Metasecurelabs analysis report

metasecurelabs.io

November 14, 2022

1 Introduction

1.1 storage_signed_integer_array

SWC ID:

Description:solc versions 0.4.7–0.5.10 contain a compiler bug leading to incorrect values in signed integer arrays.

Example:

1.2 modifier_like_Sol_keyword

SWC JD:

 $\textbf{Description} : A \ \text{contract may contain modifier that looks similar to Solidity keyword}$

Example:

```
contract Contract{
    modifier public() {
    }

function doSomething() public {
    require(owner == msg.sender);
    owner = newOwner;
}

/*public is a modifier meant to look like a Solidity keyword.*/
}

DASP: Unknown Unknowns
Found: false
```

1.3 arbitrary_from_in_transferFrom

SWC _ID:

Description:Something wrong happens when msg.sender is not used as 'from' in transferFrom.

Example:

```
function a(address from, address to, uint256 amount) public {
    erc20.transferFrom(from, to, am);
}

/*Alice approves this contract to spend her ERC20 tokens. Bob
    can call a and specify Alice's address as the from
    parameter in transferFrom, allowing him to transfer Alice's
    tokens to himself.*/
}

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.4 arithmetic

SWC _ID:

Description: This bug type consists of various arithmetic bugs: integer overflow/underflow, division issues, . * Integer overflow/underflow. An overflow/underflow happens when an arithmetic operation reaches the maximum or minimum size of a type. For instance if a number is stored in the uint8 type, it

means that the number is stored in a 8 bits unsigned number ranging from 0 to 2^8-1 . In computer programming, an integer overflow occurs when an arithmetic operation attempts to create a numeric value that is outside of the range that can be represented with a given number of bits either larger than the maximum or lower than the minimum representable value. * Division issues. Some wrong will happen when integer or float numbers are divided by zero. * Type deduction overflow. In Solidity, when declaring a variable as type var, the compiler uses type deduction to automatically infer the smallest possible type from the first expression that is assigned to the variable. Thus, the deduced type may not be appropriate, and it can incur overflow bugs later (see the example).

```
Integer overflow/underflow
  /*
  * @source:
   \rightarrow https://capturetheether.com/challenges/math/token\textendash
  * @author: Steve Marx
  pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.4.21;
  contract TokenSaleChallenge {
       mapping(address => uint256) public balanceOf;
34
       uint256 constant PRICE\textunderscore PER\textunderscore
35
       → TOKEN = 1 ether;
       function TokenSaleChallenge(address \textunderscore player)
           public payable {
           require(msg.value == 1 ether);
40
       function isComplete() public view returns (bool) {
           return address(this).balance < 1 ether;</pre>
42
       }
43
44
       function buy(uint256 numTokens) public payable {
45
           require(msg.value == numTokens * PRICE\textunderscore
46

→ PER\textunderscore TOKEN);
           balanceOf[msg.sender] += numTokens;
48
       }
49
  }
50
51
   /*Division issues*/
  contract Division {
54
```

```
/*function unsigned\textunderscore division(uint32 x, uint32
       \hookrightarrow y) returns (int r) {
        //if (y == 0) \{ throw; \}
        r = x / y;
57
58
      function signed\textunderscore division(int x, int y)

    returns (int) {

        //if ((y == 0) ((x == \textendash 2**255) \& \& (y ==
         return x / y;
63
  }
65
   /*Type deduction overflow*/
67
  contract For\textunderscore Test {
68
    function Test () payable public {
70
      if ( msg . value > 0.1 ether ) {
        uint256 multi = 0;
72
        uint256 amountToTransfer = 0;
        for ( var i = 0; i < 2* msg . value ; i ++) {
74
          multi = i *2;
          if ( multi < amountToTransfer ) {</pre>
            break ;
          amountToTransfer = multi ;
78
        msg.sender.transfer( amountToTransfer );
82
  }
83
    DASP: Arithmetic
    Found: true
```

1.5 storage_ABIEncoderV2_array

SWC _ID:

Description:solc versions 0.4.7–0.5.9 contain a compiler bug leading to incorrect ABI encoder usage.

```
84 contract A {
```

```
uint[2][3] bad\textunderscore arr = [[1, 2], [3, 4], [5,
       → 6]];
      /* Array of arrays passed to abi.encode is vulnerable */
      function bad() public {
88
          bytes memory b = abi.encode(bad\textunderscore arr);
      }
  }
91
92
   /*abi.encode(bad\textunderscore arr) in a call to bad() will
       incorrectly encode the array as [[1, 2], [2, 3], [3, 4]]
       and lead to unintended behavior.*/
 }
    DASP: Unknown unknowns
    Found: false
```

1.6 dead_code

SWC _ID:

Description:In Solidity, it's possible to write code that does not produce the intended effects. Currently, the solidity compiler will not return a warning for effect—free code. This can lead to the introduction of "dead" code that does not properly performing an intended action.

For example, it's easy to miss the trailing parentheses in msg.sender.call.value(xx)("");, which could lead to a function proceeding without transferring funds to msg.sender. Also, internal functions could be 'dead' when they are not invoked.

```
pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.5.0;
95
   contract DepositBox {
       mapping(address => uint) balance;
97
98
       // Accept deposit
99
       function deposit(uint amount) public payable {
           require(msg.value == amount, 'incorrect amount');
101
           // Should update user balance
           balance[msg.sender] = amount;
   }
105
    DASP: Unknown unknowns
    Found: false
```

1.7 func_modifying_storage_array_by_value

SWC _ID:

Description: Arrays passed to a function that expects reference to a storage array.

Example:

```
contract Memory {
       uint[1] public x; // storage
107
108
       function f() public {
109
           f1(x); // update x
110
           f2(x); // do not update x
       function f1(uint[1] storage arr) internal { // by reference
           arr[0] = 1;
       function f2(uint[1] arr) internal { // by value
           arr[0] = 2;
       }
120
    /*Bob calls f(). Bob assumes that at the end of the call x[0]
    \hookrightarrow is 2, but it is 1. As a result, Bob's usage of the contract
        is incorrect. */
    DASP: Unknown Unknowns
    Found: false
```

1.8 strict_balance_equality

SWC _ID:

Description:Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance. It is always possible to forcibly send ether to a contract (without triggering its fallback function), using selfdestruct, or by mining to the account. In the worst case scenario this could lead to DOS conditions that might render the contract unusable.

```
if (address(this).balance == 42 ether ) {
    /* ... */
    }
secure alternative:
```

```
if (address(this).balance >= 42 ether) {
    /* ... */
    }
}

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.9 overpowered_role

SWC $_{ m ID}$:

Description: This function is callable only from one address. Therefore, the system depends heavily on this address. In this case, there are scenarios that may lead to undesirable consequences for investors, e.g. if the private key of this address becomes compromised.

```
pragma solidity 0.4.25;
   contract Crowdsale {
134
135
        address public owner;
136
137
        uint rate;
138
        uint cap;
139
140
        constructor() {
            owner = msg.sender;
142
143
144
        function setRate(\textunderscore rate) public onlyOwner {
145
            rate = \textunderscore rate;
146
        }
147
148
        function setCap(\textunderscore cap) public {
149
            require (msg.sender == owner);
150
            cap = \textunderscore cap;
151
152
   }
153
     DASP: Unknown unknowns
     Found: false
```

1.10 erc20_event_not_indexed

SWC _ID:

Description:Events defined by the ERC20 specification that should have some parameters as indexed.

Example:

```
contract ERC20Bad {
       // ...
155
       event Transfer(address from, address to, uint value);
156
       event Approval(address owner, address spender, uint value);
157
       // ...
159
   }
160
161
    /*Transfer and Approval events should have the 'indexed'
162
        keyword on their two first parameters, as defined by the
        ERC20 specification. Failure to include these keywords will
        exclude the parameter data in the transaction/block's bloom
        filter, so external tooling searching for these parameters
        may overlook them and fail to index logs from this token
        contract. */
 }
    DASP: Unknown unknowns
    Found: false
```

1.11 unused_retval

SWC _ID:

Description: The return value of an external call is not stored in a local or state variable.

```
contract MyConc{
       using SafeMath for uint;
164
       function my\textunderscore func(uint a, uint b) public{
165
            a.add(b);
166
       }
167
   }
168
169
    /*MyConc calls add of SafeMath, but does not store the result
    \rightarrow in a. As a result, the computation has no effect. */
    DASP: Unknown Unknowns
    Found: true
```

1.12 extra_gas_in_loops

SWC _ID:

Description:State variable, .balance, or .length of non–memory array is used in the condition of for or while loop. In this case, every iteration of loop consumes extra gas.

Example:

```
/* In the following example, limiter variable is accessed on
      every for\textendash loop iteration: /*
172
   pragma solidity 0.4.25;
173
174
   contract NewContract {
       uint limiter = 100;
176
       function longLoop() {
178
            for(uint i = 0; i < limiter; i++) {</pre>
                /* · · · */
180
            }
       }
182
   }
183
     DASP: Unknown unknowns
     Found: false
```

1.13 uninitialized_state_variable

SWC JD:

Description:Some unexpected error may happen when state variables are not uninitialized.

```
contract Uninitialized{
address destination;

function transfer() payable public{
destination.transfer(msg.value);
}

BASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: true
```

1.14 pre-declare_usage_of_local

SWC _ID:

Description:Using a variable before the declaration is stepped over (either because it is later declared, or declared in another scope).

Example:

```
contract C {
       function f(uint z) public returns (uint) {
192
            uint y = x + 9 + z; // 'z' is used pre\textendash
            \hookrightarrow declaration
            uint x = 7;
195
            if (z \% 2 == 0) {
                uint max = 5;
197
                // ...
            }
199
               'max' was intended to be 5, but it was mistakenly
                declared in a scope and not assigned (so it is
            for (uint i = 0; i < max; i++) {</pre>
                x += 1;
203
            }
            return x;
   }
208
    DASP: Unknown unknowns
```

Found: false

1.15 race_condition

SWC ID:

Description:Since miners always get rewarded via gas fees for running code on behalf of externally owned addresses (EOA), users can specify higher fees to have their transactions mined more quickly. Since the Ethereum blockchain is public, everyone can see the contents of others' pending transactions. This means if a given user is revealing the solution to a puzzle or other valuable secret, a malicious user can steal the solution and copy their transaction with higher fees to preempt the original solution. If developers of smart contracts are not careful, this situation can lead to practical and devastating front—running attacks.

```
/* In this example, one can front\textendash run transactions to
       claim his/her reward before the owner reduces the reward
       amount.*/
210
   pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.4.16;
211
212
   contract EthTxOrderDependenceMinimal {
       address public owner;
214
       bool public claimed;
215
       uint public reward;
       function EthTxOrderDependenceMinimal() public {
            owner = msg.sender;
220
       function setReward() public payable {
222
            require (!claimed);
            require(msg.sender == owner);
224
            owner.transfer(reward);
225
            reward = msg.value;
       }
227
       function claimReward(uint256 submission) {
229
            require (!claimed);
230
            require(submission < 10);</pre>
231
            msg.sender.transfer(reward);
            claimed = true;
   }
235
    DASP: Front Running
    Found: true
```

1.16 unchecked_calls

SWC _ID:

Description:The return value of a message call is not checked. Execution will resume even if the called contract throws an exception. If the call fails accidentally or an attacker forces the call to fail, this may cause unexpected behaviour in the subsequent program logic.

```
pragma solidity 0.4.25;
contract ReturnValue {
```

```
239
   checked
   function callchecked(address callee) public {
241
        require(callee.call());
242
243
244
     function callnotchecked(address callee) public {
245
        callee.call();
246
247
248 }
     DASP: Unchecked Low Level Calls
     Found: true
```

1.17 locked_money

SWC JD:

Description:Contracts programmed to receive ether should implement a way to withdraw it, i.e., call transfer (recommended), send, or call.value at least once..

Example:

```
/* In the following example, contracts programmed to receive
       ether does not call transfer, send, or call.value function:
       */
250
   pragma solidity 0.4.25;
251
252
   contract BadMarketPlace {
253
       function deposit() payable {
254
            require(msg.value > 0);
255
256
   }
257
    DASP: Unknown unknowns
    Found: false
```

1.18 incorrect_ERC20_interface

SWC _ID:

Description:Incorrect return values for ERC20 functions. A contract compiled with Solidity i 0.4.22 interacting with these functions will fail to execute them, as the return value is missing.

```
contract Token{
    function transfer(address to, uint value) external;
    //...
}

/*Token.transfer does not return a boolean. Bob deploys the
    token. Alice creates a contract that interacts with it but
    assumes a correct ERC20 interface implementation. Alice's
    contract is unable to interact with Bob's contract. */

}

DASP: Unknown Unknowns
Found: false
```

1.19 unused_function_should_be_external

SWC ID:

Description:A function with public visibility modifier that is not called internally. Changing visibility level to external increases code readability. Moreover, in many cases functions with external visibility modifier spend less gas comparing to functions with public visibility modifier.

```
/*In the following example, functions with both public and

→ external visibility modifiers are used: */
265
   contract Token {
266
267
       mapping (address => uint256) internal \textunderscore
        → balances;
       function transfer\textunderscore public(address to, uint256
           value) public {
           require(value <= \textunderscore balances[msg.sender]);</pre>
271
            \textunderscore balances[msg.sender] \textundash =
273

    value;

            \textunderscore balances[to] += value;
       }
       function transfer\textunderscore external(address to,
277

    uint256 value) external {

           require(value <= \textunderscore balances[msg.sender]);</pre>
278
            \textunderscore balances[msg.sender] \textendash =
280
            → value;
```

1.20 uninitialized_func_pointer

SWC _ID:

Description:this.balance will include the value sent by msg.value, which might lead to incorrect computation.

Example:

```
contract Bug{
function buy() public payable{
   uint minted = msg.value * (1000 / address(this).balance);
   // ...
}

/*buy is meant to compute a price that changes a ratio over the
   contract's balance. .balance will include msg.value and
   lead to an incorrect price computation.*/

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.21 reentrancy

SWC JD:

Description:One of the major dangers of calling external contracts is that they can take over the control flow. In the reentrancy attack (a.k.a. recursive call attack), a malicious contract calls back into the calling contract before the first invocation of the function is finished. This may cause the different invocations of the function to interact in undesirable ways.

```
/*

* @source:

http://blockchain.unica.it/projects/ethereum\textendash

survey/attacks.htmlsimpledao
```

```
* @author: \textendash
   * @vulnerable\textunderscore at\textunderscore lines: 19
298
299
   pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.4.2;
300
301
   contract SimpleDAO {
     mapping (address => uint) public credit;
303
     function donate(address to) payable {
       credit[to] += msg.value;
307
     function withdraw(uint amount) {
       if (credit[msg.sender]>= amount) {
310
         // <yes> <report> REENTRANCY
311
         bool res = msg.sender.call.value(amount)();
312
         credit[msg.sender]\textendash =amount;
313
314
315
   }
316
    DASP: Reentrancy
    Found: true
```

1.22 visibility

SWC _ID:

Description:The default function visibility level in contracts is public, in interfaces—external, and the state variable default visibility level is internal. In contracts, the fallback function can be external or public. In interfaces, all the functions should be declared as external. Explicitly define function visibility to prevent confusion. Additionally, the visibility of state variables could be a problem. labeling the visibility explicitly makes it easier to catch incorrect assumptions about who can access the variable.

```
/*In this example, a specific modifier, such as public, is not
used when declaring a function: */

function foo();

Preferred alternatives:
```

```
function foo() public;
function foo() internal;

}

DASP: Unknown Unknowns
Found: true
```

1.23 state_variable_shadowing

SWC _ID:

Description:Solidity allows for ambiguous naming of state variables when inheritance is used. Contract A with a variable x could inherit contract B that also has a state variable x defined. This would result in two separate versions of x, one of them being accessed from contract A and the other one from contract B. In more complex contract systems this condition could go unnoticed and subsequently lead to security issues.

Example:

```
pragma solidity 0.4.25;
326
   contract Tokensale {
        uint public hardcap = 10000 ether;
328
329
        function Tokensale() {}
339
331
        function fetchCap() public constant returns(uint) {
332
            return hardcap;
333
334
   }
335
336
   contract Presale is Tokensale {
337
        //uint hardcap = 1000 ether;
338
        //If the hardcap variables were both needed we would have to
339
        \hookrightarrow rename one to fix this.
        function Presale() Tokensale() {
340
            hardcap = 1000 ether;
342
   }
343
     DASP: Unknown Unknowns
     Found: false
```

1.24 call_without_data

SWC _ID:

Description:Using low–level call function with no arguments provided. **Example:**

```
/*In the following example, call function is used for ETH

    transfer:*/

   pragma solidity 0.4.24;
345
   contract MyContract {
347
348
        function withdraw() {
349
            if (msg.sender.call.value(1)()) {
359
            /*...*/
351
        }
353
   }
354
     DASP: Unknown unknowns
     Found: false
```

1.25 incorrect_modifier

SWC _ID:

Description:If a modifier does not execute _or revert, the execution of the function will return the default value, which can be misleading for the caller.

Example:

1.26 builtin_symbol_shadowing

SWC _ID:

Description:Something wrong may happen when built—in symbols are shadowed by local variables, state variables, functions, modifiers, or events.

Example:

```
pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.4.24;
   contract Bug {
365
       uint now; // Overshadows current time stamp.
366
367
       function assert(bool condition) public {
368
           // Overshadows built\textendash in symbol for providing
369
               assertions.
       }
370
       function get\textunderscore next\textunderscore
372
           expiration(uint earlier\textunderscore time) private
          returns (uint) {
           return now + 259200; // References overshadowed
               timestamp.
       }
374
   }
375
    DASP: Unknown unknowns
    Found: false
```

1.27 address hardcoded

SWC ID

Description: The contract contains unknown address. This address might be used for some malicious activity. Please check hardcoded address and it's usage.

```
/*In the following contract, the address is specified in the

    source code:*/

377
   pragma solidity 0.4.24;
378
   contract C {
379
     function f(uint a, uint b) pure returns (address) {
380
        address public multisig =
381
        \rightarrow 0xf64B584972FE6055a770477670208d737Fff282f;
        return multisig;
382
            }
383
   }
384
389
    /*Do not forget to check the contract at the address
     \quad \rightarrow \quad 0xf64B584972FE6055a770477670208d737Fff282f \ for \\
         vulnerabilities.*/
```

```
}
    DASP : Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.28 wrong_signature

SWC _ID:

Description:In Solidity, the function signature is defined as the canonical expression of the basic prototype without data location specifier, i.e. the function name with the parenthesised list of parameter types. Parameter types are split by a single comma –no spaces are used. This means one should use uint256 and int256 instead of uint or int.

Example:

```
/*This code uses incorrect function signature:*/
387
388
   pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.5.1;
389
   contract Signature {
390
       function callFoo(address addr, uint value) public returns
391
        bytes memory data = abi.encodeWithSignature("foo(uint)",
392

    value);
            (bool status, ) = addr.call(data);
393
           return status;
394
       }
396
397
   Use "foo(uint256)" instead.
    DASP: Unknown Unknowns
    Found: false
 1.29
         msg.value_in_loop
 SWC _ID:
    Description:It is error—prone to use msg.value inside a loop.
    Example:
   contract MsgValueInLoop{
       mapping (address => uint256) balances;
       function bad(address[] memory receivers) public payable {
402
           for (uint256 i=0; i < receivers.length; i++) {</pre>
```

balances[receivers[i]] += msg.value;

1.30 right_to_left_char

SWC _ID:

Description:Malicious actors can use the Right–To–Left–Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.

```
* @source: https://youtu.be/P\textunderscore

→ Mtd5Fc\textunderscore 3E

   * @author: Shahar Zini
412
   pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.5.0;
414
   contract GuessTheNumber
416
417
       uint \textunderscore secretNumber;
418
        address payable \textunderscore owner;
419
        event success(string);
420
   event wrongNumber(string);
422
        function guess(uint n) payable public
423
424
            require(msg.value == 1 ether);
425
            uint p = address(this).balance;
427
            checkAndTransferPrize(/*The prize/*rebmun desseug*/n ,
428
            → p/*
                     /*The user who should benefit */,msg.sender);
       }
430
431
        function checkAndTransferPrize(uint p, uint n, address
432
           payable guesser) internal returns(bool)
        {
433
```

```
if(n == \textunderscore secretNumber)
435
                guesser.transfer(p);
436
                emit success("You guessed the correct number!");
437
438
            else
439
            {
                emit wrongNumber("You've made an incorrect guess!");
441
442
        }
443
     DASP: Unknown Unknowns
```

Found: false

1.31 local_variable_shadowing

SWC _ID:

Description:Something wrong may happen when local variables shadowing state variables or other local variables.

```
pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.4.24;
446
   contract Bug {
447
       uint owner;
448
       function sensitive\textunderscore function(address owner)
450
       → public {
          // ...
451
          require(owner == msg.sender);
453
454
       function alternate\textunderscore sensitive\textunderscore
455

    function() public {
          address owner = msg.sender;
456
457
          require(owner == msg.sender);
458
       }
459
   }
460
461
    /*sensitive\textunderscore function.owner shadows Bug.owner. As
    function might be incorrect.*/
```

1.32 use_after_delete

SWC _ID:

Description:Using values of variables after they have been explicitly deleted may lead to unexpected behavior or compromise.

Example:

```
mapping(address => uint) public balances;
function f() public {
    delete balances[msg.sender];
    msg.sender.transfer(balances[msg.sender]);
}

/*balances[msg.sender] is deleted before it's sent to the
    caller, leading the transfer to always send zero.*/
}

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.33 incorrect_shift_in_assembly

SWC _ID:

Description: The values in a shift operation could be reversed (in a wrong order)

Example:

```
days | contract C {
    function f() internal returns (uint a) {
        assembly {
            a := shr(a, 8)
        }
    }
    DASP : Unknown Unknowns
```

DASP: Unknown Unknowns

 $\textbf{Found:} \ \mathrm{false}$

1.34 deprecated_standards

SWC _ID:

Description:Several functions and operators in Solidity are deprecated. Using them leads to reduced code quality. With new major versions of the Solidity compiler, deprecated functions and operators may result in side effects and compile errors. Deprecated Alternative suicide(address) selfdestruct(address) block.blockhash(uint) blockhash(uint) sha3(...) keccak256(...) callcode(...) delegatecall(...) throw revert() msg.gas gasleft constant view var corresponding type name

Example:

```
pragma solidity 0.4.24;
   contract BreakThisHash {
478
        bytes32 hash;
479
        uint birthday;
480
        constructor(bytes32 \textunderscore hash) public payable {
            hash = \textunderscore hash;
482
            birthday = now;
        }
485
        function kill(bytes password) external {
486
            if (sha3(password) != hash) {
                throw;
488
            }
489
            suicide(msg.sender);
490
        }
491
        function hashAge() public constant returns(uint) {
493
            return(now \textendash birthday);
        }
495
   }
496
497
    /*Use keccak256, selfdestruct, revert() instead.*/
     DASP: Unknown unknowns
     Found: false
```

1.35 costly_ops_in_loop

SWC JD:

Description:Costly operations inside a loop might waste gas, so optimizations are justified.

```
contract CostlyOperationsInLoop{
       uint loop\textunderscore count = 100;
501
       uint state\textunderscore variable=0;
502
503
       function bad() external{
504
           for (uint i=0; i < loop\textunderscore count; i++){</pre>
               state\textunderscore variable++;
506
507
       }
508
       function good() external{
510
         uint local\textunderscore variable = state\textunderscore

    variable;

         for (uint i=0; i < loop\textunderscore count; i++){</pre>
512
           local\textunderscore variable++;
513
514
         state\textunderscore variable = local\textunderscore
         → variable;
516
   }
517
    /*Incrementing state\textunderscore variable in a loop incurs a
    to an out\textendash of\textendash gas.*/
    DASP: Unknown Unknowns
    Found: false
```

1.36 function_declared_return_but_no_return

SWC _ID:

Description:Function doesn't initialize return value. As result default value will be returned.

```
/*In the following example, the function's signature only
denotes the type of the return value, but the function's
body does not contain return statement:*/

pragma solidity 0.4.25;

contract NewContract {
    uint minimumBuy;
```

```
function setMinimumBuy(uint256 newMinimumBuy) returns

(bool){
minimumBuy = newMinimumBuy;
}
}

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.37 multiple_constructor_schemes

SWC _ID:

Description:Multiple constructor definitions in the same contract (using new and old schemes).

Example:

```
contract A {
      uint x;
531
      constructor() public {
532
          x = 0;
533
534
      function A() public {
535
          x = 1;
536
537
538
      function test() public returns(uint) {
539
          return x;
541
  }
542
543
   /*In Solidity 0.4.22, a contract with both constructor schemes
    over the second, which may be unintended.*/
    DASP: Unknown unknowns
    Found: false
```

1.38 byte_array_instead_bytes

SWC JD:

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Description:} Use bytes instead of byte[] for lower gas consumption. \\ \textbf{Example:} \end{tabular}$

```
/*In the following example, byte array is used:*/
546
   pragma solidity 0.4.24;
547
548
   contract C {
549
        byte[] someVariable;
550
552
   Alternative:
554
555
   pragma solidity 0.4.24;
556
557
   contract C {
558
        bytes someVariable;
559
560
   }
561
     DASP: Unknown Unknowns
     Found: false
         short\_addresses
  1.39
  SWC _{
m ID}:
     Description:MISSING
     Example:
562 MISSING
  }
     DASP: Unknown unknowns
     Found: false
  1.40
         uninitialized\_storage\_pointer
  SWC ID:
  first state variable, and can override a critical variable.
```

Description: An uninitialized storage variable will act as a reference to the

```
contract Uninitialized{
       address owner = msg.sender;
564
       struct St{
566
```

```
uint a;
567
560
        function func() {
579
            St st;
571
            st.a = 0x0;
572
573
574
    /*Bob calls func. As a result, owner is overridden to 0.*/
     DASP: Unknown Unknowns
```

Found: false

1.41 pausable_modifier_absence

SWC _ID:

Description: ERC20 balance/allowance is modified without when Not Paused modifier (in pausable contract).x

Example:

```
function buggyTransfer(address to, uint256 value) external
   → returns (bool){
           balanceOf[msg.sender] \textendash = value;
           balanceOf[to] += value;
578
           return true;
579
580
581
    /*In a pausable contract, buggyTransfer performs a token
       transfer but does not use Pausable's whenNotPaused
        modifier. If the token admin/owner pauses the ERC20
        contract to trigger an emergency stop, it will not apply to
        this function. This results in Txs transferring even in a
        paused state, which corrupts the contract balance state and
        affects recovery.*/
    DASP: Unknown unknowns
    Found: false
```

1.42useless_compare

SWC _ID:

Description: A variable compared to itself is probably an error as it will always return true for ==, $\xi=$, j= and always false for j, ξ and j=. In addition, some comparison are also tautologies or contradictions.

Example:

```
function check(uint a) external returns(bool){
   return (a >= a);
}

}

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.43 benign_reentrancy

SWC _ID:

Description:Some re–entrancy bugs have no adverse effect since its exploitation would have the same effect as two consecutive calls.

Example:

```
function callme(){
   if( ! (msg.sender.call()() ) ){
     throw;
}
counter += 1
}
/*callme() contains a benign reentrancy.*/
}
DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.44 divide_before_multiply

SWC _ID:

Description: Solidity operates only with integers. Thus, if the division is done before the multiplication, the rounding errors can increase dramatically. Vulnerability type by SmartDec classification: Precision issues.

```
/*In the following example, amount variable is divided by

DELIMITER and then multiplied by BONUS. Thus, a rounding

error appears (consider amount = 9000):*/

pragma solidity 0.4.25;

contract MyContract {
```

```
uint constant BONUS = 500;
uint constant DELIMITER = 10000;

function calculateBonus(uint amount) returns (uint) {
    return amount/DELIMITER*BONUS;
}

DASP: Unknown Unknowns
Found: false
```

1.45 should_be_pure

SWC ID:

Description:In Solidity, function that do not read from the state or modify it can be declared as pure.

Example:

```
Here is the example of correct pure\textendash function:

pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.4.16;

contract C {

function f(uint a, uint b) pure returns (uint) {

return a * (b + 42) + now;
}

DASP: Unknown unknowns

Found: false
```

1.46 del_structure_containing_mapping

SWC JD:

Description: A deletion in a structure containing a mapping will not delete the mapping (see the Solidity documentation). The remaining data may be used to compromise the contract.

```
struct BalancesStruct{
   address owner;
   mapping(address => uint) balances;
}
```

```
mapping(address => BalancesStruct) public stackBalance;
function remove() internal{
          delete stackBalance[msg.sender];
}

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.47 msg.value_equals_zero

SWC _ID:

Description: The msg.value == 0 condition check is meaningless in most cases.

Example:

1.48 unused_state_variables

SWC _ID:

Description:Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue. It is best practice though to avoid them as they can: * cause an increase in computations (and unnecessary gas consumption) * indicate bugs or malformed data structures and they are generally a sign of poor code quality * cause code noise and decrease readability of the code

```
pragma solidity >=0.5.0;
   pragma experimental ABIEncoderV2;
628
   import "./base.sol";
629
630
   contract DerivedA is Base {
631
        // i is not used in the current contract
632
        A i = A(1);
633
634
        int internal j = 500;
635
636
        function call(int a) public {
```

```
assign1(a);
638
        }
639
640
        function assign3(A memory x) public returns (uint) {
641
            return g[1] + x.a + uint(j);
642
643
        function ret() public returns (int){
645
            return this.e();
646
647
648
      int internal j = 500;
649
   function call(int a) public {
650
            assign1(a);
651
        }
652
653
        function assign3(A memory x) public returns (uint) {
654
            return g[1] + x.a + uint(j);
655
656
657
        function ret() public returns (int){
658
            return this.e();
659
   }
661
  }
     DASP: Unknown unknowns
     Found: false
```

1.49 denial_of_service

SWC JD:

Description:Denial of service (DoS) is deadly in the world of Ethereum: while other types of applications can eventually recover, smart contracts can be taken offline forever by just one of these attacks. DoS can happen in the following cases: * External calls can fail accidentally or deliberately, which can cause a DoS condition in the contract. Particularly, DoS would happen if there is a loop where external calls are not isolated. * A large number of loops may consume gas, so it is possible that the function exceeds the block gas limit, and transactions calling it will never be confirmed. * An inappropriate type inference in the loop (e.g., literal –; uint8) may cause a infinite loop. * Recursive external calls may consume a large number of callstacks, which may lead to DoS.

```
662 for (var i = 0; i < array.length; i++) { /* ... */
```

1.50 array_length_manipulation

SWC _ID:

Description: The length of the dynamic array is changed directly. In the following case, the appearance of gigantic arrays is possible and it can lead to a storage overlap attack (collisions with other data in storage).

Example:

```
pragma solidity 0.4.24;
   contract dataStorage {
665
       uint[] public data;
666
667
        function writeData(uint[] \textunderscore data) external {
            for(uint i = data.length; i < \textunderscore</pre>
669
               data.length; i++) {
                data.length++;
                data[i]=\textunderscore data[i];
671
            }
672
       }
673
   }
674
     DASP: Unknown Unknowns
     Found: false
```

1.51 constant_state_variable

SWC ID:

Description: There is a conflict if the same base constructor is called with arguments from two different locations in the same inheritance hierarchy.

```
pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.4.0;
pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.4.0;
contract A{
    uint num = 5;
    constructor(uint x) public{
        num += x;
}
```

```
683
   contract B is A{
        constructor() A(2) public { /* ... */ }
685
686
687
   contract C is A {
688
        constructor() A(3) public { /* ... */ }
689
   }
690
691
   contract D is B, C {
692
        constructor() public { /* ... */ }
694
     DASP: Unknown unknowns
     Found: false
```

1.52 access_control

SWC _ID:

Description: Access Control issues are common in all programs, not just smart contracts. In fact, it's number 5 on the OWASP top 10. One usually accesses a contract's functionality through its public or external functions. While insecure visibility settings give attackers straightforward ways to access a contract's private values or logic, access control bypasses are sometimes more subtle. These vulnerabilities can occur in the following cases: * Contracts use the deprecated tx.origin to validate callers * Handling large authorization logic with lengthy require * Making reckless use of delegatecall in proxy libraries or proxy contracts. Delegate calling into untrusted contracts is very dangerous, as the code at the target address can change any storage values of the caller and has full control over the caller's balance. * Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw some or all Ether from the contract account. * Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can self-destruct the contract.

```
1.53
        ignore
  SWC _ID:
     Description:Other trivial bug types.
     Example:
     DASP: Unknown Unknowns
     Found: true
         controlled_lowlevel_call
  1.54
  SWC _ID:
     Description:Low-level call with a user-controlled data field
     Example:
   address token;
   function call\textunderscore token(bytes data){
     token.call(data);
   }
706
707
    /*token` points to an ERC20 token. Bob uses call\textunderscore
     _{\mbox{\scriptsize $\hookrightarrow$}} token to call the transfer function of token to withdraw
     → all tokens held by the contract.*/
     DASP: Unknown Unknowns
     Found: true
  1.55
         dangerous_enum_conversion
  SWC _ID:
     Description:out-of-range enum conversion may occur (solc ; 0.4.5).
     Example:
pragma solidity 0.4.2;
   contract Test{
710
     enum E{a}
711
     function bug(uint a) public returns(E){
712
            return E(a);
       }
715 }
```

1.56 should_be_view

SWC _ID:

Description:In Solidity, functions that do not read from the state or modify it can be declared as view.

Example:

```
Here is the example of correct view\textendash function:

contract C {

function f(uint a, uint b) view returns (uint) {

return a * (b + 42) + now;
}

DASP: Unknown unknowns

Found: false
```

1.57 uninitialized_local_variable

SWC _ID:

Description:Some unexpected error may happen when local variables are not uninitialized.

```
contract Uninitialized is Owner{
function withdraw() payable public onlyOwner{
address to;
to.transfer(this.balance)
}

/*Bob calls transfer. As a result, all Ether is sent to the
→ address OxO and is lost.*/

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.58 reused_base_constructors

SWC _ID:

Description: There is a conflict if the same base constructor is called with arguments from two different locations in the same inheritance hierarchy.

Example:

```
pragma solidity \textsuperscript 0.4.0;
732
   contract A{
733
        uint num = 5;
734
        constructor(uint x) public{
735
            num += x;
736
737
   }
738
739
   contract B is A{
740
        constructor() A(2) public { /* ... */ }
741
742
743
   contract C is A {
        constructor() A(3) public { /* ... */ }
745
746
747
   contract D is B, C {
748
        constructor() public { /* ... */ }
749
750
     DASP: Unknown unknowns
     Found: false
```

1.59 blockhash_current

SWC _ID:

Description:blockhash function returns a non–zero value only for 256 last blocks. Besides, it always returns 0 for the current block, i.e. blockhash(block.number) always equals to 0.

```
/*In the following example, currentBlockBlockhash function \hookrightarrow always returns 0:*/
pragma solidity 0.4.25;

contract MyContract {
```

```
function currentBlockHash() public view returns(bytes32) {
    return blockhash(block.number);
}

page 2

page 3

page 4

page 4
```

1.60 payable_func_using_delegatecall_in_loop

SWC _ID:

Description: The same msg.value amount may be incorrectly accredited multiple times when using delegatecall inside a loop in a payable function.

Example:

```
contract DelegatecallInLoop{
761
       mapping (address => uint256) balances;
762
       function bad(address[] memory receivers) public payable {
           for (uint256 i = 0; i < receivers.length; i++) {</pre>
769
                    address(this).delegatecall(abi.encodeWithSignature("addBalance(address)",
                    receivers[i]));
           }
767
       }
768
       function addBalance(address a) public payable {
           balances[a] += msg.value;
   }
     DASP: Unknown Unknowns
    Found: false
```

1.61 using_send

SWC _ID:

Description: The send function is called inside checks instead of using transfer. The recommended way to perform checked ether payments is addr.transfer(x), which automatically throws an exception if the transfer is unsuccessful.

```
/* In the following example, the send function is used:*/
775
776
777 if(!addr.send(42 ether)) {
    revert();
778
780
781 /*Preferred alternative:
782
783 addr.transfer(42 ether);*/
}
DASP: Unknown Unknowns
Found: false
```

1.62 time_manipulation

SWC _ID:

Description:From locking a token sale to unlocking funds at a specific time for a game, contracts sometimes need to rely on the current time. This is usually done via block.timestamp or its alias now in Solidity. But where does that value come from? From the miners! Because a transaction's miner has leeway in reporting the time at which the mining occurred, good smart contracts will avoid relying strongly on the time advertised.

```
contract TimedCrowdsale
     event Finished();
     event notFinished();
786
787
     // Sale should finish exactly at January 1, 2019
788
     function isSaleFinished() private returns (bool) {
        return block.timestamp >= 1546300800;
790
791
792
     function run() public {
793
        if (isSaleFinished()) {
794
            emit Finished();
795
        } else {
796
            emit notFinished();
797
798
799
   }
```

DASP: Time Manipulation

Found: false

1.63 incorrect_ERC721_interface

SWC _ID:

Description:Incorrect return values for ERC721 functions. A contract compiled with solidity $\[\]$ 0.4.22 interacting with these functions will fail to execute them, as the return value is missing.

Example:

1.64 redundant_code

SWC _ID:

Description:Redundant statements may have no effect. **Example:**

```
contract RedundantStatementsContract {
806
        constructor() public {
807
             uint; // Elementary Type Name
808
             bool; // Elementary Type Name
             RedundantStatementsContract; // Identifier
810
811
812
        function test() public returns (uint) {
             uint; // Elementary Type Name
814
             assert; // Identifier
             test; // Identifier
816
             return 777;
818
   }
819
820
     /*Each commented line references types/identifiers, but
     _{\mathrel{\mathrel{\hookrightarrow}}} performs no action with them, so no code will be generated
     \hookrightarrow for such statements and they can be removed.*/
```

```
}
     DASP: Unknown unknowns
     Found: false
  1.65
         do_while_continue
  SWC _ID:
     Description:Prior to version 0.5.0, Solidity compiler handles continue inside
  do-while loop incorrectly: it ignores while condition.
     Example:
   /*The following loop is infinite:*/
823
   do {
824
       continue;
825
826 } while(false);
     DASP: Unknown Unknowns
     Found: false
  1.66
         assert_state_change
  SWC _ID:
     Description:Incorrect use of assert(). See Solidity best practices.
     Example:
   contract A {
     uint s\textunderscore a;
828
829
     function bad() public {
830
       assert((s\textunderscore a += 1) > 10);
831
832
   }
833
    /*The assert in bad() increments the state variable

→ s\textunderscore a while checking for the condition.*/

     DASP: Unknown Unknowns
     Found: false
```