

## ASSIGNMENT-5

Q1.

Sol. An Integral domain is a commutative ring  $D$  with no ~~non~~ zero divisors.

$D[x]$  is the ring of all polynomials with coefficients in  $D$ .

1. Addition and Multiplication in  $D[x]$ :

- The set  $D[x]$  forms a ring under polynomial addition and multiplication.
- Polynomial multiplication is associative and distributive over addition, just as in any commutative ring.

2. Since  $D$  is commutative, so is  $D[x]$  under polynomial multiplication.

3. ~~non~~ Let  $f(x), g(x) \in D[x]$  where,  $f(x) \neq 0$  and  $g(x) \neq 0$

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_0$$

- The degree of product of  $f(x)g(x)$  is  $n+m$  and the leading coefficient of  $f(x)g(x)$  is  $a_n b_m$ .
- Since  $D$  is an integral domain  $a_n b_m \neq 0$ . Thus  $f(x)g(x) \neq 0$ .

Q2.

Sol. A prime ideal  $I$  in a commutative ring  $R$  is an ideal such that for any  $a, b \in R$ , if  $ab \in I$ , then either  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$ .

In  $\mathbb{Z}$ , an ideal  $\langle p \rangle$  is set of all multiples of  $p$   $\langle p \rangle = \{px \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

1.  $\langle p \rangle$  is ideal

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \in \langle p \rangle$

$$n = pr \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$m \in \mathbb{Z} \quad n + ma \in \langle p \rangle$$

Similarly,  $n + r \in \langle p \rangle$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  because  $n(p) = p(n)$   
 $\langle p \rangle$  is an ideal for  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

2.  $\langle p \rangle$  is prime:

$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ab \in \langle p \rangle$

$$ab = pr \quad \text{for some } r \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Since  $p$  is a prime number either  $p|a$  or  $p|b$ .

If  $p|a$ , then  $a \in \langle p \rangle$  because  $a = pm$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

Similarly if  $p|b$ , then  $b \in \langle p \rangle$

Therefore  $ab \in \langle p \rangle$  implies  $a \in \langle p \rangle$  or  $b \in \langle p \rangle$

Q3.

Q4.

Ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ .

$$\mathbb{Z}_{12} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 11\}$$

$$\langle 0 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 11\}$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}$$

$$\langle 4 \rangle = \{0, 4, 8\}$$

$$\langle 6 \rangle = \{0, 6\}$$

Divisors of 12 are:

$$1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12$$

For  $\langle 2 \rangle$ :  $\mathbb{Z}_{12} / \langle 2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  which is a field

Thus  $\langle 2 \rangle$  is maximal

For  $\langle 3 \rangle$ :  $\mathbb{Z}_{12} / \langle 3 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$  field

Thus  $\langle 3 \rangle$  is maximal

Q4

Sol.

$$f(x) = 4x - 5 \quad g(x) = 2x^2 - 4x + 2$$

$$f(x) = 4x - 5$$

$$g(x) = 2x^2 - 4x + 2$$

$$f(x) + g(x) = 2x^2 + (4x + 4x) + (5 - 3)$$

$$f(x) + g(x) = 2x^2 - 3 \pmod{8} \\ = 2x^2 + 5$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) - g(x) &= (4x - 5)(2x^2 - 4x + 2) \\ &= 8x^3 - 16x^2 + 8x - 10x^2 + 20x - 10 \\ &= 6x^2 + 4x + 6 \end{aligned}$$

Q5.

Sol.

Ring homomorphism  $f: R \rightarrow F$

$$f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b) \quad , \quad f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$$

$$\text{Ker } f = \{x \in R : f(x) = 0_F\}$$

Since  $f$  is a homomorphism onto  $F$ , every element of  $F$  has a pre image in  $R$  making  $f$  surjective.

First Isomorphism Theorem for rings states that

$$R / \text{Ker } f \cong \text{Im}(f)$$

$R / \text{Ker } f \cong F$ , which implies  $R / \text{Ker } f$  is a field.

Maximum Ideal :

$R / \text{Ker } f$  to be a field,  $\text{Ker } f$  must be a maximum ideal of  $R$ .

If  $\text{Ker } f$  were not maximal, there would exist an Ideal  $I$  such that  $\text{Ker } f \subsetneq I \subsetneq R$



This would imply  $R/I$  is not a field contradicting the isomorphism  $R/\ker f \cong F$ .

Q6

So: Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ :

$M \neq R$  and there is no ideal properly containing  $M$  other than  $R$ .

Consider the Quotient ring  $R/M$

- In  $R/M$ , there are no zero divisors

- For any  $a, b \in R$ , if  $ab \in M$ , then either  $a \in M$  or  $b \in M$

This is because in the field  $R/M$  the image of  $ab$  is zero only if the image of  $a$  or  $b$  is zero

$$ab \in M \Rightarrow a \in M \text{ or } b \in M$$

Since  $R/M$  being a field implies that  $M$  satisfies the condition for being a prime ideal, every maximal ideal of  $R$  is also a prime ideal

Q7

So: A ideal  $M$  is maximal if  $R/M$  is a field

An ideal  $P$  is prime if  $R/P$  is an integral domain.

eg: Consider the commutative ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

1. Ideal of  $\langle 2 \rangle = 0, 2$  is maximal, because  $\mathbb{Z}_4/\langle 2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  is a field.

2. The ideal  $\langle 2 \rangle$  is not prime because  $\mathbb{Z}_4/\langle 2 \rangle$  has zero divisors.  $2 \cdot 2 = 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

In  $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$  the ideal  $\langle 2 \rangle$  is maximal but not prime.

Q8.

So: let  $P_1, P_2$  be prime ideal of a ring  $R$ .

Assume  $P_1 \cap P_2$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ .

1. Suppose  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ :  $a \in P_1$  such that  $a \in P_2$ .

2.  $P_2 \subseteq P_1$ :  $b \in P_2$  such that  $b \in P_1$ .

3. Consider  $ab$ :

$a \in P_1$  and  $b \in P_2$  we have

$ab \in P_1$  and  $ab \in P_2$  (as  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are ideal)

Therefore  $ab \in P_1 \cap P_2$ .

4. Using the prime property  $P_1 \cap P_2$

Since  $P_1 \cap P_2$  is prime and  $ab \in P_1 \cap P_2$ , it follows that either  $a \in P_1 \cap P_2$  or  $b \in P_1 \cap P_2$ .

C-1  $a \in P_1 \cap P_2$

This implies  $a \in P_2$ , which contradicts our assumption

that  $a \notin P_2$ .

C-2  $b \in P_1 \cap P_2$

This implies  $b \in P_1$ , which contradicts our assumption

that  $b \notin P_1$ .

~~Q8~~

one of our assumptions ( $a \notin P_2$  or  $b \notin P_1$ ) must be false.

Therefore either  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$  or  $P_2 \subseteq P_1$ .

Q9.

Sol. Commutative ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ 

$$\text{Let } P_1 = \langle 2 \rangle, P_2 = \langle 3 \rangle$$

Both  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are prime ideals because

$$\mathbb{Z}/P_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ (a field) and}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}/P_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \text{ (a field.)}$$

The intersection  $P_1 \cap P_2$  consists of all integers

$$P_1 \cap P_2 = \langle 2 \rangle \cap \langle 3 \rangle = \{0\}$$

which is zero ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

for any ideal  $I$  to be prime, it must satisfy the condition  $ab \in I$ , then either  $a \in I$  or  $b \in I$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

In this case  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{0\}$  is not a prime ideal because the zero ideal  $\{0\}$  does not satisfy the prime ideal.

Thus intersection of two prime ideals  $P_1 \cap P_2 = \{0\}$  is not a prime ideal in this case.

Q10

Sol. Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ 

$$\text{Let } M_1 = \langle 2 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4\} \text{ which is a maximal ideal } (\mathbb{Z}_6/\langle 2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

$$\text{Let } M_2 = \langle 3 \rangle = \{0, 3\} \text{ which is a maximal ideal } (\mathbb{Z}_6/\langle 3 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_3)$$

$$M_1 \cap M_2 = \langle 2 \rangle \cap \langle 3 \rangle = \{0\}$$

which is zero ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}_6$

for any ideal  $I$  to be maximal, the quotient ring  $R/I$  must be a field. In this case  $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{0\}$  which is not a field because it has zero divisor.



Since  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is not a field, the zero ideal  $\{0\}$  is not a maximal ideal.

∴ The intersection of two maximal ideal, does not necessarily result in a maximal ideal.

Q. 11.

Sol. Let  $R$  be a Boolean ring and let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  such that  $P \neq R$ .

consider the Quotient ring  $R/P$ . Since  $P$  is a prime ideal the Quotient ring  $R/P$  inherits the boolean ring structure from  $R$ , means

$$(a+P)^2 = a+P \quad \text{for all } a \in R.$$

Thus  $R/P$  is also a boolean ring.

In a boolean ring, the Quotient ring by a prime ideal must be a field.

Consider any non-zero element  $a+P \in R/P$

We know that  $a+P$  is idempotent and hence  $a+P$  is its own inverse in the Quotient ring.

Every non-zero element in  $R/P$  is invertible means that  $R/P$  is field. And thus it follows that  $P$  is maximal.

Q. 12.

Sol.

$$i), \quad \deg(f(m) + g(m)) < \max\{\deg f(m), \deg g(m)\}$$

$$\text{Let } f(m) = x^2 + x$$

$$\text{Let } g(m) = -m^2 + 2x$$

$$f(m) + g(m) = 3x$$

$$\text{Degree of } f(m) + g(m) = 1$$

$$\text{while } \max\{\deg f(m), \deg g(m)\} = \max\{2, 2\} = 2$$

$$(ii) \deg(f(x) \cdot g(x)) \leq \deg(f(x)) + \deg(g(x))$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \cdot g(x) &= (x^2+x)(-x^2+2x) \\ &= -x^4+x^3+2x^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Degree of } f(x) \cdot g(x) = 4$$

$$\deg f(x) = 2 \quad \deg g(x) = 2$$

$$4 \leq 4,$$

So this satisfies the condition.