaging devices, but apart from that, the field of AER devices is largely unexplored. one reason could be that computer vision is only becoming popular now that computer power is sufficient to deal with the incoming information, so there has been little need for asynchronous data.

3 Memristive devices

In current Computer hardware storage of information and computation are done in separate units, whereas in nature a neuron is computational unit that keeps its information in the synapses to other neurons. Thus modelling the brain on a computer amongst others inefficient, because the weightings need to be retrieved from ram as opposed to being right in place. A spike signal would have to be simulated on hardware that is foreign to the notion of spikes and relative timing, but abides in the realm of clocked binary logic. To overcome these constraints, the recent discovery of the yet foreseen [?] fourth two-terminal canonical circuit element named memristor has lead computational neuroscientists to dream of implementing a neuronal network directly on chip.

3.1 Memristor

Proposed theoretically in 1971 by Chua [?] and eventually found in 2008 by Strukov et al, the memristor is a electrical component that relates charge q to magnetic flux M

References

- [1] Simon J. Thorpe. Spike-Based Image Processing: Can We Reproduce Biological Vision in Hardware? In Andrea Fusiello, Vittorio Murino, and Rita Cucchiara, editors, *Computer Vision â€ˆ ECCV 2012. Workshops and Demonstrations*, number 7583 in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 516–521. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, October 2012. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-33863-2_53.
- [2] P. Lichtsteiner, C. Posch, and T. Delbruck. A 128 times; 128 120 dB 15 #956;s Latency Asynchronous Temporal Contrast Vision Sensor. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, 43(2):566–576, February 2008.
- [3] Simon J. Thorpe and Michel Imbert. Biological constraints on connectionist modelling. In *Connectionism in Perspective*, pages 63–92. Elsevier, 1989.
- [4] W. Bialek, F. Rieke, R. R. de Ruyter van Steveninck, and D. Warland. Reading a neural code. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 252(5014):1854–1857, June 1991.
- [5] W. Gerstner, R. Ritz, and J. L. van Hemmen. Why spikes? Hebbian learning and retrieval of time-resolved excitation patterns. *Biological Cybernetics*, 69(5-6):503–515, 1993.
- [6] Tim Gollisch and Markus Meister. Rapid Neural Coding in the Retina with Relative Spike Latencies. *Science*, 319(5866):1108–1111, February 2008.