Metasecurelabs analysis report

metasecurelabs.io

November 3, 2022

Introduction 1

storage_signed_integer_array 1.1

SWC JD:

Description:solc versions 0.4.7-0.5.10 contain a compiler bug leading to incorrect values in signed integer arrays.

Example:

 $contract\ A\ int [3]\ ether_balances; //storage signed integer array function bad 0 () private //...ether_balances$ bad0() uses a (storage-allocated) signed integer array state variable to store the ether balances of three accounts. 1 is supposed to indicate uninitialized values but the Solidity bug makes these as 1, which could be exploited by the accounts.

 $\mathbf{DASP}:$ Unknown unknowns

Found: false

modifier_like_Sol_keyword 1.2

SWC ID:

Description: A contract may contain modifier that looks similar to Solidity keyword

Example:

```
contract Contract modifier public()
function doSomething() pub1ic require(owner == msg.sender); owner =
public is a modifier meant to look like a Solidity keyword.
```

DASP: Unknown Unknowns

1.3 arbitrary_from_in_transferFrom

SWC JD:

Description:Something wrong happens when msg.sender is not used as 'from' in transferFrom.

Example:

function a(address from, address to, uint256 amount) public erc20.transferFrom(from, to, am);

Alice approves this contract to spend her ERC20 tokens. Bob can call a and specify Alice's address as the from parameter in transferFrom, allowing him to transfer Alice's tokens to himself.

}
DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false

1.4 arithmetic

SWC JD:

Description:This bug type consists of various arithmetic bugs: integer overflow/underflow, division issues, . * Integer overflow/underflow. An overflow/underflow happens when an arithmetic operation reaches the maximum or minimum size of a type. For instance if a number is stored in the uint8 type, it means that the number is stored in a 8 bits unsigned number ranging from 0 to

 $2^8-1. In computer programming, an integer over flow occurs when an arithmetic operation attempts to create a Division issues. Some wrong will happen when integer or float numbers are divided by zero. *$

Type deduction over flow. In Solidity, when declaring a variable a stype var, the compiler use stype deduction to a variable and the compiler use stype and the compiler use st

Example:

Found: true

1.5 storage_ABIEncoderV2_array

SWC JD:

Description:solc versions 0.4.7-0.5.9 contain a compiler bug leading to incorrect ABI encoder usage.

Example:

```
contract A uint[2][3] bad_arr = [[1,2],[3,4],[5,6]];
/* Array of arrays passed to abi.encode is vulnerable */ function bad() public bytes memory b = abi.encode(bad_arr);
abi.encode(bad_arr)inacalltobad()willincorrectlyencodethearrayas[[1,2],[2,3],[3,4]]andleadtounintence }
}
DASP: Unknown unknowns
```

1.6 dead_code

Found: false

SWC JD:

Description:In Solidity, it's possible to write code that does not produce the intended effects. Currently, the solidity compiler will not return a warning for effect-free code. This can lead to the introduction of "dead" code that does not properly performing an intended action.

For example, it's easy to miss the trailing parentheses in msg.sender.call.value(xx)("");, which could lead to a function proceeding without transferring funds to msg.sender. Also, internal functions could be 'dead' when they are not invoked.

Example:

```
pragma solidity <sup>0</sup>.5.0;

contract DepositBox mapping(address = ¿ uint) balance;

// Accept deposit function deposit(uint amount) public payable require(msg.value

== amount, 'incorrect amount'); // Should update user balance balance[msg.sender]

= amount;

}

DASP: Unknown unknowns

Found: false
```

1.7 func_modifying_storage_array_by_value

SWC _ID:

Description: Arrays passed to a function that expects reference to a storage array.

```
contract Memory uint[1] public x; // storage function f() public f1(x); // update x f2(x); // do not update x function f1(uint[1] storage arr) internal // by reference arr[0] = 1; function f2(uint[1] arr) internal // by value arr[0] = 2;
```

```
Bob calls f(). Bob assumes that at the end of the call x[0] is 2, but it is 1. As a result, Bob's usage of the contract is incorrect.
```

} **DASP**: Unknown Unknowns

Found: false

1.8 strict_balance_equality

SWC JD:

Description:Contracts can behave erroneously when they strictly assume a specific Ether balance. It is always possible to forcibly send ether to a contract (without triggering its fallback function), using selfdestruct, or by mining to the account. In the worst case scenario this could lead to DOS conditions that might render the contract unusable.

Example:

1.9 overpowered_role

SWC JD:

Description: This function is callable only from one address. Therefore, the system depends heavily on this address. In this case, there are scenarios that may lead to undesirable consequences for investors, e.g. if the private key of this address becomes compromised.

```
pragma solidity 0.4.25; contract Crowdsale address public owner; uint rate; uint cap; constructor() owner = msg.sender; function setRate(_rate)publiconlyOwnerrate =_r ate; function setCap(_cap)publicrequire(msg.sender == owner); cap =_c ap; } } DASP : Unknown unknowns Found: false
```

1.10 erc20_event_not_indexed

SWC JD:

Description:Events defined by the ERC20 specification that should have some parameters as indexed.

Example:

```
contract ERC20Bad // ... event Transfer(address from, address to, uint value); event Approval(address owner, address spender, uint value); // ...
```

Transfer and Approval events should have the 'indexed' keyword on their two first parameters, as defined by the ERC20 specification. Failure to include these keywords will exclude the parameter data in the transaction/block's bloom filter, so external tooling searching for these parameters may overlook them and fail to index logs from this token contract.

}
DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false

1.11 unused_retval

SWC _ID:

Description: The return value of an external call is not stored in a local or state variable.

Example:

contract MyConc using SafeMath for uint; function $\mathrm{my}_f unc(uinta, uintb) publica.add(b)$; MyConc calls add of SafeMath, but does not store the result in a. As a result, the computation has no effect.

} }

DASP: Unknown Unknowns

Found: true

1.12 extra_gas_in_loops

SWC JD:

Description:State variable, .balance, or .length of non-memory array is used in the condition of for or while loop. In this case, every iteration of loop consumes extra gas.

Example:

In the following example, limiter variable is accessed on every for-loop iteration:

```
pragma solidity 0.4.25; contract NewContract uint limiter = 100; function longLoop() for(uint i=0; i; limiter; i++) /* ... */}
```

```
DASP: Unknown unknowns
```

Found: false

1.13 uninitialized_state_variable

SWC JD:

Description:Some unexpected error may happen when state variables are not uninitialized.

Example:

```
contract Uninitialized address destination;
function transfer() payable public destination.transfer(msg.value);
DASP: Unknown unknowns
```

Found: true

1.14pre-declare_usage_of_local

SWC _ID:

Description:Using a variable before the declaration is stepped over (either because it is later declared, or declared in another scope).

Example:

```
contract C function f(uint z) public returns (uint) uint y = x + 9 + z; //
'z' is used pre-declaration uint x = 7;
   if (z uint max = 5; // ...
   // 'max' was intended to be 5, but it was mistakenly declared in a scope
and not assigned (so it is zero). for (uint i = 0; i \mid max; i++) x += 1;
   return x;
   DASP: Unknown unknowns
```

Found: false

1.15race_condition

SWC _ID:

Description:Since miners always get rewarded via gas fees for running code on behalf of externally owned addresses (EOA), users can specify higher fees to have their transactions mined more quickly. Since the Ethereum blockchain is public, everyone can see the contents of others' pending transactions. This means if a given user is revealing the solution to a puzzle or other valuable secret, a malicious user can steal the solution and copy their transaction with higher fees to preempt the original solution. If developers of smart contracts are not careful, this situation can lead to practical and devastating front-running attacks.

Example:

In this example, one can front-run transactions to claim his/her reward before the owner reduces the reward amount.

```
pragma solidity <sup>0</sup>.4.16;
```

contract EthTxOrderDependenceMinimal address public owner; bool public claimed; uint public reward;

```
function EthTxOrderDependenceMinimal() public owner = msg.sender;
function setReward() public payable require (!claimed); require(msg.sender
== owner); owner.transfer(reward); reward = msg.value;
```

```
function claimReward(uint256 submission) require (!claimed); require(submission ; 10); msg.sender.transfer(reward); claimed = true;

}

DASP: Front Running

Found: true
```

1.16 unchecked_calls

SWC _ID:

Description:The return value of a message call is not checked. Execution will resume even if the called contract throws an exception. If the call fails accidentally or an attacker forces the call to fail, this may cause unexpected behaviour in the subsequent program logic.

Example:

```
pragma solidity 0.4.25;
contract ReturnValue
checked function callchecked(address callee) public require(callee.call());
function callnotchecked(address callee) public callee.call();
}
}
DASP : Unchecked Low Level Calls
Found: true
```

1.17 locked_money

SWC JD:

Description:Contracts programmed to receive ether should implement a way to withdraw it, i.e., call transfer (recommended), send, or call.value at least once..

Example:

In the following example, contracts programmed to receive ether does not call transfer, send, or call value function:

 \mathbf{DASP} : Unknown unknowns

Found: false

1.18 incorrect_ERC20_interface

SWC ID:

Description:Incorrect return values for ERC20 functions. A contract compiled with Solidity i 0.4.22 interacting with these functions will fail to execute them, as the return value is missing.

Example:

contract Token function transfer(address to, uint value) external; //...

Token.transfer does not return a boolean. Bob deploys the token. Alice creates a contract that interacts with it but assumes a correct ERC20 interface implementation. Alice's contract is unable to interact with Bob's contract.

} }

DASP: Unknown Unknowns

Found: false

1.19 unused_function_should_be_external

SWC JD:

Description:A function with public visibility modifier that is not called internally. Changing visibility level to external increases code readability. Moreover, in many cases functions with external visibility modifier spend less gas comparing to functions with public visibility modifier.

Example:

In the following example, functions with both public and external visibility modifiers are used:

```
contract Token
```

```
mapping (address = i uint256) internal balances;
```

 $function\ transfer_{p}ublic(address to, uint 256 value) public require(value <=_b\ alances[msg.sender]);$

balances[msg.sender] - = value; balances[to] + = value;

function transfer_e $xternal(addressto, uint256value)externalrequire(value <=_b alances[msg.sender]);$ balances[msg.sender] -= value; balances[to] += value;

The second function requires less gas.

}

DASP: Unknown unknowns

Found: true

1.20 uninitialized_func_pointer

SWC _ID:

Description: this balance will include the value sent by msg.value, which might lead to incorrect computation.

Example:

contract Bug function buy() public payable uint minted = msg.value * (1000 / address(this).balance); // ...

buy is meant to compute a price that changes a ratio over the contract's balance. .balance will include msg.value and lead to an incorrect price computation.

}
}
DASP : Unknown unknowns
Found: false

1.21 reentrancy

SWC ID:

Description:One of the major dangers of calling external contracts is that they can take over the control flow. In the reentrancy attack (a.k.a. recursive call attack), a malicious contract calls back into the calling contract before the first invocation of the function is finished. This may cause the different invocations of the function to interact in undesirable ways.

Example:

```
/** @source: http://blockchain.unica.it/projects/ethereum-survey/attacks.htmlsimpledao * @author: - * @vulnerable_at_lines : 19 * / pragma solidity ^0.4.2; contract SimpleDAO mapping (address = \( \chi \) uint) public credit; function donate(address to) payable credit[to] += msg.value; function withdraw(uint amount) if (credit[msg.sender]\( \chi = amount \) // iyes\( \chi \) ireport\( \chi \) REENTRANCY bool res = msg.sender.call.value(amount)(); credit[msg.sender]-=amount; \\ \} \\ \] DASP : Reentrancy Found: true
```

1.22 visibility

SWC _ID:

Description:The default function visibility level in contracts is public, in interfaces - external, and the state variable default visibility level is internal. In contracts, the fallback function can be external or public. In interfaces, all the functions should be declared as external. Explicitly define function visibility to prevent confusion. Additionally, the visibility of state variables could be a problem. labeling the visibility explicitly makes it easier to catch incorrect assumptions about who can access the variable.

In this example, a specific modifier, such as public, is not used when declaring a function:

```
function foo();
Preferred alternatives:
function foo() public; function foo() internal;
}
DASP : Unknown Unknowns
Found: true
```

1.23 state_variable_shadowing

SWC _ID:

Description:Solidity allows for ambiguous naming of state variables when inheritance is used. Contract A with a variable x could inherit contract B that also has a state variable x defined. This would result in two separate versions of x, one of them being accessed from contract A and the other one from contract B. In more complex contract systems this condition could go unnoticed and subsequently lead to security issues.

```
Example:
```

```
pragma solidity 0.4.25;
contract Tokensale uint public hardcap = 10000 ether;
function Tokensale()
function fetchCap() public constant returns(uint) return hardcap;
contract Presale is Tokensale //uint hardcap = 1000 ether; //If the hardcap
variables were both needed we would have to rename one to fix this. function
Presale() Tokensale() hardcap = 1000 ether;

}
DASP: Unknown Unknowns
Found: false
```

1.24 call_without_data

SWC ID:

Description:Using low-level call function with no arguments provided. **Example**:

In the following example, call function is used for ETH transfer: pragma solidity 0.4.24;

1.25 incorrect_modifier

SWC JD:

Description: If a modifier does not execute $_{o}rrevert$, the execution of the function will return the default (Example:

```
modiffier myModif() if(..) ;functionget()myModifreturns(uint)
If the condition in myModif is false, the execution of get() will return 0.
}
```

DASP: Unknown unknowns

Found: false

1.26 builtin_symbol_shadowing

SWC _ID:

Description:Something wrong may happen when built-in symbols are shadowed by local variables, state variables, functions, modifiers, or events.

Example:

```
pragma solidity <sup>0</sup>.4.24;
contract Bug uint now; // Overshadows current time stamp.
function assert(bool condition) public // Overshadows built-in symbol for
providing assertions.
```

oviding assertions. function $get_next_expiration(uintearlier_time)$ private returns(uint) return returns(uint

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false

1.27 address_hardcoded

SWC _ID:

Description: The contract contains unknown address. This address might be used for some malicious activity. Please check hardcoded address and it's usage.

Example:

In the following contract, the address is specified in the source code: pragma solidity 0.4.24; contract C function f(uint a, uint b) pure returns (address) address public multisig = 0xf64B584972FE6055a770477670208d737Fff282f; return multisig:

Do not forget to check the contract at the address 0xf64B584972FE6055a770477670208d737Fff282f for vulnerabilities.

}
DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false

1.28 wrong_signature

SWC _ID:

Description:In Solidity, the function signature is defined as the canonical expression of the basic prototype without data location specifier, i.e. the function name with the parenthesised list of parameter types. Parameter types are split by a single comma - no spaces are used. This means one should use uint256 and int256 instead of uint or int.

Example:

```
This code uses incorrect function signature: pragma solidity ^{0}.5.1; contractSignaturefunctioncallFoo(addressaddr, uintvalue)publicreturns(bool)b Use "foo(uint256)" instead.
```

} **DASP** : Unknown Unknowns

1.29 msg.value_in_loop

SWC JD:

Description:It is error-prone to use msg.value inside a loop.

Example:

Found: false

contract MsgValueInLoop mapping (address = ¿ uint256) balances; function bad(address[] memory receivers) public payable for (uint256 i=0; i ; receivers.length; i++) balances[receivers[i]] += msg.value;

msg.value should be tracked through a local variable and decrease its amount on every iteration/usage.

}

DASP: Unknown unknowns

Found: false

1.30 right_to_left_char

SWC JD:

Description:Malicious actors can use the Right-To-Left-Override unicode character to force RTL text rendering and confuse users as to the real intent of a contract.

Example:

```
/* * @source: https://youtu.be/P_Mtd5Fc_3E * @author : ShaharZini * /pragmasolidity^0.5.0;
```

contract GuessTheNumber uint secretNumber; $addresspayable_owner$; eventsuccess(string); eventwrowner; function guess(uint n) payable public require(msg.value == 1 ether); uint p = address(this).balance; checkAndTransferPrize(/*The prize/*rebmun

desseug*/n, p/* /*The user who should benefit */,msg.sender);

```
function check
AndTransferPrize(uint p, uint n, address payable guesser) internal returns
(bool) if (n == _secretNumber) guesser.transfer(p); emitsuccess
("Youguessedthecorrectnumber) guesser.transfer(p); guesser; guess
```

1.31 local_variable_shadowing

SWC JD:

Description:Something wrong may happen when local variables shadowing state variables or other local variables.

Example:

```
pragma solidity ^{0}.4.24; contract Bug uint owner; function sensitive _{f} unction (addressowner) public //... require (owner == msg.sender); function alternate _{s} ensitive _{f} unction () public addressowner = msg.sender; //... require (owner == msg.sender); sensitive _{f} unction. ownershadows Bug. owner. As a result, the use of owner insensitive _{f} unction might be incompared by ownershadows Bug. ownershadows Bug.
```

use_after_delete

SWC JD:

1.32

Description:Using values of variables after they have been explicitly deleted may lead to unexpected behavior or compromise.

Example:

Found: false

mapping(address = ξ uint) public balances; function f() public delete balances[msg.sender]; msg.sender.transfer(balances[msg.sender]);

balances[msg.sender] is deleted before it's sent to the caller, leading the transfer to always send zero.

```
}
DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.33 incorrect_shift_in_assembly

SWC JD:

Description: The values in a shift operation could be reversed (in a wrong order)

```
contract C function f() internal returns (uint a) assembly a := shr(a, 8)
```

```
DASP: Unknown Unknowns
Found: false
```

1.34 deprecated_standards

SWC JD:

Description:Several functions and operators in Solidity are deprecated. Using them leads to reduced code quality. With new major versions of the Solidity compiler, deprecated functions and operators may result in side effects and compile errors. Deprecated Alternative suicide(address) selfdestruct(address) block.blockhash(uint) blockhash(uint) sha3(...) keccak256(...) callcode(...) delegatecall(...) throw revert() msg.gas gasleft constant view var corresponding type name

Example:

```
pragma solidity 0.4.24;
```

contract BreakThisHash bytes32 hash; uint birthday; constructor(bytes32 hash)publicpayablehash =hash; birthday = now;

function kill(bytes password) external if (sha3(password) != hash) throw; suicide(msg.sender);

```
function hashAge() public constant returns(uint) return(now - birthday);
Use keccak256, selfdestruct, revert() instead.
}
}
```

DASP: Unknown unknowns

Found: false

1.35 costly_ops_in_loop

SWC _ID:

Description:Costly operations inside a loop might waste gas, so optimizations are justified.

Example:

 $\mathbf{DASP}:$ Unknown Unknowns

1.36 function_declared_return_but_no_return

SWC JD:

Description:Function doesn't initialize return value. As result default value will be returned.

Example:

In the following example, the function's signature only denotes the type of the return value, but the function's body does not contain return statement:

pragma solidity 0.4.25;

contract NewContract uint minimumBuy;

function setMinimumBuy(uint256 newMinimumBuy) returns (bool) minimumBuy = newMinimumBuy;

}

DASP: Unknown unknowns

Found: false

1.37 multiple_constructor_schemes

SWC JD:

Description:Multiple constructor definitions in the same contract (using new and old schemes).

Example:

contract A uint x; constructor() public x = 0; function A() public x = 1; function test() public returns(uint) return x;

In Solidity 0.4.22, a contract with both constructor schemes will compile. The first constructor will take precedence over the second, which may be unintended.

} }

DASP: Unknown unknowns

Found: false

1.38 byte_array_instead_bytes

SWC JD:

Description:Use bytes instead of byte of lower gas consumption.

Example:

```
In the following example, byte array is used: pragma solidity 0.4.24; contract C byte[] someVariable; ... Alternative: pragma solidity 0.4.24; contract C bytes someVariable; ...
```

15

DASP: Unknown Unknowns

Found: false

1.39 short_addresses

SWC JD:

Description:MISSING
Example:
MISSING
}
}

 $\mathbf{DASP}:$ Unknown unknowns

Found: false

1.40 uninitialized_storage_pointer

SWC ID:

Description:An uninitialized storage variable will act as a reference to the first state variable, and can override a critical variable.

Example:

contract Uninitialized address owner = msg.sender; struct St uint a; function func() St st; st.a = 0x0; Bob calls func. As a result, owner is overridden to 0.

DASP: Unknown Unknowns

1.41 pausable_modifier_absence

SWC JD:

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Description}: ERC20 \ balance/allowance is modified without when Not Paused modifier (in pausable contract).x \end{tabular}$

Example:

Found: false

function buggyTransfer(address to, uint256 value) external returns (bool) balanceOf[msg.sender] -= value; balanceOf[to] += value; return true;

In a pausable contract, buggyTransfer performs a token transfer but does not use Pausable's whenNotPaused modifier. If the token admin/owner pauses the ERC20 contract to trigger an emergency stop, it will not apply to this function. This results in Txs transferring even in a paused state, which corrupts the contract balance state and affects recovery.

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false

1.42 useless_compare

SWC JD:

Description:A variable compared to itself is probably an error as it will always return true for ==, $\xi=$, j= and always false for j, ξ and j=. In addition, some comparison are also tautologies or contradictions.

Example:

```
function check(uint a) external returns(bool) return (a ¿= a);
}

DASP : Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.43 benign_reentrancy

SWC JD:

Description:Some re-entrancy bugs have no adverse effect since its exploitation would have the same effect as two consecutive calls.

Example:

```
function callme() if( ! (msg.sender.call()() ) ) throw; counter += 1
callme() contains a benign reentrancy.
}
}
DASP : Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.44 divide_before_multiply

SWC _ID:

Description:Solidity operates only with integers. Thus, if the division is done before the multiplication, the rounding errors can increase dramatically. Vulnerability type by SmartDec classification: Precision issues.

Example:

In the following example, amount variable is divided by DELIMITER and then multiplied by BONUS. Thus, a rounding error appears (consider amount = 9000):

```
pragma solidity 0.4.25;
contract MyContract
uint constant BONUS = 500; uint constant DELIMITER = 10000;
function calculateBonus(uint amount) returns (uint) return amount/DELIMITER*BONUS;
}
}
DASP: Unknown Unknowns
Found: false
```

1.45 should_be_pure

SWC JD:

Description:In Solidity, function that do not read from the state or modify it can be declared as pure.

Example:

```
Here is the example of correct pure-function:
    pragma solidity <sup>0</sup>.4.16;
    contract C function f(uint a, uint b) pure returns (uint) return a * (b + 42) + now;
}

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.46 del_structure_containing_mapping

SWC JD:

Description:A deletion in a structure containing a mapping will not delete the mapping (see the Solidity documentation). The remaining data may be used to compromise the contract.

Example:

```
struct BalancesStruct address owner; mapping(address = ; uint) balances; mapping(address = ; BalancesStruct) public stackBalance;
```

```
function remove() internal delete stackBalance[msg.sender];
}
DASP : Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.47 msg.value_equals_zero

SWC _ID:

Description: The msg.value ==0 condition check is meaningless in most cases.

```
Example: msg.value == 0
```

DASP: Unknown unknowns

Found: false

1.48 unused_state_variables

SWC JD:

Description:Unused variables are allowed in Solidity and they do not pose a direct security issue. It is best practice though to avoid them as they can: * cause an increase in computations (and unnecessary gas consumption) * indicate bugs or malformed data structures and they are generally a sign of poor code quality * cause code noise and decrease readability of the code

Example:

```
pragma solidity \xi=0.5.0; pragma experimental ABIEncoderV2; import "./base.sol"; contract DerivedA is Base // i is not used in the current contract A i = A(1); int internal j = 500; function call(int a) public assign1(a); function assign3(A memory x) public returns (uint) return g[1] + x.a + uint(j); function ret() public returns (int) return this.e(); int internal j = 500; function call(int a) public assign1(a); function assign3(A memory x) public returns (uint) return g[1] + x.a + uint(j); function ret() public returns (int) return this.e(); } BASP : Unknown unknowns Found: false
```

1.49 denial_of_service

SWC _ID:

Description:Denial of service (DoS) is deadly in the world of Ethereum: while other types of applications can eventually recover, smart contracts can be taken offline forever by just one of these attacks. DoS can happen in the following cases: * External calls can fail accidentally or deliberately, which can cause a DoS condition in the contract. Particularly, DoS would happen if there is a loop where external calls are not isolated. * A large number of loops may consume gas, so it is possible that the function exceeds the block gas limit, and transactions calling it will never be confirmed. * An inappropriate type inference in the loop (e.g., literal -¿ uint8) may cause a infinite loop. * Recursive external calls may consume a large number of callstacks, which may lead to DoS.

```
for (var i = 0; i ; array.length; i++) /* ... */
}
}
DASP : Denial of Services
Found: true
```

1.50 array_length_manipulation

SWC JD:

Description: The length of the dynamic array is changed directly. In the following case, the appearance of gigantic arrays is possible and it can lead to a storage overlap attack (collisions with other data in storage).

```
Example:
```

```
pragma solidity 0.4.24; contract dataStorage uint[] public data; function writeData(uint[] _data)external for (uinti = data.length; i <_data.length; i + +)data.length + + | } } DASP : Unknown Unknowns Found: false
```

1.51 constant_state_variable

SWC JD:

Description: There is a conflict if the same base constructor is called with arguments from two different locations in the same inheritance hierarchy.

```
Example:
```

```
pragma solidity <sup>0</sup>.4.0;

contract A uint num = 5; constructor(uint x) public num += x;

contract B is A constructor() A(2) public /* ... */

contract C is A constructor() A(3) public /* ... */

contract D is B, C constructor() public /* ... */

}

DASP : Unknown unknowns

Found: false
```

1.52 access_control

SWC ID:

Description: Access Control issues are common in all programs, not just smart contracts. In fact, it's number 5 on the OWASP top 10. One usually accesses a contract's functionality through its public or external functions. While insecure visibility settings give attackers straightforward ways to access a contract's private values or logic, access control bypasses are sometimes more subtle. These vulnerabilities can occur in the following cases: * Contracts use the deprecated tx.origin to validate callers * Handling large authorization logic with lengthy require * Making reckless use of delegatecall in proxy libraries or proxy contracts. Delegate calling into untrusted contracts is very dangerous, as the code at the target address can change any storage values of the caller and has full control over the caller's balance. * Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can withdraw some or all Ether from the contract

```
account. * Due to missing or insufficient access controls, malicious parties can
self-destruct the contract.
   Example:
   contract TestContract is MultiOwnable
   function withdrawAll() msg.sender.transfer(this.balance);
   DASP: Access control
   Found: true
1.53
       ignore
SWC JD:
   Description:Other trivial bug types.
   Example:
   DASP: Unknown Unknowns
   Found: true
1.54
       controlled_lowlevel_call
SWC ID:
   Description:Low-level call with a user-controlled data field
   Example:
   address token;
   function call_token(bytesdata)token.call(data);
   token' points to an ERC20 token. Bob uses call_t oken to call the transfer function of token to with drawall to
   DASP: Unknown Unknowns
   Found: true
1.55
        dangerous_enum_conversion
SWC _ID:
   Description:out-of-range enum conversion may occur (solc ; 0.4.5).
   pragma solidity 0.4.2; contract Test enum Ea function bug(uint a) public
returns(E) return E(a);
   DASP: Unknown Unknowns
   Found: false
```

1.56 should_be_view

SWC _ID:

Description:In Solidity, functions that do not read from the state or modify it can be declared as view.

Example:

```
Here is the example of correct view-function:
contract C function f(uint a, uint b) view returns (uint) return a * (b + 42) + now;
}

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.57 uninitialized_local_variable

SWC ID:

Description:Some unexpected error may happen when local variables are not uninitialized.

Example:

contract Uninitialized is Owner function withdraw() payable public only-Owner address to; to.transfer(this.balance)

Bob calls transfer. As a result, all Ether is sent to the address 0x0 and is lost.

1.58 reused_base_constructors

SWC _ID:

Description: There is a conflict if the same base constructor is called with arguments from two different locations in the same inheritance hierarchy.

Example:

1.59 blockhash_current

SWC JD:

Description:blockhash function returns a non-zero value only for 256 last blocks. Besides, it always returns 0 for the current block, i.e. blockhash(block.number) always equals to 0.

Example:

```
In the following example, currentBlockBlockhash function always returns 0: pragma solidity 0.4.25; contract MyContract function currentBlockHash() public view returns(bytes32) return blockhash(block.number);

}

DASP: Unknown unknowns
Found: false
```

1.60 payable_func_using_delegatecall_in_loop

SWC JD:

Description: The same msg.value amount may be incorrectly accredited multiple times when using delegatecall inside a loop in a payable function.

Example:

```
contract DelegatecallInLoop
mapping (address = \( \) uint256\) balances;
function bad(address[] memory receivers) public payable for (uint256 i = 0; i
; receivers.length; i++\) address(this).delegatecall(abi.encodeWithSignature("addBalance(address)",
receivers[i]));
function addBalance(address a) public payable balances[a] += msg.value;
}

DASP: Unknown Unknowns
Found: false
```

1.61 using_send

SWC JD:

Description: The send function is called inside checks instead of using transfer. The recommended way to perform checked ether payments is addr.transfer(x), which automatically throws an exception if the transfer is unsuccessful.

```
In the following example, the send function is used: if(!addr.send(42 ether)) revert();
Preferred alternative: addr.transfer(42 ether);
}
```

DASP: Unknown Unknowns

Found: false

1.62 time_manipulation

SWC JD:

Description:From locking a token sale to unlocking funds at a specific time for a game, contracts sometimes need to rely on the current time. This is usually done via block.timestamp or its alias now in Solidity. But where does that value come from? From the miners! Because a transaction's miner has leeway in reporting the time at which the mining occurred, good smart contracts will avoid relying strongly on the time advertised.

Example:

```
contract TimedCrowdsale event Finished(); event notFinished();
   // Sale should finish exactly at January 1, 2019 function isSaleFinished()
private returns (bool) return block.timestamp ¿= 1546300800;
   function run() public if (isSaleFinished()) emit Finished(); else emit notFinished();
   }
   BASP : Time Manipulation
```

1.63 incorrect_ERC721_interface

SWC JD:

Description:Incorrect return values for ERC721 functions. A contract compiled with solidity ξ 0.4.22 interacting with these functions will fail to execute them, as the return value is missing.

Example:

Found: false

```
contract Token function owner
Of(uint256 _tokenId) external view returns(bool); //...    }    BASP : Unknown unknowns    Found: false
```

1.64 redundant_code

SWC ID:

Description:Redundant statements may have no effect.

```
contract\ Redundant Statements Contract
```

```
constructor() public uint; // Elementary Type Name bool; // Elementary Type Name RedundantStatementsContract; // Identifier
```

```
function test() public returns (uint) uint; // Elementary Type Name assert; // Identifier test; // Identifier return 777;
```

Each commented line references types/identifiers, but performs no action with them, so no code will be generated for such statements and they can be removed.

 \mathbf{DASP} : Unknown unknowns

Found: false

do_while_continue 1.65

SWC _ID:

Description:Prior to version 0.5.0, Solidity compiler handles continue inside do-while loop incorrectly: it ignores while condition.

Example:

The following loop is infinite: do continue; while(false);

DASP: Unknown Unknowns

Found: false

1.66 assert_state_change

SWC JD:

Description:Incorrect use of assert(). See Solidity best practices.

Example:

contract A uint s_a ; function bad() public assert(($s_a + = 1$) > 10); The assert in bad() increments the state variables a while che

DASP: Unknown Unknowns