## On Decompositions of Infinite Groups

A. Horn, D. Simms, et al.

## 17th February 2020

## $1 \quad (\mathbb{Z},+)$

 $\mathbb{Z}$  is not decomposable. Suppose we had  $\mathbb{Z} \cong G \times H$  for nontrivial G, H. Then since the subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are of the form  $k\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $G \cong n\mathbb{Z}$  and  $H \cong m\mathbb{Z}$  for some n, m.

Since  $k\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , this implies  $\mathbb{Z} \cong G \times H \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . This is not possible since  $\mathbb{Z}$  has  $2\mathbb{Z}$  as its only subgroup of index two, but  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  has two subgroups of index two:  $2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not decomposable.

$$2 \quad (\mathbb{Q}, +)$$

Suppose we had  $\mathbb{Q} \cong G \times H$  for some nontrivial groups G, H. Both G and H have a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , since each contains a nonzero element, and each element of  $\mathbb{Q}$  has infinite order.

Hence  $\mathbb{Q}$  has a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $a/b, c/d \in \mathbb{Q}$  generate the corresponding subgroups isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z} \times 0\mathbb{Z}$  and  $0\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  respectively, so  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \cong \langle a/b \rangle \times \langle c/d \rangle$ .

Then  $bd[\langle a/b \rangle \times \langle c/d \rangle] = \{mad + nbc : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , as  $\mathbb{Q}$  is abelian. But by Bézout's Theorem, mad + nbc can take on precisely the values in  $[\gcd(ad,bc)]\mathbb{Z}$ , so in fact

$$\langle a/b \rangle \times \langle c/d \rangle \cong \left[ \frac{\gcd(ad,bc)}{bd} \right] \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

giving  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , which is a contradiction, as previously established.

So also  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not decomposable.

$$\mathbf{3}$$
  $(\mathbb{R},+)$ 

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hamel basis for  $\mathbb{R}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then any partition of  $\mathcal{H}$  as a disjoint union  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2$  gives rise to two subgroups  $G_1 = \operatorname{span} \mathcal{H}_1, G_2 = \operatorname{span} \mathcal{H}_2 \leq \mathbb{R}$ . These are subgroups since they are subspaces by definition.

Now we can apply the Direct Product Theorem, since

- (i) By linear independence, if  $x_1 \in G_1, x_2 \in G_2$  with  $x_1 = x_2$ , then  $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ , so  $G_1 \cap G_2$  is precisely  $\{0\}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbb{R}$  is abelian, so trivially,  $x_1 + x_2 = x_2 + x_2 \,\forall \, x_1 \in G_1, x_2 \in G_2$ .
- (iii) Each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  can be written as a linear combination of elements of  $\mathcal{H}$ , by definition. Then simply splitting this linear combination into linear combinations of the elements in  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$ , we get  $x_1 \in G_1, x_2 \in G_2$  with  $x_1 + x_2 = x$ .

So  $\mathbb{R} \cong G_1 \times G_2$  for any such choice.

To be explicit, a possible choice here might be  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ , or possibly replacing  $\mathbb{Q}$  with some more imaginative subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Here, we know  $|\mathcal{H}_1| = 1$  precisely, as there must be a rational in  $\mathcal{H}$ , so neither of  $G_1, G_2$  is trivial.

4 
$$(\mathbb{C},+)$$

 $\mathbb{C}$  is quite straightforwardly isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  via  $z \mapsto (\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Im} z)$ .

$$\mathbf{5} \quad (\mathbb{Q}^{\times}, \cdot)$$

This is isomorphic to  $C_2 \times (\mathbb{Q}^+, \cdot)$  via  $x \mapsto (\operatorname{sgn} x, |x|)$  (where  $\operatorname{sgn} x \coloneqq x/|x|$ ).

6 
$$(\mathbb{R}^{\times},\cdot)$$

This is isomorphic to  $C_2 \times (\mathbb{R}^+, \cdot)$  via  $x \mapsto (\operatorname{sgn} x, |x|)$ .

7 
$$(\mathbb{C}^{\times},\cdot)$$

This is isomorphic to  $S^1 \times (\mathbb{R}^+, \cdot)$  via  $re^{i\theta} \mapsto (e^{i\theta}, r)$ .

$$8 \quad \left( \{a/2^n : a, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, + \right)$$

$$\mathbf{9} \quad (\mathbb{Q}^+,\cdot)$$

10 
$$(\mathbb{R}^+,\cdot)$$

This is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R},+)$  via  $x\mapsto \log x$ , so we can reuse the previous construction.

11 
$$S^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

$$12$$
  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$