

PasswordStore Initial Audit Report

Version 0.1

Cyfrin.io

PasswordStore Audit Report

YOUR_NAME_HERE

September 1, 2023

PasswordStore Audit Report

Prepared by: YOUR_NAME_HERE Lead Auditors:

• YOUR_NAME_HERE

Assisting Auditors:

None

Table of contents

See table

- PasswordStore Audit Report
- Table of contents
- About YOUR_NAME_HERE
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
- Protocol Summary
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found

- Findings
 - High
 - * [H-1] Passwords stored on-chain are visable to anyone, not matter solidity variable visibility
 - * [H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword is callable by anyone

About YOUR_NAME_HERE

Disclaimer

The YOUR_NAME_HERE team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

Audit Details

The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash:

1 **2**e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990

Scope

```
1 src/
2 --- PasswordStore.sol
```

Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

Executive Summary

Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	0
Gas Optimizations	0
Total	0

Findings

High

[H-1] Passwords stored on-chain are visable to anyone, not matter solidity variable visibility

Description: All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly from the blockchain. The PasswordStore::s_password variable is intended to be a private variable, and only accessed through the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

However, anyone can directly read this using any number of

Impact: The password is not private.

Proof of Concept: The below test case shows how anyone could read the password directly from the blockchain. We use foundry's cast tool to read directly from the storage of the contract, without being the owner.

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

3. Run the storage tool

We use 1 because that's the storage slot of s_password in the contract.

```
1 cast storage <ADDRESS_HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You'll get an output that looks like this:

You can then parse that hex to a string with:

And get an output of:

```
1 myPassword
```

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password on-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword is callable by anyone

Description: The PasswordStore::setPassword function is set to be an external function, however the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that This function allows only the owner to set a **new** password.

YOUR NAME HERE 5

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @audit - There are no access controls here
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

Impact: Anyone can set/change the password of the contract.

Proof of Concept:

Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test suite.

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public {
    vm.prank(randomAddress);
    string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
    passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
    vm.prank(owner);
    string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
    assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
}
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add an access control modifier to the setPassword function.

```
1 if (msg.sender != s_owner) {
2    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3 }
```