



Malaysian Society for Numerical Methods

PPM-015-08-16112017

MSNM live session series on
Computational Mechanics and Numerical Methods

Curves and Surfaces for CAD Systems



R.U. Gobithaasan

Faculty of Ocean Engineering Technology & Informatics,
University Malaysia Terengganu

8th Session | 14th August 2020 (Fri) at 10am

...via FB live / webex platform

Table of Content

History of CAGD: Past & Present

1

Computer- Aided X

Bezier & B-Splines

2

de facto of curve design

Various Kind of Surfaces

3

Extension to surfaces

Some of my personal works: LACs

3

Looking ahead



Bitmap




glyph

TrueType





Adobe PostScript

MOTIVATION



CAD: Exact representation of shape & structure
CAE: Discretization of the shape (approximation)




<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GjSdI9lxy8>

<https://www.comsol.com/multiphysics/mesh-refinement>

What's in a surface?

Interior keylines




<https://www.carbodydesign.com/gallery/2013/04/exclusive-daf-xf-design-story/13/>


Whats in a surface?



(a) Isoparametric lines and zebra mapping




(b) Rendering



(c) Mock-up



CA-x: Product Lifecycle





Computer Aided Geometric Design (CAGD)

Rekabentuk Geometri Berbantu Komputer (RGBK)

Keyword:

- Approximation Theory;
- Computational Geometry;
- Differential Geometry;
- Curve, Surface, and Solid;
- Computer-Aided-x (CAx);



CAGD: Malaysia

- USM (School of Math. Sc.); spearheaded by Prof. Dato Hasan Said / Prof. S. L. Lee
- CAGD Experts served at USM:
 - T.N.T Goodman
 - Keith Unsworth
- USM Supervisor:
 - Prof J. M. Ali
 - Supervised by Prof Alan Ball (CAD of British Airways)

A Generalized Ball Curve and Its Recursive Algorithm

H. B. SAID
Universiti Sains Malaysia

The use of Bernstein polynomials as the basis functions in Bézier's UNISURF is well known. These basis functions possess the shape-preserving properties that are required in designing free form curves and surfaces. These curves and surfaces are computed efficiently using the de Casteljau Algorithm. Ball uses a similar approach in defining cubic curves and bicubic surfaces in his CONSURF program. The basis functions employed are slightly different from the Bernstein polynomials. However, they also possess the same shape-preserving properties. A generalization of these cubic basis functions of Ball, such that higher order curves and surfaces can be defined and a recursive algorithm for generating the generalized curve are presented. The algorithm could be extended to generate a generalized surface in much the same way that the de Casteljau Algorithm could be used to generate a Bézier surface.

Categories and Subject Descriptors: I.3.5 [Computer Graphics]: Computational Geometry and Object Modeling—curve, surface, solid and object representations

General Terms: Algorithms

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Ball curves, Bernstein polynomials, Bézier curves, computer-aided geometric design, curves and surfaces

1. INTRODUCTION

The work of Bézier [4] on computer-aided design of free-form curves and surfaces has been widely discussed (see [6]). Bézier uses Bernstein polynomials as the basis functions of his scheme, and the scheme approximates a set of control points. These control points form a control polygon (polyhedron) for its curve (surface). The shape of the curve (surface) mimics the shape of the control polygon (polyhedron).

In computer-aided geometric design and computer graphics, efficient algorithms for generating curves or surfaces are important. One of the methods for generating a Bézier curve or surface is by using a recursive algorithm known as de Casteljau Algorithm (see [5] and [8]).

Ball [1–3] uses different basis functions to define his lofting surface program CONSURF at the British Aircraft Corporation. The method is analogous to the

Author's address: School of Mathematical and Computer Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Penang, Malaysia.

Permission to copy without fee all or part of this material is granted provided that the copies are not made or distributed for direct commercial advantage, the ACM copyright notice and the title of the publication and its date appear, and notice is given that copying is by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery. To copy otherwise, or to republish, requires a fee and/or specific permission.

© 1990 ACM 0730-0301/89/1000-0360 \$01.50

ACM Transactions on Graphics, Vol. 8, No. 4, October 1989, Pages 360–371.



Profesor Emeritus Datuk Dr Hasan Said Naib



Computer-Aided Design
Volume 23, Issue 8, October 1991, Pages 554-563



Properties of generalized Ball curves and surfaces

T.N.T. Goodman **, H.B. Said *

Show more ▾


[https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4485\(91\)90056-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4485(91)90056-3)

Get rights and content

Abstract




It is shown that the generalized Ball representation for a polynomial curve is much better suited to degree raising and lowering than the Bézier representation. The generalized Ball basis is then extended to polynomial surfaces over a triangle, and recursive algorithms for evaluation and degree raising are given.

CAGD: HISTORY



1700s; A ship's body plan comprised of superimposed construction geometry.


Ducks & Mechanical splines




- Hooked weights, called “ducks,” accurately traces a spline.
- Schoenberg *1946: polynomial spline of order $k \approx$ Minimum Energy Curve (MEC)

$$E[\kappa(s)] = \int_0^l \kappa(s)^2 \, ds$$


French curves




- Set of French Curves devised by the German kinematician and geometer Ludwig Burmester.
- The shapes are segments of clothoid curves & conic section
- Conic sections: e.g. aircraft design (Consurf for British Aircraft Corporation developed by A.A. Ball used birational cubic)



CAGD Precursor: Numerical Controls (1950s)




CAGD Precursor (past and present): Automobile industry



- Citroën's DS 19 was introduced at the 1955 at the Paris Motor Show.
- Within the first 15 minutes of the show, 743 orders were received.

CAGD Precursor (new): Animations/VR/AR



Timeline of flexible curves/surfaces

B-Spline

Actuarial
1943, Schoenberg

de Boor's Algorithm

Automotive: GM
1960, de Boor

Subdivision Surfaces

Entertainment: Pixar
1978, Catmull / Clark

Parametric Spline Curve

Academic: Syracuse
1974, W. Gordon, R. Riesenfeld

Rational B-Spline

Academic: Syracuse
1975, Versprille

deCasteljau's Algorithm

Automotive: Citroën
1963, de Casteljau

Bézier Curve

Automotive: Renault
1966, Bézier

Conics

Aerospace: N. American
1944, Liming

Rational Bézier Curve

Aerospace: Boeing
1981, Lee

T-Splines

CAD: Acq'd by Autodesk
2003, Sederberg et al.

1950

1960

1970



1980

1990



2000


2010

Interlude: T-Splines



Bazilevs et al, Isogeometric analysis using T-spline, [Vol. 199, Issues 5–8](#), 2010, Pages 229-263





BEZIER CURVES!



Mathematics of shapes

Curve representation, which is suitable?

$$C(t) = (1-t)P_0 + tP_1, \quad t \in [0,1]$$

$$y = mx + c$$

$$ax + by = c$$




$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 10$$

Explicit & Implicit


Example 5.3 (Non-parametric Implicit Curves)

1. Parabola: $y = x^2$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. Circular arc: $y = \sqrt{1 - x^2}$, $x \in [-1, 1]$.
3. Twisted space cubic: $y = x^2$, $z = x^3$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.



Example 5.4 (Implicit Curves)

1. Unit radius circle: $x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$.
2. Cuspidal cubic: $y^2 - x^3 = 0$, see Figure 5.2.




Cuspidal cubic $y^2 - x^3 = 0$

Parametric Curves





Example 5.2 (Parametric Curves)

1. Parabola: (t, t^2) , for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a polynomial curve of degree 2. See Figure 5.1(a).
2. Quarter circle: $\left(\frac{1-t^2}{1+t^2}, \frac{2t}{1+t^2}\right)$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, is a rational curve of degree 2.
3. Unit radius circle: $(\cos(t), \sin(t))$, for $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, see Figure 5.1(b).
4. Twisted space cubic: (t, t^2, t^3) , for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial curve of degree 3. See Figure 5.1(c).
5. Helix: $(r \cos(t), r \sin(t), at)$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $r > 0$, $a \neq 0$. See Figure 5.1(d).




Curve controls

Methods of controlling curves



Classifications: curve design



Bézier curve




- born 1930 in Besançon, France.
- In 1959 Citroën hired Paul de Faget de Casteljau, formulate a curve for design who just fresh from his PhD studies.



- Pierre Étienne Bézier (Sept. 1, 1910 – Nov. 25, 1999)
- As an engineer at Renault, he became a leader in the transformation of design and manufacturing, through mathematics and computing tools, into computer-aided design and three-dimensional modeling.

de facto for CAD systems: B-Splines



- Isaac Jacob Schoenberg (April 21, 1903 – Feb. 21, 1990) was a Romanian-American mathematician
- Carl-Wilhelm Reinhold de Boor (born 3 Dec. 1937) is a German-American mathematician found a better way to evaluate B-Splines.
- ISI Highly Cited Author in Mathematics (at some point)



Ideal Curve for Design

We often wish to generate curves and surfaces

There are a number of (conflicting) goals

1. Interpolate (include) a set of arbitrary points
2. Easy and efficient to compute
3. Smooth (derivatives)
4. Local Control (vs. Global control)
5. Easy to deal with (easy create diff shapes)
6. Satisfy given geometric constraints

It is hard to get all of these...

Linear Bezier Curves = a line

A *linear Bézier curve* is a line segment joining two control points $\mathbf{b}_0(p_0, q_0)$ and $\mathbf{b}_1(p_1, q_1)$, and parametrized by

$$(x(t), y(t)) = (1 - t)(p_0, q_0) + t(p_1, q_1), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1],$$


$$x(t) = (1 - t)p_0 + tp_1, \text{ and } y(t) = (1 - t)q_0 + tq_1.$$

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = (1 - t)\mathbf{b}_0 + t\mathbf{b}_1.$$

$$\mathbf{B}(0) = \mathbf{b}_0$$

$$\mathbf{B}(1) = \mathbf{b}_1$$



- **Coordinate function = a polynomial**
- **Basis function**



Beziers: characteristics

- Control point
- Control polygon

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = (1-t)^2(p_0, q_0) + 2(1-t)t(p_1, q_1) + t^2(p_2, q_2), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].$$




Beziers: general representation

Given $n+1$ control points $\mathbf{b}_0, \mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ the Bézier curve of degree n is defined to be



$$\mathbf{B}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{b}_i B_{i,n}(t),$$

where

$$B_{i,n}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{(n-i)!i!} (1-t)^{n-i} t^i, & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$





are called the *Bernstein polynomials* or *Bernstein basis functions* of degree n .



Beziers: characteristics

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{b}_i B_{i,n}(t) ,$$

- **Partition of Unity** $\sum_{i=0}^n B_{i,n}(t) = 1, \quad t \in [0, 1] .$
 - **Positivity** $B_{i,n}(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [0, 1] .$
 - **Symmetry** $B_{n-i,n}(t) = B_{i,n}(1 - t) , \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n .$
 - **Recursion** $B_{i,n}(t) = (1 - t)B_{i,n-1}(t) + tB_{i-1,n-1}(t)$
1. Convex Hull Property
 2. Invariance under transformation
 3. Symmetrical control polygon results symmetrical curve
 4. de Casteljau algorithm : easy computation!
5. Variation diminishing property
 6. Endpoint Interpolation.
 7. Endpoint Tangent Property.



Beziers: rendering using de Casteljau Algo.


$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{b}_i^0 = \mathbf{b}_i, \\ \mathbf{b}_i^j = (1-t)\mathbf{b}_i^{j-1} + t\mathbf{b}_{i+1}^{j-1}, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{matrix} \mathbf{b}_0^0 & \mathbf{b}_1^0 & \mathbf{b}_2^0 & \mathbf{b}_3^0 \\ \mathbf{b}_0^1 & \mathbf{b}_1^1 & \mathbf{b}_2^1 \\ \mathbf{b}_0^2 & \mathbf{b}_1^2 \\ \mathbf{b}_0^3 \end{matrix}$$

- Can compute any point on the curve in a few iterations
- No polynomials involved
- Repeated linear interpolation

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{b}_0^0 & \mathbf{b}_1^0 & \mathbf{b}_2^0 & \mathbf{b}_3^0 \\ \mathbf{b}_0^1 & \mathbf{b}_1^1 & \mathbf{b}_2^1 & \\ \mathbf{b}_0^2 & \mathbf{b}_1^2 & & \\ \mathbf{b}_0^3 & & & \end{array}$$

de Casteljau algorithm






Degree 3, $t=0.75$

Rational Bezier: more shape control

Definition



A rational Bézier curve is a curve corresponding to the formula

with the weights w_i assumed to be non-zero. In the case where the curve integral B




Since $\sum_{i=0}^n B_{i,n}(t) = 1$, by the partition of unity property, integral Bézier curves are obtained whenever $w_0 = \dots = w_n$.

Bezier Curves: applications





Path design

Continuity and Bezier control points




Sneak peek: Bezier Surface.

Tensor product



$$\mathbf{S}(s, t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p \mathbf{p}_{i,j} B_{i,n}(s) B_{j,p}(t), \text{ for } (s, t) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1].$$





B-SPLINES


Mathematics of shapes

Motivation: B-Spline Basis

Bézier curve of degree 11



three Bézier curve segments of degree 3





B-spline curve of degree 3 (8 control points)


B-Spline Curves (Two Advantages)

1. The degree of a B-spline polynomial can be set independently of the number of control points.
2. B-splines allow local control over the shape of a spline curve (or surface)


Bezier Vs B-spline: Something special about BSpline




B-Spline Curves: degree increment




Degree 1



Degree 2



Degree 3



Degree 4

B-Spline

The *B-spline curve* of degree d (or order $d + 1$) with control points $\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$ and knots t_0, \dots, t_m is defined on the interval $[a, b] = [t_d, t_{m-d}]$ by

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{b}_i N_{i,d}(t) , \quad m = n + d + 1 .$$

where $N_{i,d}(t)$ are the B-spline basis functions of degree d . To distinguish B-spline curves from their rational form (which will be introduced in Section 8.2) they are often referred to as *integral* B-splines.

$$N_{i,0}(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} ,$$


Evaluation?

$$N_{i,d}(t) = \frac{t - t_i}{t_{i+d} - t_i} N_{i,d-1}(t) + \frac{t_{i+d+1} - t}{t_{i+d+1} - t_{i+1}} N_{i+1,d-1}(t) ,$$

• **de Boor Algorithm**

'many parameters' = Knots vectors

degree of d or order of d+1



B-Spline defined in $t \in [t_d, t_{m-d}]$

How make it interpolate endpoints?

A knot vector can have repeated knot values. The number of times a knot value occurs is called the *multiplicity* of the knot. Define a new sequence u_0, \dots, u_r ($u_0 < \dots < u_r$), called the *breakpoints*, consisting of the distinct values of the interior knots. Then the B-spline is the union of the polynomial curve segments $\mathbf{B}_i(t)$ of degree d , $t \in [u_i, u_{i+1})$.

In general, B-spline curves do not interpolate the first and last control points \mathbf{b}_0 and \mathbf{b}_n . For curves of degree d , endpoint interpolation and an endpoint tangent condition are obtained by *open B-splines* for which the end knots satisfy
 $t_0 = t_1 = \dots = t_d$ and $t_{m-d} = t_{m-d+1} = \dots = t_m$.

B-Spline: Example 1

- a. Find the number of knots needed for a B-Spline curve which has degree = 2 and 3 control points ($p_0, p_1 \& p_{n=2}$).
- $d=2$, Control points, $n=2$,
 - $\rightarrow m = n + d + 1 = 5: t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5$.
- b. Find the knot values such a way that it interpolates endpoints.
- $t_0=0, t_1=0, t_2=0, t_3=1, t_4=1, t_5=1$
- c. Find nu of segments within this B-spline.
- $[t_d, t_{m-d}] = [t_2, t_{5-2=3}] = [t_2, t_3] = [0, 1]$
- d. Finally, find the equation of B-Spline curve in polynomial form.

Non-periodic (open) uniform B-Spline

Answer d:

1. To obtain the basis function,

$$B(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n N_{i,d}(t)p_i$$


- $= \sum_{i=0}^2 N_{i,2}(t)p_i$

- $= N_{0,2}(t)p_0 + N_{1,2}(t)p_1 + N_{2,2}(t)p_2$

2. Firstly, find the $N_{i,d}(t)$ using the knot value that shown above, start from $d = 0$ to $d=2$.


Non-periodic (open) uniform B-Spline

- $n=?$, $d=2$, $m=n+d+1 =?$
- $[t_d, t_{m-d}] =$
- Number of segments?
- Each set of control points?



Non-periodic (open) uniform B-Spline

- $n=?$, $d=4$, $m=n+d+1 =?$
- $[t_d, t_{m-d}] =$



B-spline's characteristics

Theorem 8.6

A B-spline curve $\mathbf{B}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{b}_i N_{i,d}(t)$ of degree d defined on the knot vector t_0, \dots, t_m satisfies the following properties.

Local Control: Each segment is determined by $d + 1$ control points. If $t \in [t_r, t_{r+1})$ ($d \leq r \leq m - d - 1$), then

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = \sum_{i=r-d}^r \mathbf{b}_i N_{i,d}(t).$$

Thus to evaluate $\mathbf{B}(t)$ it is sufficient to evaluate $N_{r-d,d}(t), \dots, N_{r,d}(t)$.


Convex Hull: If $t \in [t_r, t_{r+1})$ ($d \leq r \leq m - d - 1$), then

$$\mathbf{B}(t) \in \text{CH}\{\mathbf{b}_{r-d}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_r\}.$$


Continuity: If p_i is the multiplicity of the breakpoint $t = u_i$, then $\mathbf{B}(t)$ is C^{d-p_i} (or greater) at $t = u_i$, and C^∞ elsewhere.

Invariance under Affine Transformations: Let T be an affine transformation. Then $\mathsf{T}(\sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{b}_i N_{i,d}(t)) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathsf{T}(\mathbf{b}_i) N_{i,d}(t)$.



Local control




Continuity




Three cubic B-spline curves.



Types of B-Spline: based on knot vectors



Types of knot vectors



Open & closed B-Spline



Open Cubic clamped



Closed Cubic clamped



Open Cubic unclamped



Closed Cubic unclamped

Definition 8.20

The NURBS curve of degree d (order $d + 1$) with control points $\mathbf{b}_0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n$, weights w_0, \dots, w_n , and knot vector t_0, \dots, t_m , is the curve defined on the interval $[a, b] = [t_d, t_{m-d}]$ given by

$$\mathbf{B}(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n w_i \mathbf{b}_i N_{i,d}(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^n w_i N_{i,d}(t)}, \quad (8.13)$$



where $N_{i,d}(t)$ are the B-spline basis functions defined on the specified knot vector, and with the understanding that if $w_i = 0$ then $w_i \mathbf{b}_i$ is to be replaced by \mathbf{b}_i . The curve may also be written in the form


$$\mathbf{B}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{b}_i R_{i,d}(t),$$

where

$$R_{i,d}(t) = \frac{w_i N_{i,d}(t)}{\sum_{j=0}^n w_j N_{j,d}(t)}$$

NURBS curves of degree 2 to represent a circle





SURFACES

Mathematics of shapes

B-Spline Surface

Let $N_{i,d}(s)$ be the B-spline basis functions of degree d with knot vector s_0, s_1, \dots, s_m , and let $N_{j,e}(t)$ be the B-spline basis functions of degree e with knot vector t_0, t_1, \dots, t_q . A *B-spline surface* with control points $\mathbf{p}_{i,j}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n = m - d - 1$, $0 \leq j \leq p = q - e - 1$) is defined by

$$\mathbf{S}(s, t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p \mathbf{p}_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t), \text{ for } (s, t) \in [s_d, s_{m-d}] \times [t_e, t_{q-e}]. \quad (9.9)$$

A *NURBS surface* with control points $\mathbf{p}_{i,j}$ and weights $w_{i,j}$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{S}(s, t) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p w_{i,j} \mathbf{p}_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p w_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t)}, \text{ for } (s, t) \in [s_d, s_{m-d}] \times [t_e, t_{q-e}]. \quad (9.10)$$

As for Bézier surfaces, the $(n + 1) \times (p + 1)$ control points of a B-spline or NURBS surface form a *control point polyhedron*. A B-spline surface is said to be *open* (respectively, *periodic*, *closed*) if the basis functions in both s and t are defined on *open* (respectively, *periodic*, *closed*) knot vectors.

Bézier or B-spline surfaces are said to be *bilinear*, *biquadratic*, *bicubic*, etc., whenever $n = p = 1$, $n = p = 2$, $n = p = 3$, etc.

Properties of B-Spline Surfaces

Theorem 9.11

A B-spline surface (9.9) satisfies the following properties.

Local Control: Each segment is determined by a $(d + 1) \times (e + 1)$ mesh of control points. If $s \in [s_\sigma, s_{\sigma+1})$ and $t \in [t_\tau, t_{\tau+1})$ ($d \leq \sigma \leq m - d - 1$, $e \leq \tau \leq n - e - 1$), then

$$\mathbf{S}(s, t) = \sum_{i=\sigma-d}^{\sigma} \sum_{j=\tau-e}^{\tau} \mathbf{p}_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t), \text{ for } (s, t) \in [s_d, s_{m-d}] \times [t_e, t_{n-e}] .$$

Convex Hull: If $s \in [s_\sigma, s_{\sigma+1})$ and $t \in [t_\tau, t_{\tau+1})$ ($d \leq \sigma \leq m - d - 1$, $e \leq \tau \leq n - e - 1$), then $\mathbf{S}(s, t) \in \text{CH}\{\mathbf{p}_{\sigma-d, \tau-e}, \dots, \mathbf{p}_{\sigma, \tau}\}$.

Invariance under Affine Transformations: Let T be a three-dimensional affine transformation. Then

$$\mathsf{T} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p \mathbf{p}_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t) \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p \mathsf{T}(\mathbf{p}_{i,j}) N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t) .$$

Properties of NURBS Surfaces

Theorem 9.12

A NURBS surface (9.10) satisfies the following properties.

Local Control: If $s \in [s_\sigma, s_{\sigma+1})$ and $t \in [t_\tau, t_{\tau+1})$ ($d \leq \sigma \leq m - d - 1$, $e \leq \tau \leq n - e - 1$), then

$$\mathbf{S}(s, t) = \frac{\sum_{i=\sigma-d}^{\sigma} \sum_{j=\tau-e}^{\tau} w_{i,j} \mathbf{p}_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t)}{\sum_{i=\sigma-d}^{\sigma} \sum_{j=\tau-e}^{\tau} w_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t)}.$$

Convex Hull: If the weights w_i are all positive, then as for Theorem 9.11.

Invariance under Affine Transformations:

Let \mathbf{T} be a three-dimensional affine transformation. Then



$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{T} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p w_{i,j} \mathbf{p}_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p w_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p w_{i,j} \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{p}_{i,j}) N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p w_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t)}. \end{aligned}$$


Invariance under Projective Transformations:

Let \mathbf{T} be a three-dimensional projective transformation, and let $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i,j} = (w_{i,j}x_{i,j}, w_{i,j}y_{i,j}, w_{i,j}z_{i,j}, w_{i,j})$ be the homogeneous control points of $\mathbf{p}_{i,j} = (x_{i,j}, y_{i,j}, z_{i,j})$. Then


$$\mathbf{T} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i,j} N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t) \right) = \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^p \mathbf{T}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i,j}) N_{i,d}(s) N_{j,e}(t).$$

Tensor Product: NURBS







extrude




loft




planar




revolve




network




sweep




blend




patch



extend



fillet




offset

SURFACES

Mathematics of shapes


Developable surface



Undevelopable surfaces





Fig. 1: Typical press work in Japanese shipyards



Process of sheet metal forming using lines of curvature

Undevelopable surfaces






LACS


Towards aesthetic design

LAC developed similar to design practice!




→ Aesthetics No.2 (Detroit 2011)

Log-Aesthetic Curves (LACs)



House Design by T.Suzuki





Path Planning: idea from highway design




Fig. 1. Line to circle with a single spiral.




Fig. 2. Circle to circle with a single spiral.




Fig. 3. Circle to circle forming an S-curve.




Fig. 4. Circle to circle forming a C-curve.




Fig. 5. Line to line with a pair of spirals.

bi-clothoid: an unique solution always exist

BAASS K. (1984), Transportation Forum, 1(3), 47–52

D.S. MEEK and D.J. WALTON (1989), Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 25 (1989) 69–78

Minimum variation log-aesthetic surfaces: approx. isoparametric curves into log-aesthetic curves.

$$J_{LAS} = \int_{u_1}^{u_2} \int_{v_1}^{v_2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{E}} \alpha^2 (\rho^u)^{2\alpha-2} (\rho_u^u)^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \beta^2 (\rho^v)^{2\beta-2} (\rho_v^v)^2 \right) d\nu du \quad (6)$$

Here, E and G are elements of the first fundamental form and given by $E = \partial S / \partial u \cdot \partial S / \partial u$ and $G = \partial S / \partial v \cdot \partial S / \partial v$ respectively. ρ^u and ρ^v are radius of curvatures of isoparametric curve's u and v direction.




Fig. 6. Generated surfaces. Left: before optimization. Middle: surface with added noise. Right: after optimization.




Fig. 7. Mean curvature distribution. Left: before optimization Middle: surface with added noise. Right: after optimization.



Fig. 8. Zebra map. Left: before optimization Middle: surface with added noise. Right: after optimization.



Thank You

