

§4 Sufficiency and Likelihood

§4.1 Motivating example

Let $X \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be a discrete random variable with mass function $f(x|\theta)$ tabulated below:

$\theta \setminus x$	1	2	3	4
0	0.25	0.15	0.45	0.15
1	0.5	0.1	0.3	0.1

Suppose $X = x$ is observed. We would like to learn from x as much as possible about the unknown parameter $\theta \in \{0, 1\}$. This is a typical statistical inference problem.

More generally, we shall discuss the following important questions concerning statistical inference:

- Does x contain any information relevant to θ ?
- Does x contain information more than necessary for learning about θ ?
- If x contains information more than necessary for learning about θ , how can we compress x “economically” (i.e. make it simpler) without losing any useful information about θ ?

§4.2 Statistics as carriers of information

4.2.1 Sample data: $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{S}$ = sample space

Postulated parametric family of probability functions for \mathbf{X} : $\{f(\cdot|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$

A function $T(\mathbf{X})$ of \mathbf{X} is generally known as a *statistic*. If the sampling distributions of $T(\mathbf{X})$ under different $\theta \in \Theta$ are not all identical, then observation of $T(\mathbf{X})$ provides useful information **relevant** to the unknown true value of θ .

4.2.2 If two statistics T_1, T_2 satisfy:

$$T_1(\mathbf{X}) = T_1(\mathbf{X}') \Leftrightarrow T_2(\mathbf{X}) = T_2(\mathbf{X}') \quad \forall \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' \in \mathcal{S},$$

then T_1 and T_2 carry the **same** information about θ .

Information about θ carried by a statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is determined essentially by the *partition* of \mathcal{S} induced by distinct values of $T(\mathbf{X})$. It does not matter how we define those distinct values of $T(\mathbf{X})$ as long as they produce the same partition.

4.2.3 If $T_2(\mathbf{X}) = \psi(T_1(\mathbf{X}))$ for some function ψ , then the statistic T_2 carries **no more** information about θ than T_1 .

Trivial special case: $T(\mathbf{X})$ carries no more information about θ than the raw sample data \mathbf{X} .

§4.3 Sufficiency

4.3.1 **Definition.** Statistic $T = T(\mathbf{X})$ is *sufficient* for θ if the conditional distribution of \mathbf{X} given T is **free** of θ .

4.3.2 A sufficient statistic carries **all** the information contained in data \mathbf{X} that is relevant to θ .

4.3.3 Suppose samples \mathbf{X}_A and \mathbf{X}_B are generated from the same probability function of the same statistical model.

Sufficiency principle —

Sample $\mathbf{X}_A \rightarrow$ sufficient statistic $T(\mathbf{X}_A) = t_A \rightarrow$ inference A

Sample $\mathbf{X}_B \rightarrow$ sufficient statistic $T(\mathbf{X}_B) = t_B \rightarrow$ inference B

If $t_A = t_B$, then *inference A must be the same as inference B*.

4.3.4 **Definitions.** The *likelihood* and *loglikelihood* functions of θ , given \mathbf{X} , are defined by

$$\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto f(\mathbf{X}|\theta) \text{ (regarded as function of } \theta) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) = \ln \ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta), \quad \text{respectively.}$$

The likelihood or loglikelihood function summarises all information available in \mathbf{X} which is relevant to θ . It measures the “likelihood” of each $\theta \in \Theta$ being the true θ that generates \mathbf{X} .

Common special case —

$\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ independent with $X_i \sim p_i(x|\theta)$:

$$\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \ell_{X_i}(\theta) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n p_i(X_i | \theta) = f(\mathbf{X}|\theta), \quad S_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_{X_i}(\theta).$$

4.3.5 $\ell_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $S_{\mathbf{X}}$ vary from sample to sample and are **random**.

4.3.6 **Theorem.** The following three statements are equivalent:

(i) Statistic $T = T(\mathbf{X})$ is sufficient for θ .

(ii) For any samples \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' , $T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{X}') \Rightarrow \ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta)$.

(iii) [*Factorization Criterion*] There exists a function $g(\cdot)$ such that for any sample \mathbf{X} , $\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto g(T(\mathbf{X}), \theta)$.

.....

Proof of “(i) \Rightarrow (ii)” — [Assume \mathbf{X} is discrete. A more general proof is outlined in Appendix A4.1.]

Let $f_{\theta}^{(T)}$ be the probability function of T . Assume T is sufficient. Then the conditional probability function of \mathbf{X} given $T(\mathbf{X}) = t$ does not depend on θ and has an expression $\psi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ free of θ , for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}_t \triangleq \{\mathbf{x}' \in \mathcal{S} : T(\mathbf{x}') = t\}$. Note that

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f_{\theta}^{(T)}(t)}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}_t.$$

For any samples \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' with $T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{X}') = t$, we have

$$\frac{\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta)}{\ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta)} \propto \frac{f(\mathbf{X}|\theta)}{f(\mathbf{X}'|\theta)} = \frac{\psi(\mathbf{X}, t)f_{\theta}^{(T)}(t)}{\psi(\mathbf{X}', t)f_{\theta}^{(T)}(t)} = \frac{\psi(\mathbf{X}, t)}{\psi(\mathbf{X}', t)},$$

which does not depend on θ . Hence, $\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta)$.

Proof of “(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)” —

For any $t \in \{T(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}\}$, there exists $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $T(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)) = t$, which enables us to define $g(t, \theta) \triangleq \ell_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(t)}(\theta)$. Thus, for any sample \mathbf{X} , we have $T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(T(\mathbf{X})))$ so that, using (ii),

$$\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}(T(\mathbf{X}))}(\theta) = g(T(\mathbf{X}), \theta).$$

Proof of “(iii) \Rightarrow (i)” — [Assume \mathbf{X} is discrete. A more general proof is outlined in Appendix A4.1.]

By (iii), the probability function of \mathbf{X} has the form $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x}), \theta)h(\mathbf{x})$, for some positive function $h(\cdot)$. The conditional probability function of \mathbf{X} given $T(\mathbf{X}) = t$ is

$$f_{\theta}^{(\mathbf{X}|T)}(\mathbf{x}|t) = \frac{f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)}{f_{\theta}^{(T)}(t)} = \frac{g(t, \theta)h(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}_t} g(T(\mathbf{y}), \theta)h(\mathbf{y})} = \frac{h(\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}_t} h(\mathbf{y})}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}_t,$$

which does not depend on θ . I

4.3.7 Examples.

(i) Given exponential family indexed by natural parameter $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k) \in \Pi$, i.e. $f(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\pi}) = C(\boldsymbol{\pi})h(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j t_j(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}\}$,

$$\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \propto C(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j t_j(\mathbf{X}) \right\} = g(T(\mathbf{X}), \boldsymbol{\pi}),$$

where $T(\mathbf{X}) = (t_1(\mathbf{X}), \dots, t_k(\mathbf{X}))$ and $g(\mathbf{t}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) = C(\boldsymbol{\pi})e^{\sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j t_j}$ for $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_k)$

→ natural statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is sufficient for natural parameter $\boldsymbol{\pi}$.

(ii) $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ iid from $U[0, \theta]$:

$$\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \prod_{i=1}^n \theta^{-1} \mathbf{1}\{0 \leq X_i \leq \theta\} \propto \theta^{-n} \mathbf{1}\{\max_i X_i \leq \theta\} = g(T(\mathbf{X}), \theta),$$

where $T(\mathbf{X}) = \max_i X_i$ and $g(t, \theta) = \theta^{-n} \mathbf{1}\{t \leq \theta\}$

→ $T(\mathbf{X}) = \max_i X_i$ is sufficient for θ .

4.3.8 A sufficient statistic is NOT unique in general: e.g.

- raw dataset \mathbf{X} is always sufficient,
- if a sufficient statistic is a function of another statistic V , then V is sufficient.

§4.4 Minimal sufficiency

4.4.1 Among plausible choices of sufficient statistics, we wish to find the “most economical” function of \mathbf{X} that remains sufficient for θ , i.e. a *minimal sufficient statistic*.

Definition. $T(\mathbf{X})$ is *minimal sufficient* for θ if it is sufficient and is a function of every other sufficient statistic.

4.4.2 **Theorem.** $T(\mathbf{X})$ is *minimal sufficient* for θ if and only if

$$\text{for any samples } \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}', \quad T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{X}') \Leftrightarrow \ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta).$$

.....

Proof:

(i) For the “if” part —

Theorem §4.3.6 implies that $T(\mathbf{X})$ is sufficient. Suppose that $V(\mathbf{X})$ is another sufficient statistic. Fix two samples \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{X}' with $V(\mathbf{X}) = V(\mathbf{X}')$. Then we have, by Theorem §4.3.6 again, $\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta)$. Hence $T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{X}')$ also, so that T is a function of V . This proves minimality of T .

(ii) For the “only if” part —

Suppose that T is minimal sufficient. We need only prove that for any \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' ,

$$\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta) \Rightarrow T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{X}'),$$

since the converse follows immediately from Theorem §4.3.6.

Consider samples \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' satisfying $\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta)$. Define statistic

$$U(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} T(\mathbf{X}') & \text{if } T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{X}), \\ T(\mathbf{x}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For any \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 with $U(\mathbf{X}_1) = U(\mathbf{X}_2)$, we have either

- (a) neither $T(\mathbf{X}_1)$ nor $T(\mathbf{X}_2)$ equals $T(\mathbf{X})$; or
- (b) $T(\mathbf{X}_1) = T(\mathbf{X}_2) = T(\mathbf{X})$; or
- (c) one and only one of $\{T(\mathbf{X}_1), T(\mathbf{X}_2)\}$ equals $T(\mathbf{X})$.

Both cases (a) and (b) imply that $T(\mathbf{X}_1) = T(\mathbf{X}_2)$ and hence $\ell_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\theta)$ by sufficiency of T and Theorem §4.3.6. For case (c), assume w.l.o.g. $T(\mathbf{X}_1) = T(\mathbf{X})$. Then $U(\mathbf{X}_1) = T(\mathbf{X}') = U(\mathbf{X}_2) = T(\mathbf{X}_2)$. Sufficiency of T , Theorem §4.3.6 and the assumptions on \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' imply that $\ell_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\theta)$. Thus we conclude that U is sufficient by Theorem §4.3.6, so that T is a function of U by minimality. Since $U(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{X}') = U(\mathbf{X}')$, we must have $T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{X}')$. This completes the proof. ■

4.4.3 Likelihood principle —

Sample $\mathbf{X}_A \rightarrow$ likelihood function $\ell_{\mathbf{X}_A} \rightarrow$ inference A

Sample $\mathbf{X}_B \rightarrow$ likelihood function $\ell_{\mathbf{X}_B} \rightarrow$ inference B

If $\ell_{\mathbf{X}_A}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}_B}(\theta)$, then inference A must be the same as inference B.

[Note: Samples $\mathbf{X}_A, \mathbf{X}_B$ may arise from different statistical models sharing the same parameter space Θ and same true $\theta \in \Theta$. Clearly, likelihood principle \Rightarrow sufficiency principle.]

4.4.4 Example. $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ iid from $U[0, \theta]$: $\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \theta^{-n} \mathbf{1}\{\max_i X_i \leq \theta\}$.

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\mathbf{X}' = (X'_1, \dots, X'_n)$ be two iid samples drawn from $U[0, \theta]$. Assume without loss of generality that $\max_i X_i \leq \max_i X'_i$. To examine proportionality between $\ell_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\ell_{\mathbf{X}'}$, it suffices to consider the subset of Θ on which $\ell_{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\ell_{\mathbf{X}'}$ are not both 0, i.e. on $\theta \geq \max_i X_i$. Consider

$$\frac{\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta)}{\ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta)} \propto \frac{\mathbf{1}\{\max_i X_i \leq \theta\}}{\mathbf{1}\{\max_i X'_i \leq \theta\}} = \begin{cases} 1/0 = \infty, & \max_i X_i \leq \theta < \max_i X'_i, \\ 1/1 = 1, & \theta \geq \max_i X'_i, \end{cases}$$

which does not depend on θ if and only if $\max_i X_i = \max_i X'_i$.

Thus $T(\mathbf{X}) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{X_i\}$ is minimal sufficient for θ .

4.4.5 **Theorem.** (*Exponential family*) $\mathbf{X} \sim f(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\pi}) \propto h(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j t_j(\mathbf{x}) \right\}$.

Assume that the natural parameter space Π is **not** contained in an *affine hyperplane* of the form $\{\boldsymbol{\pi} : \sum_{j=1}^k c_j \pi_j = b\} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, where $(c_1, \dots, c_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a non-zero vector. Then

$T(\mathbf{X}) = (t_1(\mathbf{X}), \dots, t_k(\mathbf{X}))$ is minimal sufficient for $\boldsymbol{\pi}$.

Proof: Note that for any samples \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' ,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\boldsymbol{\pi}) &\Leftrightarrow \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j t_j(\mathbf{X}) \right\} \propto \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j t_j(\mathbf{X}') \right\} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j (t_j(\mathbf{X}) - t_j(\mathbf{X}')) \right\} \text{ does not depend on } \boldsymbol{\pi} \Leftrightarrow t_j(\mathbf{X}) = t_j(\mathbf{X}') \quad \forall j. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the last equivalence, the “ \Leftarrow ” part is trivial. For the “ \Rightarrow ” part, assume that there exists some function $b(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')$, independent of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$, such that $\sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j (t_j(\mathbf{X}) - t_j(\mathbf{X}')) = b(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\pi} \in \Pi$. Since Π is not contained in any affine hyperplane, we must have $t_j(\mathbf{X}) - t_j(\mathbf{X}') = 0 \quad \forall j$. Theorem §4.4.5 then follows from the above and Theorem §4.4.2. ■

4.4.6 **Examples.**

(i) $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ iid from $N(\mu, \sigma^2) \rightarrow$ natural parameter $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2) = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \right)$
 $\Pi = (-\infty, 0) \times (-\infty, \infty) \not\subset$ affine hyperplane (i.e. a straight line) in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Thus $T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right)$ is minimal sufficient for (μ, σ^2) , or equivalently,
 $\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}, \bar{X} \right)$ is minimal sufficient for (μ, σ^2) , etc.

(ii) $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ iid from $N(1+\sigma^2, \sigma^2) \rightarrow$ natural parameter $(\pi_1, \pi_2) = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, 1+\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)$
 $\Pi \subset \{(\pi_1, \pi_2) : 2\pi_1 + \pi_2 = 1\}$, an affine hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^2 .

In fact, we have in this case,

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\pi}) \propto h(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left\{ \pi_1 \sum_i x_i^2 + (1 - 2\pi_1) \sum_i x_i \right\} = \{h(\mathbf{x}) e^{\sum_i x_i}\} e^{\pi_1 \sum_i x_i (x_i - 2)},$$

which has exponential family form with natural parameter space $(-\infty, 0) \not\subset$ affine hyperplane (i.e. a single point) in \mathbb{R} . Thus

$T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i (X_i - 2)$ is minimal sufficient for σ^2 .

- (iii) $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ iid from $N(\mu, \mu^2) \longrightarrow$ natural parameter $(\pi_1, \pi_2) = \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu^2}, \frac{1}{\mu}\right)$
 $\Pi = \{(\pi_1, \pi_2) : 2\pi_1 + \pi_2^2 = 0\} \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \not\subset$ affine hyperplane (i.e. a straight line) in \mathbb{R}^2 .
 Thus

$T(\mathbf{X}) = (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$ is minimal sufficient for μ .

§4.5 Completeness

4.5.1 Sample data: $\mathbf{X} \sim$ probability function $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$

Definition. A sufficient statistic $T = T(\mathbf{X})$ is *complete* for θ if and only if, for any real function $g(\cdot)$ not depending on θ ,

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[g(T(\mathbf{X}))] = 0 \quad \forall \theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}_\theta\{g(T(\mathbf{X})) = 0\} = 1 \quad \forall \theta.$$

The latter condition says that $g(\cdot)$ is a zero function *almost surely* under any θ .

4.5.2 Examples.

1. $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ iid from $U[0, \theta]$

$$f(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = \theta^{-n} \mathbf{1}\{\max_i X_i \leq \theta\} \mathbf{1}\{\min_i X_i \geq 0\}$$

We have shown in Example §4.4.4 that $T(\mathbf{X}) = \max_i X_i$ is minimal sufficient for θ . Note that $T(\mathbf{X})$ has pdf

$$f_T(t|\theta) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{P}_\theta(T(\mathbf{X}) \leq t) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{t}{\theta}\right)^n = n\theta^{-n} t^{n-1}, \quad t \in [0, \theta].$$

For any function g such that $\mathbb{E}_\theta[g(T(\mathbf{X}))] = 0 \quad \forall \theta$, we have

$$n\theta^{-n} \int_0^\theta g(t) t^{n-1} dt = 0 \quad \forall \theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad g(t) = 0 \text{ almost everywhere in } [0, \infty).$$

Thus $\mathbb{P}_\theta(g(T) = 0) = 1 \quad \forall \theta$, and hence $T(\mathbf{X}) = \max_i X_i$ is complete for θ .

[A more formal proof of the above is given in Appendix A4.2.]

2. $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ iid from $U[\theta, \theta + 1]$

$$f(\mathbf{X}|\theta) = \mathbf{1}\{\max_i X_i \leq \theta + 1\} \mathbf{1}\{\min_i X_i \geq \theta\} = \mathbf{1}\{\max_i X_i - 1 \leq \theta \leq \min_i X_i\}$$

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\mathbf{X}' = (X'_1, \dots, X'_n)$ be two iid samples drawn from $U[\theta, \theta + 1]$.

If $[\max_i X_i - 1, \min_i X_i] \setminus [\max_i X'_i - 1, \min_i X'_i] \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta)/\ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta) &\propto \mathbf{1}\{\max_i X_i - 1 \leq \theta \leq \min_i X_i\} / \mathbf{1}\{\max_i X'_i - 1 \leq \theta \leq \min_i X'_i\} \\ &\begin{cases} = 1/0 = \infty, & \theta \in [\max_i X_i - 1, \min_i X_i] \setminus [\max_i X'_i - 1, \min_i X'_i], \\ \leq 1, & \theta \in [\max_i X'_i - 1, \min_i X'_i], \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which depends on θ . The same conclusion holds if $[\max_i X'_i - 1, \min_i X'_i] \setminus [\max_i X_i - 1, \min_i X_i] \neq \emptyset$.

It follows that $\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta)$ if and only if the intervals $[\max_i X_i - 1, \min_i X_i]$ and $[\max_i X'_i - 1, \min_i X'_i]$ are identical, i.e. $(\min_i X_i, \max_i X_i) = (\min_i X'_i, \max_i X'_i)$. Thus $T(\mathbf{X}) = (\min_i X_i, \max_i X_i)$ is minimal sufficient for θ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_i X_i &\text{ has pdf } f_{\min}(t|\theta) = n(\theta + 1 - t)^{n-1}, \text{ for } t \in [\theta, \theta + 1], \\ \max_i X_i &\text{ has pdf } f_{\max}(t|\theta) = n(t - \theta)^{n-1}, \text{ for } t \in [\theta, \theta + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Consider a non-zero function $g(t_1, t_2) = t_1 - t_2 + \frac{n-1}{n+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\theta[g(T(\mathbf{X}))] &= \mathbb{E}_\theta[\min_i X_i] - \mathbb{E}_\theta[\max_i X_i] + \frac{n-1}{n+1} \\ &= \int_\theta^{\theta+1} t f_{\min}(t|\theta) dt - \int_\theta^{\theta+1} t f_{\max}(t|\theta) dt + \frac{n-1}{n+1} \\ &= n \int_\theta^{\theta+1} t \{(\theta + 1 - t)^{n-1} - (t - \theta)^{n-1}\} dt + \frac{n-1}{n+1} \\ &= n \int_0^1 (u + \theta) \{(1 - u)^{n-1} - u^{n-1}\} du + \frac{n-1}{n+1} = 0 \quad \forall \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T(\mathbf{X}) = (\min_i X_i, \max_i X_i)$ is not complete for θ .

4.5.3 Theorem. (Lehmann–Scheffé)

If $T(\mathbf{X})$ is complete sufficient for θ , then $T(\mathbf{X})$ is minimal sufficient.

.....

Proof:

Let $U(\mathbf{X})$ be a minimal sufficient statistic for θ . Then $U(\mathbf{X}) = g_1(T(\mathbf{X}))$ for some function $g_1(\cdot)$ by minimality of U . Define $g(t) = t - \mathbb{E}[T(\mathbf{X})|U(\mathbf{X}) = g_1(t)]$, which does not depend on θ by sufficiency of $U(\mathbf{X})$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[g(T(\mathbf{X}))] = \mathbb{E}_\theta[T(\mathbf{X})] - \mathbb{E}_\theta[\mathbb{E}[T(\mathbf{X})|U(\mathbf{X})]] = \mathbb{E}_\theta[T(\mathbf{X})] - \mathbb{E}_\theta[T(\mathbf{X})] = 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta.$$

Hence $g(T(\mathbf{X})) = 0$ with probability 1 by completeness of T . This implies that with probability 1,

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbb{E}[T(\mathbf{X})|U(\mathbf{X})], \text{ which is a function of } U(\mathbf{X}).$$

Since $U(\mathbf{X})$ is minimal sufficient, it is a function of any sufficient statistic, and so is $T(\mathbf{X})$. Thus $T(\mathbf{X})$ is minimal sufficient. ■

4.5.4 Theorem. (Exponential family) $\mathbf{X} \sim f(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\pi}) \propto h(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j t_j(\mathbf{x}) \right\}$.

If the natural parameter space Π contains an open rectangle, i.e. a nonempty set of the form $(a_1, b_1) \times \cdots \times (a_k, b_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^k$, then the natural statistic $T(\mathbf{X}) = (t_1(\mathbf{X}), \dots, t_k(\mathbf{X}))$ is *complete* for the natural parameter $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k)$.

Proof:

Recall that $T(\mathbf{X})$ has probability function also of exponential family form $C(\boldsymbol{\pi})h^*(\mathbf{t}) \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j t_j \right)$. Consider a function $g(\cdot)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{\pi}}[g(T(\mathbf{X}))] = C(\boldsymbol{\pi}) \int g(\mathbf{t})h^*(\mathbf{t}) \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j t_j \right) d\mathbf{t} = 0, \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{\pi} \in \Pi.$$

Thus the k -dimensional Laplace transform of the function $g(\mathbf{t})h^*(\mathbf{t})$ vanishes on Π . Uniqueness of inverse of Laplace transform which exists in an open rectangle implies that $g(\mathbf{t})h^*(\mathbf{t}) = 0$ for all \mathbf{t} . Since $h^*(\mathbf{t}) > 0$ for \mathbf{t} in the support of $T(\mathbf{X})$, we have $g(T(\mathbf{X})) = 0$ with probability 1. ■

4.5.5 Examples.

- (i) $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ iid from $N(\mu, \sigma^2) \rightarrow$ natural parameter $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2) = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}, \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \right)$
 $\Pi = (-\infty, 0) \times (-\infty, \infty)$ contains an open rectangle in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Thus $T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right)$ is complete sufficient for (μ, σ^2) .

- (ii) $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ iid from $N(1 + \sigma^2, \sigma^2) \rightarrow$ natural parameter $\pi_1 = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$
 $\Pi = (-\infty, 0)$ contains an open rectangle (interval) in \mathbb{R} .

Thus $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(X_i - 2)$ is complete sufficient for σ^2 .

- (iii) $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ iid from $N(\mu, \mu^2) \rightarrow$ natural parameter $(\pi_1, \pi_2) = \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu^2}, \frac{1}{\mu} \right)$
 $\Pi = \{(\pi_1, \pi_2) : 2\pi_1 + \pi_2^2 = 0\} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ does not contain an open rectangle in \mathbb{R}^2 .

In fact, if we define $g(t_1, t_2) = (n+1)t_1 - 2t_2^2$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}_\mu \left[g \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \right] = (n+1)(2n\mu^2) - 2 \{ n\mu^2 + (n\mu)^2 \} = 0,$$

implying that $T(\mathbf{X}) = (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$ is not complete for μ .

§4.6 Exercise: motivating example §4.1

- (a) Construct a minimal sufficient statistic for θ .
- (b) Is the minimal sufficient statistic constructed in (a) complete for θ ?

Appendix

A4.1 A more formal treatment of §4.3.6...

Proof of “(i) \Rightarrow (ii)” —

Assume T is sufficient. For any \mathbf{X} -measurable set A , define $\psi_A^*(t) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} \in A \mid T = t)$, which does not depend on θ . It can be shown that there exists \mathbf{X}^* with probability function f^* defined on the sample space of \mathbf{X} , such that for all \mathbf{X} -measurable set A ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}^* \in A \mid T(\mathbf{X}^*) = t) = \psi_A^*(t) \quad \forall t,$$

and that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}^* \in A) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} \in A \mid \theta) = 0 \quad \forall \theta.$$

Let $T^* = T(\mathbf{X}^*)$. Denote by $f_T(t|\theta)$ and $f_{T^*}(t)$ the probability functions of T and T^* , respectively.

By definition of conditional probability, we have, for any \mathbf{X} -measurable set A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} \in A) &= \int \psi_A^*(t) f_T(t|\theta) dt = \int \psi_A^*(t) \left\{ \frac{f_T(t|\theta)}{f_{T^*}(t)} \right\} f_{T^*}(t) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{X}^* \in A\} \left\{ \frac{f_T(T^*|\theta)}{f_{T^*}(T^*)} \right\} \right] = \int_A \left\{ \frac{f_T(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)}{f_{T^*}(T(\mathbf{x}))} \right\} f^*(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned}$$

so that \mathbf{X} has probability function $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = f_T(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)f^*(\mathbf{x})/f_{T^*}(T(\mathbf{x}))$. For $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}' \sim f(\cdot|\theta)$ with $T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{X}')$, we have

$$\ell_{\mathbf{X}}(\theta) \propto f_T(T(\mathbf{X})|\theta) = f_T(T(\mathbf{X}')|\theta) \propto \ell_{\mathbf{X}'}(\theta).$$

Proof of “(iii) \Rightarrow (i)” —

By (iii), the probability function of \mathbf{X} has the form $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x}), \theta)h(\mathbf{x})$, for some positive function $h(\cdot)$. Denote by \mathbf{X}^* a sample with probability function $f^*(\mathbf{x}) = g^*(T(\mathbf{x}))h(\mathbf{x})$, which satisfies, for any \mathbf{X} -measurable set A ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}^* \in A) = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} \in A) = 0 \quad \forall \theta.$$

Let $T^* = T(\mathbf{X}^*)$. Define $\psi_A^*(t) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X}^* \in A \mid T^* = t)$ for any T -measurable set B and \mathbf{X} -measurable set A , and consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} \in A, T \in B) \\ &= \int \mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{x} \in A, T(\mathbf{x}) \in B\} f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\mathbf{x} = \int \mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{x} \in A, T(\mathbf{x}) \in B\} \left\{ \frac{g(T(\mathbf{x}), \theta)}{g^*(T(\mathbf{x}))} \right\} f^*(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}\{\mathbf{X}^* \in A, T^* \in B\} \left\{ \frac{g(T^*, \theta)}{g^*(T^*)} \right\} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}\{T^* \in B\} \left\{ \frac{g(T^*, \theta)}{g^*(T^*)} \right\} \psi_A^*(T^*) \right] \\ &= \int \mathbf{1}\{T(\mathbf{x}) \in B\} \psi_A^*(T(\mathbf{x})) \left\{ \frac{g(T(\mathbf{x}), \theta)}{g^*(T(\mathbf{x}))} \right\} f^*(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\{\mathbf{x}: T(\mathbf{x}) \in B\}} \psi_A^*(T(\mathbf{x})) f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the conditional probability $\psi_A(t|\theta) \equiv \mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} \in A \mid T = t)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} \in A, T \in B) = \int_{\{\mathbf{x}: T(\mathbf{x}) \in B\}} \psi_A(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta) f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Uniqueness of conditional probability implies that

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} \in A \mid T(\mathbf{X})) = \psi_A(T(\mathbf{X})|\theta) = \psi_A^*(T(\mathbf{X})),$$

which is independent of θ . Thus $T = T(\mathbf{X})$ is sufficient for θ . ■

A4.2 A more formal treatment of a result used in Example 1 in §4.5.2:

$$n\theta^{-n} \int_0^\theta g(t) t^{n-1} dt = 0 \quad \forall \theta \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{P}_\theta(g(T) = 0) = 1 \quad \forall \theta.$$

.....
Outline of proof:

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} t^{n-1} g(t) dt &= \int_0^{\theta_2} t^{n-1} g(t) dt - \int_0^{\theta_1} t^{n-1} g(t) dt = 0 \quad \forall \theta_2 > \theta_1 > 0 \\ \Rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{B}} t^{n-1} g(t) dt &= 0 \quad \forall \text{ Borel-measurable } \mathcal{B} \subset (0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

For any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(g(T) \geq \epsilon) = n\theta^{-n} \int_{(0, \theta) \cap \{g(t) \geq \epsilon\}} t^{n-1} dt \leq n\theta^{-n} \underbrace{\int_{(0, \theta) \cap \{g(t) \geq \epsilon\}} t^{n-1} \left(\frac{g(t)}{\epsilon}\right) dt}_{\text{Borel-measurable}} = 0.$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(g(T) > 0) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_\theta(g(T) \geq \epsilon) = 0.$$

Similar arguments show that $\mathbb{P}_\theta(g(T) < 0) = 0$. It then follows that $\mathbb{P}_\theta(g(T) = 0) = 1 \quad \forall \theta > 0$. ■