

CPSC 331: DATA STRUCTURES, ALGORITHMS, AND THEIR ANALYSIS

GO UEZONO

March 17, 2024

CONTENTS

1	Algorithmic Analysis	2
1.1	Loop Invariants	2
1.2	Bound Functions	2
2	Data Structures	2
2.1	Lists	2
2.2	Stacks	2
2.3	Queues	2
2.4	Binary Search Trees	2
2.5	Red and Black Trees	3
3	Methods	6
3.1	Test sub	6
3.2	Math subsection	6
4	Results and Discussion	6
4.1	Subsection	6
4.2	Subsubsection	6

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

1 ALGORITHMIC ANALYSIS

1.1 Loop Invariants

1.2 Bound Functions

2 DATA STRUCTURES

2.1 Lists

2.2 Stacks

2.3 Queues

2.4 Binary Search Trees

2.5 Red and Black Trees

2.5.1 Properties

A **red-black tree** is a concrete implementation of a **self-balancing binary-search tree** (reference here) that automatically maintains balance. Giving each node their respective color ensures that no path is more than twice as long as any other, thus is able to maintain approximate balance.

1. Every node is **red**/black
2. Root must be black
3. Leaves (*null*) are black
 - *null* vertices contain no values, while other (interior) do
4. If a node is **red**, then both its children are black
5. For each node, all simple paths from the node to descendant leaves contain the same number of black nodes

The following **lemma** shows why red-black trees make good search trees:

Lemma 1. *A red-black tree with n internal nodes has height at most $2 \log(n + 1)$*

Proof. Start by showing subtree rooted at any node x contains at least a $2^{bh(x)} - 1$ internal nodes. We prove this by **mathematical induction** on the height of x .

CLAIM: If height of $x = 0$, then the leaf must be *T.null*, and the subtree rooted at x contains at least $2^{bh(x)} - 1 = 2^0 - 1 = 0$ internal nodes.

- INDUCTIVE STEP:**
- Consider a node x that has positive height and is an internal node with two children.
 - Each *child* has a black-height of either $bh(x)$ or $bh(x) - 1$ (depending on whether it is **red** or black respectively).
 - Since height of a *child* of x is less than the height of x itself, we can apply the **I.H** to conclude that:
 - Each child has at least $2^{bh(x)-1} - 1$ internal nodes.

Thus, subtree rooted at x contains at least

$$(2^{bh(x)-1} - 1) + (2^{bh(x)-1} - 1) + 1$$

internal nodes, which proves the claim.

To complete the proof, let h be the height of the tree. According to property 4 (reference above Properties), at least half the nodes from the root to a leaf (not including the root) must be black.

Consequently, the bh of the root must be at least $h/2$; thus,

$$n \geq 2^{h/2} - 1$$

Moving 1 to the left side and taking log on both sides yields:

$$\log(n + 1) \geq h/2$$

or

$$h \leq 2 \log(n + 1)$$

□

2.5.2 Rotational Properties

Search operations *TREE-INSERT* and *TREE-DELETE* take $O(\log n)$ time. Since modifications are done to the tree, we must change the color of some of the nodes.

Definition (Rotation). Local operation that preserves the binary-tree property.

- **Left Rotation:** assume that its right child y is not *null*
- **Right Rotation:** assume that its left child y is not *null*
 - x can be any node on the tree whose respective child is not *null*
 - Left/Right rotations "pivots" around the link from x to y
 - Makes y the new root, x as y 's left(right) child, y 's left(right) child as x 's right(left) child
- Both L/R rotates run in $O(1)$ time
- Only pointers are changed, all attributes in a node remain the same

Algorithm 1: Left-Rotate(T, x)

```

1  $x = y.right;$  ; // set y
2  $x.right = y.left;$  ; // Turn y's left subtree into x's right subtree
3 if  $y.left \neq T.null$  then
4   |  $y.left.p = x;$ 
5  $y.p = x.p;$ 
6 if  $x.p == T.null$  then
7   |  $T.root = y;$ 
8 else if  $x == x.p.left$  then
9   |  $x.p.left = y;$ 
10 else
11   |  $x.p.right = y;$ 
12  $y.left = x;$ 
13  $x.p = y;$ 

```

2.5.3 Insertion

Inserting a node can be done in $O(\log n)$ time. Below is a pseudo-code that shows how insertion *RB-INSERT* works:

Algorithm 2: RB-INSERT(T, z)

Data: z node to insert,

```

1   $y = T.null;$ 
2   $x = T.root;$ 
3  while  $x \neq T.null$  do
4     $y = x;$ 
5    if  $z.key < x.key$  then
6       $x = x.left;$ 
7    else
8       $x = x.right;$ 
9    end
10 end
11  $z.p = y;$ 
12 if  $y == T.null$  then
13    $T.root = z;$ 
14 else if  $z.key < y.key$  then
15    $y.left = z;$ 
16 else
17    $y.right = z;$ 
18  $z.left = T.null;$ 
19  $z.right = T.null;$ 
20  $z.color = T.RED;$ 
21 RB-INSERT( $T, z$ );
  
```

To ensure we preserve the **red**-black properties,

3 METHODS

Test math notation: $\cos \pi = -1$ and $\alpha\omega$
Test Algorithm

Algorithm 3: Left-Rotate(T, x)

input : Test
output: Test

1 $i \leftarrow 1;$

2 **if** *condition* **then**

3 | then block

4 **else**

5 | else block

6 **end**

- 1. 1st item in list
- 2. 2nd item
- 3. 3rd

3.1 Test sub

DESCRIPTION

2ND DESCRIPTION

3.2 Math subsection

$$\cos^3 \theta = \frac{1}{4} \cos \theta + \frac{3}{4} \cos 3\theta \tag{1}$$

Definition (Gauss). To a mathematician, it is obvious that $\int_{-\inf}^{+\inf} e^{-x^2} \, dx = \sqrt{\pi}$.

Theorem 3.1 (Red and Black Trees). *Red trees are better than black trees.*

Proof. We have that $\log(1)^2 = 2\log(1)$. We also have that $\log(-1)^2 = \log(1) = 0$. Then, $2\log(-1) = 0$, from which the proof. □

4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Subsection

Test subsec

4.2 Subsubsection

Test sub

WORD Definition

CONCEPT Explanation

IDEA Text

Test Test

- First
- Second
- Third