

NOTES ON HODGE/DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY OF STACKS

CHARLIE GODFREY

1. SHEAVES AND COMPLEXES OF DIFFERENTIALS

This is mostly based off the intros of Behrend's "On the de Rham cohomology of differential and algebraic stacks."

Let \mathcal{X} be a smooth algebraic stack over a base scheme S . The lisse-étale site (which I'm going to denote by $LE\mathcal{X}$) of \mathcal{X} has objects the smooth \mathcal{X} -schemes $T \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{X}$ and morphisms the morphisms $T \xrightarrow{\varphi} T'$ over \mathcal{X} . A collection of \mathcal{X} -morphisms $U_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} T$ in $LE\mathcal{X}$ is a cover if it's an étale cover of T (when we forget about the maps to \mathcal{X}).

The site $LE\mathcal{X}$ comes with a structure sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ whose restriction to each smooth \mathcal{X} -scheme $T \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{X}$ is the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_T . So, we can look at sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules on $LE\mathcal{X}$.

For each object $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ in $LE\mathcal{X}$ there is a coherent sheaf $\Omega_{T|S}$ of relative differentials over the base, and for a morphism $\varphi : T \rightarrow T'$ in $LE\mathcal{X}$ there is an induced morphism of quasicoherent sheaves

$$d\varphi^\vee : \Omega_{T'|X} \rightarrow \varphi_* \Omega_{T|S} \text{ on } T'$$

If the map φ is étale, then the adjoint induced morphism of sheaves $\varphi^{-1} \Omega_{T'|X} \rightarrow \Omega_{T|S}$ on T is an isomorphism (this is basically what it *means* to be étale, right?). The criteria on p. 193 of Olsson's book can be used to verify that we've defined a sheaf Ω on $LE\mathcal{X}$ whose restriction to T is $\Omega_{T|S}$ and with restriction maps given by the morphisms $\Omega_{T'|S} \xrightarrow{d\varphi^\vee} \varphi_* \Omega_{T|S}$.

Since each $\Omega_{T|S}$ is a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_T -modules and the morphisms $d\varphi^\vee : \Omega_{T'|X} \rightarrow \varphi_* \Omega_{T|S}$ are $\mathcal{O}_{T'}$ linear, it's clear that Ω is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules. However it's *not* cartesian since the morphism $\varphi^* \Omega_{T'|S} \rightarrow \Omega_{T|S}$ adjoint to $d\varphi^\vee$ is not in general an isomorphism. So, Ω is not a quasicoherent sheaf on \mathcal{X} (in the sense of chapter 9 in Olsson's book). For this reason some people (Behrend in particular) call it a "big" sheaf on $LE\mathcal{X}$.

Remark 1.1. Since \mathcal{X} is assumed to be smooth and the objects of $LE\mathcal{X}$ are *smooth* morphisms $T \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{X}$, the schemes T are necessarily smooth over the base S , and so the sheaves $\Omega_{T|S}$ are locally free of finite rank.

So, if Ω *were* coherent, these sheaves $\Omega_{T|S}$ would all have the same rank - but that would imply that all the schemes T have the same relative dimension over S , which is absurd.

In fact the exterior powers $\Omega^p := \bigwedge^p \Omega$ fit into a complex $\Omega^* :$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega^2 \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

On any given smooth \mathcal{X} -scheme $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ this restricts to the de Rham complex

$$\mathcal{O}_T \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_{T|S} \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_{T|S}^2 \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

where ∂ denotes the exterior derivative. Recall that ∂ is \mathcal{O}_S -linear but *not* \mathcal{O}_T -linear - it satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$\partial(f\alpha) = (\partial f) \wedge \alpha + f(\partial\alpha) \in \Omega_{T|S}^{p+1}(T) \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{O}_T(T), \alpha \in \Omega_{T|S}^p(T)$$

So, it seems like Ω^* should be viewed as a complex of \mathcal{O}_S -modules on $LE\mathcal{X}$. Here \mathcal{O}_S is the sheaf of rings on $LE\mathcal{X}$ restricting to $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ on an object $T \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, where $\pi : T \rightarrow S$ gives T the structure of an S -scheme.

2. THE HODGE TO DE RHAM SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

Definition 2.1. The **de Rham cohomology** of \mathcal{X} is $H_{dR}^*(\mathcal{X}) := \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^*)$.

Here the right hand side denotes the hyper-cohomology of the complex Ω^* of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_S -modules on $LE\mathcal{X}$. Note that $H_{dR}^*(\mathcal{X})$ has a natural graded commutative ring structure: the multiplication is given by the composition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^*) \otimes \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^*) &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{X} \times_S \mathcal{X}, \pi_1^* \Omega^* \otimes \pi_2^* \Omega^*) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{X} \times_S \mathcal{X}, \Omega^*) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^*) \end{aligned}$$

Here one way to obtain the first map is via the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem and the Kunneth formula (Totaro discusses this) and the second map is induced by the diagonal $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_S \mathcal{X}$. I think these should all be $\mathcal{O}_S(S)$ -algebras, and in that case the tensors should be over $\mathcal{O}_S(S)$. At some point we'll set $S = \text{Spec } k$ for k field and this will hopefully be more transparent.

The complex Ω^* comes with a descending filtration

$$\Omega^* = F^0 \Omega^* \supset F^1 \Omega^* \supset F^2 \Omega^* \supset \dots$$

where $F^p \Omega^*$ is the complex obtained by truncating Ω^* before Ω^p : it looks like

$$0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Omega^p \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega^{p+1} \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots$$

More precisely

$$(F^p \Omega^*)^i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < p \\ \Omega^i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

From this we obtain a descending filtration

$$H_{dR}^*(\mathcal{X}) = F^0 H_{dR}^*(\mathcal{X}) \supset F^1 H_{dR}^*(\mathcal{X}) \supset F^2 H_{dR}^*(\mathcal{X}) \supset \dots$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} F^p H_{dR}^*(\mathcal{X}) &= \text{the image of the homomorphism} \\ \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{X}, F^p \Omega^*) &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^*) = H_{dR}^*(\mathcal{X}) \end{aligned}$$

There is a spectral sequence of the form

$$E_1^{pq} = H^q(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^p) \implies \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}|T}^*) = H_{dR}^{p+q}(\mathcal{X})$$

This is the **Hodge-to-de-Rham spectral sequence** - it's just the hypercohomology spectral sequence of the complex Ω^* .

3. THE COTANGENT COMPLEX $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{X}|S}$

Let $T \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{X}$ be an object of $LE\mathcal{X}$. Letting $X \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{X}$ be a smooth presentation, we can form the cartesian diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{\mathcal{X}} T & \xrightarrow{q'} & T \\ x' \downarrow & & \downarrow x \\ X & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

Note that $q' : X \times_{\mathcal{X}} T \rightarrow X$ is a smooth morphism of schemes, so $\Omega_{X \times_{\mathcal{X}} T|X}$ is a locally free sheaf of finite rank on $X \times_{\mathcal{X}} T$. It should be clear (by which I mean I haven't actually checked this, but

Behrend seems to be assuming this) that $\Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T|X}$ descends to a locally free sheaf of finite rank on T - call that sheaf $\Omega_{T|\mathfrak{X}}$. Note that if $T \xrightarrow{q} T'$ is a morphism in $LE\mathfrak{X}$ we get a morphism of schemes $X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T \xrightarrow{id \times \varphi} X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T'$ over X and hence an induced morphism of sheaves $d(id \times \varphi)^{\vee} : \Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T'|X} \rightarrow (id \times \varphi)_* \Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T|X}$ which should descend to a morphism $d\varphi^{\vee} : \Omega_{T'|\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \varphi_* \Omega_{T|\mathfrak{X}}$ of coherent sheaves on T .

Proceeding in this way one obtains a sheaf $\Omega_{|\mathfrak{X}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ modules on $LE\mathfrak{X}$ whose restriction to any $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is $\Omega_{T|\mathfrak{X}}$. This is not a cartesian sheaf (for essentially the same reasons that Ω fails to be a cartesian sheaf).

NOTE: One should probably check that the sheaf $\Omega_{|\mathfrak{X}}$ so obtained doesn't depend on the choice of a presentation $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ (it'd be even better to give a definition of $\Omega_{|\mathfrak{X}}$ that makes no use of a presentation).

Observe that there's a natural morphism of sheaves $\Omega \rightarrow \Omega_{|\mathfrak{X}}$ - for a smooth \mathfrak{X} -scheme $T \xrightarrow{x} \mathfrak{X}$, form the Cartesian diagram

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T & \xrightarrow{q'} & T \\ x' \downarrow & & \downarrow x \\ X & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathfrak{X} \end{array}$$

and note that on $X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T$ there's a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow x'^* \Omega_{X|S} \xrightarrow{dx'^{\vee}} \Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T|S} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T|X} \rightarrow 0$$

(in general this sequence is only right exact, but here it's short exact since all schemes in sight are smooth). At this point one must show that the morphism $\Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T|S} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} T|X}$ descends to a morphism $\Omega_{T|S} \rightarrow \Omega_{T|\mathfrak{X}}$ on T .

Claim (see p.3-4 of Behrend's paper): The cotangent complex of \mathfrak{X} over S is the 2-term complex

$$\Omega \rightarrow \Omega_{|\mathfrak{X}}$$

I don't know how to verify this claim and haven't chased the references in his paper, but here's the heuristic reasoning (as I see it): for a given smooth \mathfrak{X} -scheme $T \xrightarrow{x} \mathfrak{X}$, we "should" have a short exact sequence of locally free coherent sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow "x^* \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}|S} \rightarrow \Omega_{T|S} \rightarrow \Omega_{T|\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow 0$$

one T , which appears to identify " $x^* \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}|S}$ " as the kernel of the morphism $\Omega_{T|S} \rightarrow \Omega_{T|\mathfrak{X}}$.

Behrend shows that the pullback of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ along the presentation $X \xrightarrow{q} \mathfrak{X}$ has a nice description - $q^* \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ looks like

$$\Omega_{X|S} \rightarrow \Omega_{X|\mathfrak{X}}$$

and

Proposition 3.1. *There is a canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{X|\mathfrak{X}} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{X, X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X}^{\vee}$.*

Here $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X$ is the diagonal map, which can be viewed as the identity morphism for the groupoid presentation $(X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X, X)$, and $\mathcal{N}_{X, X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X}^{\vee}$ is the conormal bundle of the closed subscheme $X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X$.

Proof. Consider again the cartesian diagram

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & X \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ X & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathfrak{X} \end{array}$$

On $X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X$ there's a commutative diagram of morphisms of sheaves

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X|X} & \xleftarrow{d\pi_2^\vee} & \pi_2^* \Omega_{X|\mathfrak{X}} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X|S} & \xleftarrow{d\pi_2^\vee} & \pi_2^* \Omega_{X|S} \end{array}$$

where the top horizontal arrow is an isomorphism. Pulling this back along the diagonal map Δ and recalling that $\pi_2 \circ \Delta = \text{id}$, we obtain a commutative diagram of the form

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^* \Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X|X} & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & \Omega_{X|\mathfrak{X}} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \Delta^* \Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X|S} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \Omega_{X|S} \end{array}$$

The following lemma yields an isomorphism $\Delta^* \Omega_{X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X|X} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{X, X \times_{\mathfrak{X}} X}^\vee$:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f : E \rightarrow B$ be a smooth morphism of S -schemes and let $\sigma : B \rightarrow E$ be a section of f . Then σ is a regular embedding with conormal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{B,E}^\vee \simeq \sigma^* \Omega_{E|B}$*

Proof of (part of the) lemma. I'm not going to show here that σ is a regular embedding. Assuming that fact I'll derive the isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_{B,E}^\vee \simeq \sigma^* \Omega_{E|B}$.

Take the usual short exact sequence of sheaves on E

$$0 \rightarrow f^* \Omega_{B|S} \xrightarrow{df^\vee} \Omega_{E|S} \rightarrow \Omega_{E|B} \rightarrow 0$$

and pull it back over σ to obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{B|S} \xrightarrow{df^\vee} \sigma^* \Omega_{E|S} \rightarrow \sigma^* \Omega_{E|B} \rightarrow 0$$

Note that the (dual of the) differential of σ gives a map $\sigma^* \Omega_{E|S} \rightarrow \Omega_{B|S}$ splitting df^\vee , yielding a direct sum decomposition $\sigma^* \Omega_{E|S} \simeq \Omega_{B|S} \oplus \sigma^* \Omega_{E|B}$.

On the other hand we have a canonical short exact sequence of sheaves on B

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{B,E}^\vee \rightarrow \sigma^* \Omega_{E|S} \xrightarrow{d\sigma^\vee} \Omega_{B|S} \rightarrow 0$$

and the (dual of the) differential of f gives a map $\Omega_{B|S} \rightarrow \sigma^* \Omega_{E|S}$ splitting $d\sigma^\vee$, giving another direct sum decomposition $\sigma^* \Omega_{E|S} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{B,E}^\vee \oplus \Omega_{B|S}$. Anyway, from here one can show that the composition

$$\mathcal{N}_{B,E}^\vee \rightarrow \sigma^* \Omega_{E|S} \rightarrow \sigma^* \Omega_{E|B}$$

is an isomorphism. □

□

Corollary 3.3. *If G is a smooth group scheme over S and X is a smooth G -scheme over S , then the cotangent complex $\mathcal{L}_{[X/G]|S}$ corresponds to the 2-term complex of G -equivariant locally free sheaves $d\mu^\vee : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\vee$ on X obtained by differentiating the action map $\mu : X \times G \rightarrow X$ at the identity section of G . In this relative context \mathfrak{g}^\vee should be the locally free coherent sheaf $e^* \Omega_{G|S}^1$ on S , where $e : S \rightarrow G$ is the identity section.*

Proof. We already have a description of the pullback of $\mathcal{L}_{[X/G]|S}$ to X as the 2 term complex $\Omega_X \rightarrow \Omega_{X|[X/G]}$ and a description of $\Omega_{X|[X/G]}$ as $\mathcal{N}_{X, X \times [X/G] X}^\vee$. Moreover there's a canonical isomorphism $X \times_{[X/G]} X \simeq X \times G$, fitting into the commutative diagram

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \times_{[X/G]} X & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & X \times G \\ \pi \downarrow & & \mu \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & X \end{array}$$

So, the diagonal of $X \times_{[X/G]} X$ corresponds to $X \times \{e\}$ and the projection corresponds to μ , and this identifies $\mathcal{N}_{X, X \times [X/G] X}^\vee$ as $\mathcal{N}_{X \times \{e\}, X \times G}^\vee \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\vee$, and shows that the map $\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\vee$ is $d\mu^\vee$. □

Claim (on p.4 of Behrend's paper): the natural map of complexes $\Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{X}|S}$ induces isomorphisms

$$H^*(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^p) \simeq \mathbb{H}^*(\mathcal{X}, \bigwedge^p \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{X}|S})$$

for all p .

Notes: Behrend attributes this to Teleman. I find it sort of surprising since it seems to be saying something to the effect of " $\Omega_{|\mathcal{X}}$ is acyclic." To be honest I am having a really hard time finding a proof of this theorem in the generality it's stated. Apparently it's proved in characteristic 0 by Teleman and Simpson in "de Rham cohomology of ∞ -stacks" (notes on the Berkeley math department website), and it seems to be proved in the case where $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ for X a smooth projective variety (in characteristic 0) and G a linear algebraic group acting linearly on X .

4. TOTARO'S WORK

Let R be a commutative ring and let X be a smooth affine scheme over $\text{Spec} R$. Let G be a smooth affine group scheme over $\text{Spec} R$ acting on X .

Theorem 4.1 (Totaro). *The natural maps*

$$H^i([X/G], \Omega^j) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^i([X/G], \bigwedge^j \mathcal{L}_{[X/G]|R})$$

are isomorphisms for all i, j . Moreover $\bigwedge^j \mathcal{L}_{[X/G]|R}$ has the following explicit description as a complex of G -equivariant locally free sheaves on X , in degrees 0 to j :

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^j \rightarrow \Omega_X^{j-1} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\vee \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee) \rightarrow 0$$

Here all the maps are obtained from the differential in $\mathcal{L}_{[X/G]|R}$:

$$\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\vee$$

which in turn has a pleasant geometric description: let $X \times G \xrightarrow{\mu} X$ be the action map. Differentiating μ we obtain a morphism of locally free sheaves on $X \times G$:

$$d\mu^\vee : \mu^* \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times G}^1 \simeq \pi_X^* \Omega_X^1 \oplus \pi_G^* \Omega_G^1$$

We may restrict this to $X \times e$ and project onto the Ω_G^1 factor to obtain a morphism

$$\Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes (\Omega_G^1 \otimes k(e)) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\vee$$

(making some simplifications on the fly).

The characterization of $\mathcal{L}_{[X/G]|R}$ in terms of $d\mu^\vee$ comes from the previous section, and from there the description of $\wedge^j \mathcal{L}_{[X/G]|R}$ comes from a standard recipe for the exterior powers of a cochain complex. So I'll focus on the proof that the maps $H^i([X/G], \Omega^j) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i([X/G], \wedge^j \mathcal{L}_{[X/G]|R})$ are isomorphisms. But first an important corollary, obtained by taking $X = \text{Spec} R$ with the trivial G -action (in that case $[X/G] = BG$):

Corollary 4.2. *The natural maps $H^i(BG, \Omega^j) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^i(BG, \wedge^j \mathcal{L}_{BG|R})$ are all isomorphisms. Moreover the cotangent complex $\mathcal{L}_{BG|R}$ is just \mathfrak{g}^\vee sitting in degree 1, and hence $\wedge^j \mathcal{L}_{BG|R}$ is just $S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)$ sitting in degree j , so we have isomorphisms*

$$H^i(BG, \Omega^j) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{i-j}(G, S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee))$$

where the cohomology on the right hand side is the group cohomology of the G -representation $S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)$.

of the theorem. Totaro's bright idea is to introduce a sheaf \mathcal{F} on the lisse-etale site of $[X/G]$, defined as follows: given a smooth $[X/G]$ -scheme $f : T \rightarrow [X/G]$, consider the commutative diagram

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{f'} & X \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ T & \xrightarrow{f} & [X/G] \end{array}$$

From this we get a short exact sequence of locally free sheaves on P :

$$0 \rightarrow \pi'^* \Omega_{T|R} \rightarrow \Omega_{P|R} \rightarrow \Omega_{P|T} \rightarrow 0$$

Note that since P is a principal G -bundle, we have a natural isomorphism $\Omega_{P|T} \simeq \mathcal{O}_P \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\vee$. In fact this is a short exact sequence of G -locally free sheaves, equivalent to a short exact sequence of locally free sheaves on the quotient $T = P/G$, which I will denote by

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{T|R} \rightarrow \Omega_{P|R}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

where the vector bundles $\Omega_{P|R}^-$ and $\mathcal{O}_P \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}^\vee$ on T are obtained by descent (geometrically, $\mathcal{O}_P \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}^\vee$ is the balanced product $P \times_G \mathfrak{g}^\vee$ where we have the given G -action on P and the adjoint representation gives the G -action on \mathfrak{g}^\vee). So, finally the definition of \mathcal{F} is:

$$\mathcal{F}|_T = \Omega_{P|R}^-$$

Note: the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{O}_P \bar{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}^\vee$ can also be described as $\mathfrak{g}^\vee|_T$, the pullback of the vector bundle \mathfrak{g}^\vee on BG corresponding to the G -representation \mathfrak{g}^\vee over the map $T \xrightarrow{f} [X/G] \rightarrow BG$.

Note: the sheaf \mathcal{F} is *not* cartesian: we'll have $\text{rk} \mathcal{F}|_T = \text{rk} \Omega_{T|R} + \dim G$ and since $\text{rk} \Omega_{T|R}$ isn't constant, $\text{rk} \mathcal{F}|_T$ won't be constant either.

By construction we have a short exact sequence of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{[X/G]}$ -modules on $[X/G]$ of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee \rightarrow 0$$

Hence in the derived category of $[X/G]$ (should this be the derived category of bounded complexes of $\mathcal{O}_{[X/G]}$ -modules with coherent cohomology?) there's a quasi-iso

$$\Omega \xrightarrow{\text{q-iso}} (\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee)$$

By functoriality we obtain quasi-isomorphisms

$$\Omega^j \simeq \bigwedge^j (\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee)$$

for all j and hence isomorphisms of hypercohomology

$$\mathbb{H}^*([X/G], \Omega^j) \simeq \mathbb{H}^*([X/G], \bigwedge^j (\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee))$$

Returning to the above commutative diagram, observe that it also induces morphisms of sheaves fitting into

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f^* \Omega_X & \longrightarrow & f^* \Omega_{X|[X/G]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega_P & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{P|T} \end{array} \quad \text{which can be rewritten as}$$

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} f^* \Omega_X & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega_P & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_P \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \\ = \\ \end{array}$$

In fact this is a commutative diagram of G -equivariant locally free sheaves on P , descending to a commutative diagram of locally free sheaves on T :

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\Omega}_X|_T = f^* \bar{\Omega}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^\vee \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{F}|_T & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^\vee \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \\ = \\ \end{array}$$

Here $\bar{\Omega}_X$ is the locally free sheaf on $[X/G]$ corresponding to the G -equivariant locally free sheaf Ω_X on X . Notice that the top row of this diagram is none other than $\mathcal{L}_{[X/G]}|_T$, the cotangent complex of $[X/G]$. Thus we've constructed a morphism of complexes

$$\mathcal{L}_{[X/G]} \rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee)$$

The rest of the proof will be devoted to showing that the resulting morphisms of complexes

$$\bigwedge^p \mathcal{L}_{[X/G]} \rightarrow \bigwedge^p (\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee)$$

induce isomorphisms on hypercohomology

$$\mathbb{H}^*([X/G], \bigwedge^p \mathcal{L}_{[X/G]}) \simeq \mathbb{H}^*([X/G], \bigwedge^p (\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee))$$

for all p .

First, observe that the morphism of complexes $\bigwedge^p \mathcal{L}_{[X/G]} \rightarrow \bigwedge^p (\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee)$ will induce a morphism of hypercohomology spectral sequences with morphism of E_2 -pages consisting of the homomorphisms (**agh maybe of E_1 -pages? can never remember**)

$$H^i([X/G], \Omega_X^{\bar{p}-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)) \rightarrow H^i([X/G], \bigwedge^{p-j} \mathcal{F} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee))$$

and so it'll suffice to show these are all isomorphisms.

For this Totaro resorts to a "Čech cohomology" calculation using the canonical simplicial resolution of $[X/G]$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow X \times G^2 \rightarrow X \times G \rightarrow X \rightarrow [X/G]$$

Here we get into spectral sequences on spectral sequences: there's a spectral sequence

$$H^l(X \times G^k, \Omega_X^{p-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k}) \implies H^{k+l}([X/G], \Omega_X^{p-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee))$$

and similarly for $\wedge^{p-j} \mathcal{F} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)$, and in fact we'll have a morphism of E_2 -pages of the form

$$H^l(X \times G^k, \Omega_X^{p-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k}) \rightarrow H^l(X \times G^k, \wedge^{p-j} \mathcal{F} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k})$$

Here's where the affine-ness hypotheses come into play: *because G and X are affine* we have

$$H^l(X \times G^k, \Omega_X^{p-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k}) \simeq 0 \text{ for } l > 0$$

and similarly for $\wedge^{p-j} \mathcal{F} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k}$. Thus this morphism of pages of the spectral sequence is essentially a morphism of *complexes*

$$H^0(X \times G^k, \Omega_X^{p-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k}) \rightarrow H^0(X \times G^k, \wedge^{p-j} \mathcal{F} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k})$$

(recall that p and j are fixed here - the index for the complexes is k). The proof will be complete if we can show these morphisms of complexes are quasi-isomorphisms.

At this point it will be helpful to calculate $\wedge^{p-j} \mathcal{F} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k}$. First observe that by definition $\mathcal{F}|_{X \times G^k}$ fits into a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times G^k} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{X \times G^k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee|_{X \times G^k} \rightarrow 0$. In the following steps one should pay more attention to the face/degeneracy maps of the simplicial scheme $(X \times G^k | k \in \mathbb{N})$ than I will. Recalling the construction of \mathcal{F} we identify the first map in this short exact sequence as

$$d\bar{\mu}^\vee : \Omega_{X \times G^k} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times G^{k+1}}^-$$

induced by the morphism $\mu^* \Omega_{X \times G^k} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times G^{k+1}}$ of sheaves on $X \times G^{k+1}$. In fact we should have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}|_{X \times G^k} \simeq \Omega_{X \times G^{k+1}}^- \simeq e^* \Omega_{X \times G^{k+1}}$$

, the pullback of $\Omega_{X \times G^{k+1}}$ over the section $e : X \times G^k \rightarrow X \times G^{k+1}$... **but why?** And should it actually be a pushforward along π or μ instead? Totaro just writes $\Omega_{X \times G^{k+1}}$...

Presumably these isomorphisms can be chosen compatibly, to give an isomorphism of simplicial sheaves. From this we'll obtain an isomorphism

$$\Omega_{X \times G^{k+1}}^{p-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee) \simeq \wedge^{p-j} \mathcal{F} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k}$$

and it should be that the "obvious" morphisms

$$\Omega_X^{p-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times G^{k+1}}^{p-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)$$

induce the map of complexes

$$H^0(X \times G^k, \Omega_X^{p-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee)|_{X \times G^k}) \rightarrow H^0(X \times G^k, \Omega_{X \times G^{k+1}}^{p-j} \otimes S^j(\mathfrak{g}^\vee))$$

under consideration. Note that there's a natural isomorphism

□