

ASSIGNMENT-5

Q1.

Sol. An integral domain is a commutative ring D with no non-zero divisors.

$D[x]$ is the ring of all polynomials with coefficients D .

1. ADDITION AND MULTIPLICATION IN $D[x]$:

- The set $D[x]$ forms a ring under polynomial addition and multiplication.
- Polynomial multiplication is associative and distributive over addition, just as in any commutative ring.

2. Since D is commutative, so is $D[x]$ under polynomial multiplication.

3. Let $f(x), g(x) \in D[x]$ where, $f(m) \neq 0$ and $g(m) \neq 0$

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \quad g(x) = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_0$$

- The degree of product of $f(m)g(m)$ is $n+m$ and the leading coefficient of $f(m)g(m)$ is $a_n b_m$.
- Since D is an integral domain $a_n b_m \neq 0$, thus $f(m)g(m) \neq 0$.

Q2.

Sol. A prime ideal I in a commutative ring R is an ideal such that for any $a, b \in R$, if $ab \in I$, then either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

In \mathbb{Z} , an ideal $\langle p \rangle$ is set of all multiples of p $\langle p \rangle = px \quad \forall x$

1. $\langle p \rangle$ is ideal

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \langle p \rangle$

$$n = pk \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$na \in \mathbb{Z} \quad na + nb \in \langle p \rangle$$

Similarly, $n \cdot r \in \langle p \rangle$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ because $n(rk) = p(nr)$
 $\langle p \rangle$ is an ideal for \mathbb{Z} .

2. $\langle p \rangle$ is prime.

$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ab \in \langle p \rangle$

$$ab = pk \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Since p is a prime number either $p | a$ or $p | b$.

If $p | a$, then $a \in \langle p \rangle$ because $a = pm$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

Similarly if $p | b$, then $b \in \langle p \rangle$

Therefore $ab \in \langle p \rangle$ implies $a \in \langle p \rangle$ or $b \in \langle p \rangle$

Q3:

4.

Ideals of \mathbb{Z}_{12} .

$$\langle 0 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}$$

$$\langle 4 \rangle = \{0, 4, 8\}$$

$$\langle 6 \rangle = \{0, 6\}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{12} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$$

Divisors of 12 are:

$$1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12$$

For $\langle 2 \rangle$: $\mathbb{Z}_{12}/\langle 2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ which is field

thus $\langle 2 \rangle$ is maximal

for $\langle 3 \rangle$: $\mathbb{Z}_{12}/\langle 3 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$ field

thus $\langle 3 \rangle$ is maximal

Q4

Sol. $f(n) = 4n - 5 \quad g(n) = 2n^2 - 4n + 2$

$$f(n) = 4n - 5$$

$$g(n) = 2n^2 - 4n + 2$$

$$f(n) + g(n) = 2n^2 + (4n - 4n) + (2 - 5)$$

$$f(n) + g(n) = 2n^2 - 3 \pmod{8}$$

$$= 2n^2 + 5$$

$$f(n) \cdot g(n) = (4n - 5)(2n^2 - 4n + 2)$$

$$= 8n^3 - 16n^2 + 8n - 10n^2 + 20n - 10$$

$$= 8n^3 - 26n^2 + 28n - 10$$

Q5.

Sol. Ring homomorphism $f: R \rightarrow F$

$$f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b) \quad f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$$

$$\text{Ker } f = \{r \in R : f(r) = 0_F\}$$

Since f is a homomorphism onto F , every element of F has a preimage in R making f surjective.

First Isomorphism Theorem for ring states that

$$R/\text{Ker } f \cong \text{Im}(f)$$

$R/\text{Ker } f \cong F$, which implies $R/\text{Ker } f$ is a field.

Maximum Ideal :

$R/\text{Ker } f$ to be a field, $\text{Ker } f$ must be a maximum ideal of R .

If $\text{Ker } f$ were not maximal, there would exist an ideal I such that $\text{Ker } f \subsetneq I \subsetneq R$

This would imply R/I is not a field contradicting the isomorphism $R/I \cong F$.

Q6.

Let M be a maximal ideal of R :

$M \neq R$ and there is no ideal properly containing M other than R .

Consider the Quotient ring R/M

- In R/M , there are no zero divisors

- for any $a, b \in R$, if $ab \in M$, then either $a \in M$ or $b \in M$

This is because in the field R/M the image of ab is zero only if the image of a or b is zero.

$$abc \in M \Rightarrow a \in M \text{ or } b \in M$$

Hence R/M being a field implies that M satisfies the condition for being a prime ideal, every maximal ideal of R is also a prime ideal.

Q7

A ideal M is maximal if R/M is a field

An ideal P is prime if R/P is an integral domain.

eg:- consider the commutative ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

1. Ideal of $\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2\}$ is maximal, because $\mathbb{Z}_4/\langle 2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a field.

2. The ideal $\langle 2 \rangle$ is not prime because $\mathbb{Z}_4/\langle 2 \rangle$ has zero divisors. $2 \cdot 2 = 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

In $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$ the ideal $\langle 2 \rangle$ is maximal but not prime.

Q8.

81. Let P_1, P_2 be prime ideal of a ring R .

Assume $P_1 \cap P_2$ is a prime ideal of R . ~~is~~

1. Suppose $P_1 \subseteq P_2$: $a \in P_1$ such that $a \notin P_2$.

2. $P_2 \subseteq P_1$: $b \in P_2$ such that $b \notin P_1$.

3. Consider ab :

$a \in P_1$ and $b \in P_2$, we have

$ab \in P_1$ and $ab \in P_2$ (as P_1 and P_2 are ideal)

Therefore $ab \in P_1 \cap P_2$.

4. Using the prime property $P_1 \cap P_2$

Since $P_1 \cap P_2$ is prime and $ab \in P_1 \cap P_2$, it follows that either $a \notin P_1 \cap P_2$ or $b \notin P_1 \cap P_2$.

C-1 $a \in P_1 \cap P_2$

This implies $a \in P_2$, which contradicts our assumption that $a \notin P_2$.

C-2 $b \in P_1 \cap P_2$

This implies $b \in P_1$, which contradicts our assumption $b \notin P_1$.

One of our assumptions ($a \notin P_2$ or $b \notin P_1$) must be false.

Therefore either $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ or $P_2 \subseteq P_1$.

Q9.

Sol. Commutative ring $R = \mathbb{Z}$

$$\text{Let } P_1 = \langle 2 \rangle, P_2 = \langle 3 \rangle$$

Both P_1 and P_2 are prime ideals because

$$\mathbb{Z}/P_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ (a field) and}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}/P_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \text{ (a field.)}$$

The intersection $P_1 \cap P_2$ consists of all integers

$$P_1 \cap P_2 = \langle 2 \rangle \cap \langle 3 \rangle = \{0\}$$

which is zero ideal in \mathbb{Z} .

for any ideal I to be prime, it must satisfy the condition $a \in I$, then either $a \in I$ or $b \in I$ for all $a, b \in I$

In this case $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{0\}$ is not a prime ideal because the zero ideal $\{0\}$ does not satisfy the prime ideal.

This intersection of two prime ideals $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{0\}$ is not a prime ideal in this case.

Q10

Sol.

Consider the ring \mathbb{Z}_6

Let $M_1 = \langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4\}$ which is a maximal ideal ($\mathbb{Z}_6/\langle 2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$)

Let $M_2 = \langle 3 \rangle = \{0, 3\}$ which is a maximal ideal ($\mathbb{Z}_6/\langle 3 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$)

$$M_1 \cap M_2 = \langle 2 \rangle \cap \langle 3 \rangle = \{0\}$$

which is zero ideal of \mathbb{Z}_6

for any ideal I to be maximal, the Quotient ring R/I must be a field. In this case $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{0\}$ which is not a field because it has zero divisor.

Since \mathbb{Z}_6 is not a field, the zero ideal $\{0\}$ is not a maximal ideal.

- i) The intersection of two maximal ideals does not necessarily result in a maximal ideal.

Q. 11.

Sol. Let R be a Boolean ring and let P be a prime ideal of R such that $P \neq R$.

Consider the Quotient ring R/P . Since P is a prime ideal the quotient ring R/P inherits the Boolean ring structure from R , means

$$(a+P)^2 = a+P \quad \text{for all } a \in R.$$

Thus R/P is also a Boolean ring.

In a Boolean ring, the Quotient ring by a prime ideal must be a field.

Consider any non-zero element $a+P \in R/P$

We know that $a+P$ is idempotent and hence $a+P$ is P 's own inverse in the Quotient ring.

Every non-zero element in R/P is invertible means that R/P is a field and thus it follows that P is maximal.

Q. 12.

Sol. i) $\deg(f(m) + g(m)) < \max\{\deg f(m), \deg g(m)\}$?

$$\text{Let } f(m) = m^2 + x$$

$$\text{Let } g(m) = -m^2 + 2x$$

$$f(m) + g(m) = 3x$$

Degrees of $f(m) + g(m) = 1$

$$\text{while } \max\{\deg f(m), \deg g(m)\} = \max\{2, 2\} \\ = 2$$

(i) $\deg(f_m \cdot g_m) \leq \deg(f_m) + \deg(g_m)$

$$\begin{aligned}f_m \cdot g_m &= (x^2+x)(-x^2+2x) \\&= -x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2\end{aligned}$$

Degree of $f_m \cdot g_m = 4$

$$\deg f_m = 2 \quad \deg g_m = 2$$

So this satisfies the condition.