## CS6160 Assignment 1

## Gautam Singh CS21BTECH11018

1) The Vigenere cipher is a cryptosystem with  $\mathcal{M} = C = \mathcal{K} = \{0, 1\}^+$ , and algorithms as follows. Here, we assume

$$m = m_0 m_1 \dots m_{N-1} \tag{1}$$

$$k = k_0 k_1 \dots k_{M-1} \tag{2}$$

$$c = c_0 c_1 \dots c_{N-1} \tag{3}$$

where M and N are some positive integers.

- a) Gen is an algorithm that outputs a key from  $\mathcal{K}$  uniformly at random.
- b) Enc:  $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{K} \to C$  is defined as

Enc 
$$(m, k) \triangleq c$$
,  $c_i \triangleq m_i \oplus k_{i \pmod{M}}$ ,  $0 \le i < N$ 
(4)

c) Dec:  $C \times \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{M}$  is defined as

$$Dec(c, k) \triangleq m, \ m_i \triangleq c_i \oplus k_{i \pmod{M}}, \ 0 \le i < N$$
(5)

2) Consider an encryption scheme over the binary alphabet  $\Pi$  (Gen, Enc, Dec), where  $\mathcal{M} = C = \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M} \setminus \{x\}$ , and

$$\mathsf{Enc}(m,k) \triangleq m \oplus k \tag{6}$$

$$\mathsf{Dec}(c,k) \triangleq c \oplus k \tag{7}$$

Clearly, we have

$$\Pr(C = 0^n | M = x) = 0.$$
 (8)

We use (8) to create an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  for the indistinguishability experiment.  $\mathcal{A}$  sends message texts  $m_0 = x, m_1 = y$  to Alice. If Alice outputs  $0^n$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs b' = 1, otherwise  $\mathcal{A}$  outputs b' = 0. Here,

$$\Pr\left(\mathsf{PrivK}_{\mathcal{A},\Pi}^{\mathsf{eav}} = 1\right) \\ = \Pr\left(b' = 0 | b = 0\right) \Pr\left(b = 0\right) \\ + \Pr\left(b' = 1 | b = 1\right) \Pr\left(b = 1\right) \\ = \Pr\left(C \neq 0^{n} | M = x\right) \Pr\left(b = 0\right) \\ + \Pr\left(C = 0^{n} | M = y\right) \Pr\left(b = 1\right) \\ = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2|\mathcal{K}|} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \tag{11}$$

From (11), we see that for an  $\epsilon$ -perfectly secure system, we have

$$\frac{1}{2|\mathcal{K}|} \le \epsilon \tag{12}$$

$$\implies |\mathcal{K}| \ge \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \tag{13}$$

$$\implies 2^n - 1 \ge \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \tag{14}$$

$$\implies n \ge \log_2\left(1 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}\right).$$
 (15)

From (15), it is clear that we can obtain the required security by choosing n sufficiently large.

- 3) We describe an adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  that can distinguish between F and a random permutation over  $\{0,1\}^{n\times n}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  makes the following queries and decisions.
  - a) Send message  $\mathbf{m}_1 = \mathbf{I}$ , where  $\mathbf{I}$  denotes the identity matrix. Let the received output be  $\mathbf{c}_1$ .
  - b) If  $\mathbf{c}_1$  is not invertible, output 1, else send message  $\mathbf{m}_2 = \mathbf{c}_1^{-1}$ . Let the received output be  $\mathbf{c}_2$ .
  - c) If  $c_2 = I$ , output 0, else output 1.

Then, we see that if a random string is used, the probability that  $\mathbf{c}_2 = \mathbf{I}$  is negligible. If F is used, then it is clear that  $\mathbf{c}_2 = \mathbf{I}$ . Thus,

$$Pr(PRPExp(\mathcal{A}, \Pi) = 1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \text{negl}(n)) \approx 1.$$
 (16)

Thus,  $\mathcal{A}$  can distinguish between F and a random string with high probability. Thus, F is not a PRP.

4) a) We have, for  $1 \le i \le t$ ,

$$c_i = v_i + c_{i-1} \mod n.$$
 (17)

Thus, inductively,

$$c_i = \sum_{j=1}^i v_j + c_0 \bmod n.$$
 (18)

Note that, since t < n and  $v_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,

$$0 \le \sum_{i=1}^{t} v_i \le t < n, \tag{19}$$

and therefore, using (19) and (18),

$$c_t = \sum_{i=1}^t v_i + c_0 \bmod n$$
 (20)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} v_i = c_t - c_0 \bmod n = S$$
 (21)

as required.

b) For i = 0, since  $S = c_t - c_0 \mod n$  and S is fixed, we must have  $c_t = S + c_0 \mod n$ . Since  $c_0$  is chosen uniformly at random, we have n uniformly random obtainable pairs  $(c_0, c_t)$ . Thus,

$$\Pr(View_0 = (c_0, c_t)) = \frac{1}{n}.$$
 (22)

Note that for  $1 \le i \le t$ ,

$$c_i = \left(\sum_{j=1}^i v_j\right) + c_0 \bmod n. \tag{23}$$

We must also have  $0 \le c_i - c_0 \mod n \le i$ . Hence,

 $Pr(View_i = (S, c_{i-1}))$ 

$$= \sum_{c_0=0}^{n-1} \Pr(View_i = (S, c_{i-1}), c_0)$$
 (24)

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{c_0=0}^{n-1} \Pr(View_i = (S, c_{i-1}) \mid c_0)$$
 (25)

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{c_0=0}^{n-1} \Pr\left(c_{i-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} v_k + c_0 \bmod n \mid S, c_0\right)$$
(26)

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\binom{i-1}{j} \binom{t-i+1}{S-j}}{\binom{t}{S}}$$
 (27)

where we define

$$j \triangleq \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} v_k = c_{i-1} - c_0 \bmod n.$$
 (28)

Since  $0 \le c_0 \le n-1$ , we have  $0 \le j \le n-1$ . However, we know that for some  $0 \le k \le n$ ,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{i}{j} \binom{n-i}{k-j} = \binom{n}{k}.$$
 (29)

Since  $\max (i - 1, t - i + 1) \le t \le n - 1 < n$ ,

$$Pr(View_i = (S, c_{i-1}))$$

$$=\frac{1}{n\binom{t}{S}}\sum_{j=0}^{t}\binom{i-1}{j}\binom{t-i+1}{S-j} \tag{30}$$

$$=\frac{1}{n}\frac{\binom{t}{S}}{\binom{t}{S}}=\frac{1}{n}.$$
(31)

Thus, the voting protocol is secure with respect to the given definition.

c) Consider the voters i, i + 1, i + 2, where  $1 \le i \le t - 2$ . Voter i conputes  $c_i$  and passes that on to voter i + 2. Now, when voter i + 2 computes  $c_{i+2}$ , we have,

$$c_{i+2} = c_i + v_{i+1} + v_{i+2} \mod n$$
 (32)

Since votes i + 2 knows all the terms in (32) except the required  $v_{i+1}$ , they can use the given information to determine it, and thus find the vote of voter i + 1.

5) Consider the pair of messages  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , where for  $1 \le i \le L$ ,

$$M_{1i} = (i-1), M_{2i} = m$$
 (33)

where m is any message block. Then, for all i,

$$C_{1i} = \text{Enc}(IV, k) \tag{34}$$

$$C_{2i} = \mathsf{Enc}(IV \oplus m \oplus (i-1), k). \tag{35}$$

Hence, all ciphertext blocks in  $C_1$  are always equal. We use this as a test to distinguish the two messages. We output 1 if all ciphertext blocks are equal, and 0 otherwise. Since the probabilities of all ciphertext blocks of  $M_2$  can be equal with negligible probability, it follows that we can distinguish between these two messages encrypted using this mode of operation with high probability.