Project Title

Comparison of Logistic Regression and SVM Method

Members

1. First member: name and e-mail adress Zeynep Göger and gogerz@itu.edu.tr

Contents

- 1.Logistic Regression
- a. Setting up Logistic Regression Model
- b.The Main Goals of Logistictic Regression Model
- c.Setting up a Model in R
- 2.SVM Model
- a.Definition
- **b.Equations of SVM Model**
- c. SVM Kernels
- 3.ANALYSIS
- a.Logistic Regression Model Process
- **b. SVM Model Process**
- c. Chi-Square Method
- d. Chi-Square Method in R

Description of the project

In this project, we compare two methods that are logistic regression method and SVM method by using 1984 United Stated Congressional Voting Records in R language. We analyse that which method is better to predict the congressman belongs to which party according to first column of the data to the rest of them.

The methods to be used

There are two different methods that are logistic regression method and SVM method in this project. Logistic regression is a type of a prediction method that is used if the data has binary dependent variables and this method determines the relationship between the set of dependent variables and the independent set.

Support Vector Machines method is another prediction method that is used if the data is binary too. However, in this method two kinds of accumulation of dependent variables are determined and divided down the middle of the distance that is called as margin.

The data

The data is 1984 United Stated Congressional Voting Records that has 435 rows and 17 columns. This data consists of 435 congressmen that are 267 democrats and 168 republicans. In this data has binary dependent variables such as yes or no and two class of congressmen that are republican and democrat. There are 16 numbers of attributes that are categorical.

Code

As proof of work, you must run this notebook. Upload an HTML output of this notebook on your github account.

```
In [64]:
```

 $X <- \ read.csv("https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/machine-learning-databases/voting-records/house-votes-84.d ata", header={\it FALSE})$

In [65]:

head(X)

V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	V6	V 7	V8	V9	V10	V11	V12	V13	V14	V15	V16	V17
republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	?	у	у	у	n	у
republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	?
democrat	?	у	у	?	у	у	n	n	n	n	у	n	у	у	n	n
democrat	n	у	у	n	?	у	n	n	n	n	у	n	у	n	n	у
democrat	у	у	у	n	у	у	n	n	n	n	у	?	У	У	У	у
democrat	n	у	у	n	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	n	У	У	У	у

In []:

1.Logistic Regression

a. Setting up Logistic Regression Model

There is link between the Binomial distribution and the linear combination of independent variables and this function is called logit function presented in [1].

 $\label{login} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \log(0) + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}{1-p} \right) = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k \qquad (1.2)\end{equation} $$ \left(\frac{p}$

This formula resembles a linear regression but logistic regression gets a best fitting by using maximum likelihood function that maximizes the probability.

where \$\beta_0\$ is constant,\$\beta_1,...,\beta_k\$ are the coefficients of predictor variables, \$e\$ is a natural logarithm and \$p\$ is the probability of a specific case.

Also we can write this equation which is emphasized in [1] as the following \begin{equation} e(logit p)= e^{(\beta_0) + (\beta_1x_1) +...+ (\beta_k x_k)} \quad \

where \$\beta_k'\$s are constant coefficients that determines the changes of logistic regression model when \$x_i\$ is added. Also, adding or subtracting a unit alters the odds with constant amount.

b.The Main Goals of Logistictic Regression Model

There are two primary purposes of logistic regression models. First one of these purposes is to predict of group membership. This model uses the odds ratio; therefore, the conclusion of using this model has the the same form with the odds ratio. The second aim is suplying informations about connections and strongness between the variables.

c.Setting up a Model in R

Initially, we divide the data to constitute our model and we divide 30% of the data randomly. To do this we use this code.

```
In [66]:
```

test <-sample(1:nrow(X), 0.3*nrow(X))

 143
 101
 134
 121
 6
 265
 71
 261
 393
 25
 345
 36
 105
 139
 396
 119
 408
 55
 273
 155
 197

 22
 107
 234
 280
 59
 216
 162
 4
 188
 117
 62
 214
 38
 238
 252
 2
 249
 112
 343
 200
 269
 405

 399
 21
 315
 177
 433
 317
 368
 171
 88
 1
 394
 272
 354
 218
 226
 282
 284
 360
 406
 160
 84

 87
 192
 231
 8
 148
 243
 232
 66
 195
 213
 295
 321
 259
 189
 248
 411
 424
 426
 95
 335
 228

 291
 389
 74
 208
 358
 237
 353
 147
 222
 417
 283
 142
 99
 130
 296
 185
 247
 274
 352

In [67]:

length(test)

130

130 members of this data is selected randomly by using this code in R but these numbers are not useful for testing and forming our model. Therefore, we convert them to a matrix by using the code below.

In [68]:

X[test,]

xįte	st,]																
	V1	V2	V 3	V4	V5	V6	V 7	V8	V9	V10	V11	V12	V13	V14	V15	V16	V17
214	democrat	n	у	у	n	n	у	n	у	у	n	у	n	у	n	у	у
33	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	n	У	n	у	у
273	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	у	?
355	democrat	n	у	у	n	n	у	у	у	у	у	n	?	n	n	у	у
227	democrat	n	n	у	n	n	у	у	у	У	n	У	n	n	у	у	у
105	democrat	?	?	?	?	n	у	у	у	у	у	?	n	у	у	n	?
2	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	?
369	democrat	n	у	у	n	n	у	у	у	n	у	n	n	n	n	у	у
3	democrat	?	у	у	?	у	у	n	n	n	n	у	n	у	у	n	n
243	republican	n	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	?	n	у	у	у
427	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	у	у
242	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	У	у	У	n	n	у	у	у
86	democrat	n	n	у	n	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	У	у	n	у
235	democrat	n	n	у	n	n	у	у	у	у	у	n	у	n	у	у	?
9	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	у
223	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	?
375	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	У	У	у	n	у
20	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у
176	democrat	n	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	У	у	n	n	n	n	у	у
150	democrat	n	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	n	У	n	у	у
216	democrat	n	у	у	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	у	у	?
316	republican	n	у	у	у	у	у	у	?	n	n	n	n	?	?	у	?
409	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	n	у	?	у	у	у
292	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	у	у	у	у	у	n	?	n	у	n	у
29	republican	у	n	n	у	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	у	у	у	n	у
425	democrat	n	у	у	n	n	?	у	у	у	у	у	n	?	у	у	у
71	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	У	n	у	?
264	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	?
98	democrat	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	у	у	n	n	у	n	у
435	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	n	у	у	у	?	n

	<u>V</u> 1	V2	V3	V4	<u>V</u> 5	Ņ6	<u>V</u> 7	<u>V</u> 8	<u>V</u> 9	<u>V</u> 10	<u>V</u> 11	V12	<u>V</u> 13	<u>V</u> 14	<u>V</u> 15	V16	V17
182	democrat	n	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у
117	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у
172	republican	n	?	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	у
145	democrat	n	?	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	n	?
127	republican	n	?	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n
43	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	n	у
215	republican	у	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	n	У	у	у	n	у
130	democrat	?	?	у	n	n	n	у	у	?	n	?	?	?	?	?	?
32	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	?
350	republican	n	у	у	у	у	у	у	у	у	n	n	у	у	у	n	у
141	republican	n	n	n	у	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	n	у	у	n	у
200	democrat	у	у	n	n	n	n	у	у	?	n	у	n	n	n	у	?
231	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	у
59	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	у
160	democrat	n	у	у	n	?	у	у	у	у	у	у	n	n	?	n	?
259	democrat	n	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у
139	democrat	n	n	у	n	n	у	у	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	n	У
153	democrat	n	у	у	n	n	у	n	у	у	у	у	n	у	n	у	у
149	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	у	n	у
95	democrat	у	n	у	n	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	у
93	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	n	у	у	n	n	?	у	у
129	democrat	n	?	у	n	n	у	n	у	n	у	у	n	n	n	у	у
189	republican	у	?	n	у	у	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	?	у	?	?
96	democrat	у	n	у	n	у	у	n	?	?	n	у	?	?	?	у	у
14	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	у	у	у	?	у	у	?	n	n	у	?
165	democrat	у	у	n	n	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	у	n	?
384	democrat	у	у	у	n	у	у	n	у	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	У
194	democrat	n	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	у
417	republican	у	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	n	n	у	у	n	у
186	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	?	n	n	у	у

In [69]:

nrow(X[test,])
modeldata<-X[test,]</pre>

130

In [70]:

X[-test,]

	V1	V2	V 3	V4	V5	V6	V 7	V8	V9	V10	V11	V12	V13	V14	V15	V16	V17
4	democrat	n	у	у	n	?	у	n	n	n	n	у	n	у	n	n	у
5	democrat	у	у	у	n	у	у	n	n	n	n	У	?	У	у	У	у
7	democrat	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	n	?	у	у	у
8	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	n	у	у	?	у
10	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	?	?
11	republican	n	у	n	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	?	?	У	У	n	n

12	Publican	₩2	¥ 3	₩4	¥ 5	¥ 6	¥ 7	V 8	V 9	Ѷ 10	Ѷ 11	¥12	∛13	¥14	¥ 15	∛ 16	∛17
13	democrat	n	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	У	n	n	n	У	n	?	?
15	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	?	?	n	?
17	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	у	n	у	?	у	у	у	?	n	n	у
18	democrat	у	?	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	n	у	у
21	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	?	у	у	n	n	у	n	n	n	у	у
22	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	?	?	у	у
24	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	У	n	n	n	n	n	у	у
25	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	?
30	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у
31	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n
35	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	у
36	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n
38	republican	у	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	n	у	у	n	у
39	republican	n	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	У	n	у	у	у	n	n
40	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	У	У	У	n	У	n	У	у
41	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	У	n	?	n	n	n	n	?
44	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	у
45	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	У	n	У	n	n	n	n	?
46	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	?	n	у	n	n	n	у	?
47	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	У	n	n	n	n	n	n	у
48	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у	?	n	n	n	n	n	n	?
49	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	n	у
50	republican	n	?	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	у	У	у	n	n
393	republican	у	у	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	У	У	У	У	n	у
394	republican	?	?	?	?	n	у	n	у	У	n	n	У	У	n	n	?
395	democrat	у	у	?	?	?	у	n	n	n	n	У	n	У	n	n	у
397	democrat	у	у	у	n	у	у	n	у	n	n	У	n	У	n	У	у
398	democrat	у	у	n	n	у	?	n	n	n	n	У	n	У	у	n	у
400	republican	n	у	n	у	?	у	n	n	n	у	n	у	У	у	n	n
401	republican	n	у	n	У	у	у	n	?	n	n	?	?	?	у	n	?
403	republican	?	n	у	У	n	у	у	у	У	У	n	У	n	У	n	У
404	republican		у	n	У	у	у	n	n	n	У	n	У	?	У	n	n
405	republican		У	n	У	у	у	n	n	n	У	n	У	У	У	n	у
406	republican	n	n	n	У	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	У	У	У	n	У
407	democrat	у	n	у	n	у	у	n	n	У	У	n	n	У	У	n	у
408	democrat	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	У	У	У	У	n	n
410	republican	n	n	n	У	у	у	n	n	n	n	n	У	У	У	n	n
411	republican	n	n	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	У	У	У	У	n	у
412	democrat	у	n	у	n	n	у	у	у	У	У	У	n	n	n	n	у
414	republican	у	у	у	У	у	у	у	у	n	У	?	?	?	У	n	У
415	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	У	n	n	n	n	n	n	У
416	democrat	n	у	у	n	n	у	у	у	?	У	n	n	n	n	n	У
418	democrat	у	у	у	n	n	n	у	у	У	у	У	n	У	n	n	У

419	democrat	∛ 2	∛ 3	∛ 4	V 5	V 6	⁰ 7	∛ 8	∛ 9	[₽] 10	∛ 11	⁰ ⁄12	[₽] 13	⁰ √14	[₽] 15	[₽] 16	∛ 17
420	democrat	У	У	У	n	n	n	У	У	У	n	n	n	n	n	n	У
421	republican	у	у	у	у	у	у	у	у	n	у	n	n	у	у	n	у
422	democrat	n	у	у	n	у	у	у	у	n	n	у	n	у	n	у	у
423	democrat	n	n	у	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	у	n	n	n	у	у
424	democrat	n	у	у	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	у	n	n	у	у	у
429	democrat	?	?	?	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	n	у	n	у	у
430	democrat	у	n	у	n	?	n	у	у	У	у	n	у	n	?	у	у
431	republican	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	n	у	у	n	у	у	у	n	у
433	republican	n	?	n	у	у	у	n	n	n	n	у	у	у	у	n	у

We use the rest of the model data to test our model; consequently, it is assigned to testdata variable.

In [71]:

```
testdata<-X[-test,]
nrow(testdata)
```

305

Logistic regression model is formed by using glm function in R language. The meaning of glm is fitting generalized linear models.

```
In [72]:
logitmodel <- \ glm(V1 \sim V4 + V7 + V9 + V12 + V15 + V17, \ family = binomial(link = "logit"), \ data = \ modeldata)
logitmodel
Call: glm(formula = V1 \sim V4 + V7 + V9 + V12 + V15 + V17, family = binomial(link = "logit"),
   data = modeldata)
Coefficients:
                   V4n
                               V4y
                                            V7n
                                                         V7y
                                                                     V9n
(Intercept)
    3.2776
               3.3063
                           -0.2505
                                       -1.3029
                                                    -2.8804
                                                                  -2.2424
       V9y
                 V12n
                             V12y
                                     -18.1931
                                         V15n
                                                      V15y
                                                                    V17n
                                                    -0.0705
    -4.9442
               1.2610
                            -1.8200
                                                                  0.1346
      V17y
    0.5301
Degrees of Freedom: 129 Total (i.e. Null); 117 Residual
Null Deviance:
                163.6
Residual Deviance: 42.88 AIC: 68.88
```

After the model is generated, we use summary code to get a short information about the model that we generate.

In [73]:

```
summary(logitmodel)
anova(logitmodel, test="Chisq")
Call:
qlm(formula = V1 \sim V4 + V7 + V9 + V12 + V15 + V17, family = binomial(link = "logit"),
   data = modeldata)
Deviance Residuals:
                   Median
                               3Q
    Min 1Q
                                         Max
-2.53865 -0.06169 -0.00002 0.28523
                                    2.49880
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)
           3.2776 4.5160 0.726 0.468
                       4.5938 0.720
4.5355 -0.055
                                       0.472
V4n
             3.3064
             -0.2505
V4y
                                        0.956
                       4.5629 -0.286
V7n
            -1.3029
                                        0.775
                       4.4843 -0.642
            -2.8804
V7y
                                       0.521
V9n
            -2.2424
                       3.4889 -0.643
                                       0.520
           -4.9442
                      3.5722 -1.384
                                       0.166
V9y
            1.2610
V12n
                       2.0928 0.603
                                        0.547
           -1.8200
                        2.1078 -0.863
V12y
                                        0.388
          -18.1931 2167.5919 -0.008
                                        0.993
V15n
```

```
V15y -0.0705 3.1309 -0.023 0.982

V17n 0.1346 1.4207 0.095 0.925

V17y 0.5301 1.1543 0.459 0.646

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Null deviance: 163.583 on 129 degrees of freedom

Residual deviance: 42.883 on 117 degrees of freedom

AIC: 68.883
```

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 19

	Df	Deviance	Resid. Df	Resid. Dev	Pr(>Chi)
NULL	NA	NA	129	163.58343	NA
V4	2	79.347659	127	84.23577	5.886740e-18
V7	2	3.490466	125	80.74531	1.746043e-01
V9	2	15.116268	123	65.62904	5.218482e-04
V12	2	17.154162	121	48.47488	1.883740e-04
V15	2	5.312743	119	43.16213	7.020250e-02
V17	2	0.279193	117	42.88294	8.697091e-01

After the model is formed, we use predict function to estimate the model for testdata variable which is the rest of the modeldata.

In [74]:

```
prelogitmodel<-predict(logitmodel,testdata, type = "response")</pre>
```

Now, prelogitmodel contains the probability that given MP is a republican or a democrat. We need to set a threshold for the probability. If it is greater than 0.6then we will predict that MP is a republican

In [75]:

```
a<-ifelse(prelogitmodel>0.6,"republican","democrat")
```

In [76]:

```
table(a)
a
democrat republican
206 99
```

To compare logitmodel that we constitute and prelogitmodel that predict our model, we use table function. We need to compare the number of democrat congressmen and republican congressmen. For this reason, we take V1 column of the testdata that consists of the class of the congressmen which are democrat and republican. Also, the table(a) that is formed by using prelogitmodel consists of the number of the congressmen that belongs to two class.

In [77]:

```
logistic_table1<-table(real=testdata$V1,predicted=a)
```

In [78]:

```
logistic_table1
```

```
predicted
real democrat republican
democrat 173 6
republican 33 93
```

When we look at the anova function that gives informations about prelogitmodel, we take out laws that their residual deviance has a small differences. Therefore, V9 and V17 are taken out from the code to change our model.

```
In [95]:
```

```
logitmodel<- glm(V1 ~ V4+V9+V12, family=binomial(link="logit"), data= modeldata)
logitmodel</pre>
```

```
Call: glm(formula = V1 ~ V4 + V9 + V12, family = binomial(link = "logit"),
```

```
data = modeldata)
Coefficients:
                   V4n
                                            V9n
                                                          V9v
                               V4v
                                                                      V12n
(Intercept)
                                       -2.634
                                                     -5.433
     2.178
                2.601
                             -1.217
                                                                    1.087
      V12y
     -2.217
Degrees of Freedom: 129 Total (i.e. Null); 123 Residual
Null Deviance: 163.6
Residual Deviance: 49.99 AIC: 63.99
In [96]:
summary(logitmodel)
anova(logitmodel, test="Chisq")
glm(formula = V1 ~ V4 + V9 + V12, family = binomial(link = "logit"),
   data = modeldata)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 1Q
                    Median
                                 3Q
                                           Max
-2.55772 -0.25809 -0.04986 0.27824 2.61483
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
            2.178 2.580 0.844 0.39861
(Intercept)
V4n
             2.601
                        2.807 0.926 0.35419
V4y
             -1.217
                        2.769 -0.440 0.66026
             -2.634
                         1.880 -1.401 0.16121
V9n
V9y
             -5.433
                         1.965 -2.765 0.00569 **
                         1.995 0.545 0.58590
             1.087
V12n
V12y
                        2.000 -1.109 0.26761
            -2.217
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 163.58 on 129 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 49.99 on 123 degrees of freedom
AIC: 63.99
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 7
      Df
         Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
NULL NA
         NA
                   129
                           163.58343
                                     NA
V4
      2
         79.34766
                  127
                           84.23577
                                     5.886740e-18
V9
      2
         17.44960
                  125
                           66.78617
                                     1.625051e-04
      2
V12
         16.79647
                  123
                           49.98970
                                     2.252650e-04
When we look at the table above, the differences between the residual deviances are close to each other. Consequently, we
constitute our logitmodel again without V7, V9 and V17 columns.
In [97]:
prelogitmodel<-predict(logitmodel, testdata, type = "response")</pre>
In [981:
a<-ifelse(prelogitmodel>0.6, "republican", "democrat")
In [99]:
table(a)
 democrat republican
```

In [100]:

100

logistic table2<-table(real=testdata\$V1,predicted=a)</pre>

```
In [101]:
```

logistic table2

```
predicted
real democrat republican
democrat 173 6
republican 32 94
```

According to table functions, the total number of congressmen that is known wrongly is 49; therefore, these two table functions are compared by using Pearson's Chi-squared test. To use Pearson's Chi-squared test, chisq.test(matrix()) is used and compared it X-squared values. The logitmodel is statistically good when it has a big X-squared value.

```
In [102]:
```

```
chisq.test(logistic_table1)
chisq.test(logistic_table2)

Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction

data: logistic_table1
X-squared = 164.25, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16

Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction

data: logistic_table2
X-squared = 167.14, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

The second modal that is used has bigger X-squared value and it has less independent variables;consequently, using this model is statistically good. However, we will choose the second logistic model if we have small X-squared number because the second logistic model has a less independent variables than the first logistic model.

2.SVM Model

a.Definition

SVM is the contraction of Support Vector Machines.Cortes & Vapnik developed SVM's for binary classification. In this model, support vectors are determined by using the closest points that are the elements of the classes. Support vectors pass on the closest points and the distance between the two of support vectors are called margin.Also margin is maximized in this model. There is a hyperplane that seperates the support vectors and margin in the middle. In this model, each of classes shows a tendency to -1 or 1. Therefore, there are two hyperplanes that are \$w.x_i>= 1\$ when \$y_i=1\$ and \$w.x_i <= -1\$ when \$y_i=-1\$ for \$x_i\$'s are the set of input, \$y_i\$ is set of output corresponding to \$x_i\$ and \$w\$ is the weight vector that predicts the \$yi\$ value. \$H_1\$ and \$H_2\$ are planes such that \begin{equation} H_1:w.x_i=1 \quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad \quad(2.1)\end{equation} and \begin{equation} H_2:w.x_i= -1.\quad \quad\quad\quad \quad \qua

 $\label{thm:continuous} $$ \left(2.3\right) \end{equation} These equations of hyperplanes are stated in [3]. The shortest distance between H_0 and H_1 is called $+d$ and the shortest distance between H_0 and H_2 is called $-d$.$

b.Equations of SVM Model

Considering the movement of the support vector changes the boundary; concequently, the form of equation of hyperplanes occurs such that $w^T.x+b=0$ where $s^T.x+b=0$ and $s^T.x+b=0$ where $s^T.x+b=0$ where $s^T.x+b=0$ is an input vector and $s^T.x+b=0$ is bias. The distance between $s^T.x+b=0$ and $s^T.x+b=0$ is bias. The distance between $s^T.x+b=0$ and $s^T.x+b=0$ in parameters are gathered up, the equation $s^T.x+b=0$ is formed. Suppose that we have a problem and we need to minimize $s^T.x+b=0$ in parameters $s^T.x+b=0$

In SVM model the Langrangian stated in is $\frac{(1/2)\|w\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^l a_i((y_i(w.xi+b)+\sum_{i=1}^l a_i)}{qquad}qquad \qquad \qquad \\$ where \$i,a_i>0\$ and \$I\$ is the number of training points. The derivatives of Langrangian with respect to \$w\$ and \$b\$ are zero therefore, we get $\frac{(i-1)^l a_i}{qquad}qquad \qquad \\$

In this equation that is emphasized in [4] we learned the margin is maximized or not by using the inner product of \$x_i\$ and \$x_j\$ and owing to Kernel function, this computation is easier because Kernel function \begin{equation}K(x_i,x_j)=\phi(x_i).\phi(x_j) \qquad\qquad\qquad(2.11)\end{equation} describes the inner product or resembels in transformed space.

c. SVM Kernels

There are kernels that are used in SVM model. When the SVM model increases the dimensions of transformed space by using the data, the model determines the proper kernel. There are three type of kernels that are most known and the equations of kernels are determined in [3].

1.Polinomial Kernel

2. Radial Basis Kernel

3. Sigmoid Kernel

 $\begin{array}{l} \left(x,y\right)=tnh(x,y)=tnh(x,$

First of all, we need to download the library of SVM for using the model in R. Therefore, we use this code below

```
In [87]:
```

```
library (e1071)
```

After we downloaded the library, we construct our SVM model by using modeldata and classes that are the first column of this data.

```
In [88]:
```

```
svmmodel<- svm(V1 ~ . , data=modeldata)

Call:
svm(formula = V1 ~ ., data = modeldata)

Parameters:
    SVM-Type: C-classification
SVM-Kernel: radial
    cost: 1
    gamma: 0.03030303</pre>
Number of Support Vectors: 43
```

After the symmodel is constructed, to take a short information about symmodel, summary() function can be used as the following.

```
In [89]:
```

```
Summary(svmmodel)

Call:
svm(formula = V1 ~ ., data = modeldata)

Parameters:
    SVM-Type: C-classification
    SVM-Kernel: radial
        cost: 1
        gamma: 0.03030303

Number of Support Vectors: 43

( 21 22 )

Number of Classes: 2
```

Levels: democrat republican

As indicated above the C-classification is one of the dual representation of SVM and in this type of classification the error function minimized. The minimized error function is \$(1/2)w w^T + C\sum{i=1}^n\xi_i\$ subject to constraints: \$y_i(w^T\phi(x_i)+b)>=1-\xi_i\$ where \$\xi_i>0\$ and \$i=1,2,...,N\$. In error function \$C\$ is the capasity constant, \$w\$ is weight vector or vector of coefficient, \$b\$ is constant, \$i\$ is the number of training, \$y_i\$ is the sign of class and \$x_i\$ is the set of independent variables. Besides the kernel \$\phi(x_i)\$ converts from the input data(independent variables) to feature space.

We need to predict that the symmodel after we set up model by using testdata. Also predict() function is used to predict symmodel and it assigns sympredict variable.

In [90]:

svmpredict<- predict(svmmodel,testdata)
svmpredict</pre>

1

democrat

5

democrat

7

republican

8

republican

10

democrat

11

republican

12

republican

13

democrat

15

republican

17

democrat

18

democrat

21

democrat

22

democrat

democrat

25

democrat

democrat

ue

republican

35

democrat

36

republican

38

republican

39

republican

40

democrat

41

democrat

44

democrat

45

democrat

46

democrat

47

democrat

48

democrat

49

democrat

50

republican

democrat

52 republican

53

democrat

54

republican

democrat

56

republican

58

republican

60

republican

62

republican

63

democrat

64

democrat

democrat

67

republican

republican

69

democrat

70

democrat

72

democrat

73

democrat

75

democrat

76

democrat

77

democrat 78

democrat

79

democrat

80

republican

81

democrat

democrat

republican

republican

republican

89

democrat

91

democrat

94

democrat

97

democrat

99

democrat

100

republican

101

democrat

103

democrat

106

democrat

107

republican

108

democrat

109

democrat

110

democrat

111

democrat

112

republican

113

democrat

114

republican

116

democrat

120

republican

121

republican

122

republican

123

republican

124 republican

125

democrat 126

republican

128

democrat

131

democrat

132

democrat

134

republican

135

republican

136

republican

137

republican

138

democrat

140

democrat

142

republican

143

republican

144

democrat

146 democrat

147

republican

148

democrat

151 republican

154

democrat

155

republican

156 republican

157 republican

158

democrat

159

republican

161

republican

163

democrat

166

democrat

167

republican

168

democrat

169

democrat

170

democrat 171

democrat

173

democrat

174

democrat

177

democrat

178

democrat 180

democrat

181

democrat

183

democrat

184

democrat

187

democrat

188

democrat

190

democrat

191

republican

192

republican

193

democrat

195

democrat

196

republican

democrat

198

republican

202

democrat

203

democrat

204

democrat

205

republican

206

democrat

208

republican

209

democrat

210

democrat

211

democrat

212

republican

213

democrat

217

democrat

218

republican

219

democrat

220

democrat 224

republican

225

republican 226

republican 228

democrat

229

republican

230

republican

233

democrat

republican

236

republican

237

democrat

238

democrat

240

republican

244

democrat

245

democrat

246

democrat

251

republican

252 republican

253

democrat

254

republican

255

democrat

256

democrat

257

republican

258

republican

260

democrat

262

democrat

263

democrat

265

democrat

266

democrat 267

republican

268 democrat

269

democrat

270

democrat

271

democrat

272

democrat

274

republican

275

republican

277

republican

278

republican

279

republican

281

democrat

υσπιουιαι

282

democrat

283

republican

284

republican

285

democrat

286

democrat

288

democrat

289

democrat

290

democrat

291

democrat

293

democrat

294

democrat

295

democrat

296

republican

297

republican

298

democrat

299

democrat

301

republican

302

democrat

303

republican

304

republican

305

republican

306

republican

307

republican

308

democrat

309

republican

republican

312

democrat

314

republican

315

republican

317

democrat

318

democrat

319

democrat

320

democrat

321

democrat

322

democrat

323

democrat

325

republican

326

republican

328

republican

329

democrat

330

democrat

331

republican

332

democrat

334

democrat

335

democrat

336

republican

337

democrat

338

democrat

339

democrat

341

republican

343

democrat

344

republican

346

republican

347

republican

348

republican

351

democrat

352

republican

353

democrat

354

republican

356

democrat

357

republican

358

republican

359

democrat

360

republican

361 democrat

democrat

363

democrat

364

democrat

365

republican

367

democrat

368

democrat

370

republican

371

democrat

uon

372

J 1 Z

democrat

374

democrat

376

republican

377

democrat

378

republican

379

republican

380

republican

381

democrat

383

republican

386

democrat

387

democrat

388

democrat

uen

389

republican

390

democrat

391

democrat

393 republican

394

democrat

395

democrat

397

democrat

398

democrat

400

republican

401

republican

403

democrat

404

republican

405

republican

406 republican 407 democrat 408 republican 410 republican 411 republican 412 democrat 414 republican 415 democrat 416 democrat 418 democrat 419 democrat 420 democrat 421 republican 422 democrat 423 democrat 424 democrat 429 democrat 430 democrat 431 republican 433 republican

In [91]:

summary(svmpredict)

democrat

182

republican

123

As noted above summary() code gives a short brief summary about sympredict variable.

Finally, we have to compare between the real data and the model that we set up by using SVM. When this model is compared with real data, the only column that needed to be taken is the first column because it has the classes of congressmen that are democrat and republican. Besides, we use table()code to make comparison between the real data and symmodel and we assign the SVM_table variable because we will not write the table data later.

In [92]:

SVM table<-table(testdata\$V1,svmpredict)</pre>

In [93]:

SVM table

democrat republican democrat 172 7 republican 10 116

3.ANALYSIS

a.Logistic Regression Model Process

When we formed the first logistic regression model we used \$\$glm(V1~.,family=binomial(link="logit"), data= modeldata)\$\$code but it did not converge.For this reason we examined the data set and we tried to figure out the relationship between the class of congressmen and statues. Besides, we swithced the code to \$\$ glm(V1 ~ V4+V7+V9+V12+V15+V17, family=binomial(link="logit"), data= modeldata)\$\$ then this code converges but still it is not a best form of our logistic regression model because we have many independent variables in this code. Therefore, we use \$\$anova(logitmodel,test='Chisq')\$\$ code to state which of columns are used or not. When the colums are determined, the table of anova function is researched. The residual deviance of anova table tells the dependency of the columns and the class of congressmen by using the difference between the residual deviance for each of columns. When the difference of residual deviance is greater than the other one's, this means that the column has a stronger relationship than the others. Hence these columns are choosed by using method that is mentioned. Later we constituted the second logistic regression model by using the columns that are choosed again. After the prediction function of logitmodel formed, constructing a table for logitmodel we took a threshold for the probability. Then we got a table for comparing the real data. Besides we compared which model is better by using Chi-Square method and the second model is determined. The reason of this detection is having less independent variables although the second logistic regression model has a small chi-square number for some cases.

b. SVM Model Process

To begin with we download a library for using SVM model. Then we constructed our SVM model simply because it did not get a warning for not converging. Moreover, we predicted the SVM model that we formed and we made a comparison between the prediction of this model and the real data by using table function. According to table data, there are 18 congressmen are known wrongly which means that there are congressmen that are democrat but they pretend republican or vise versa. It is significant because if the republican or democrat congressmen attract supporter for themselves then they will need to find this off diagonal terms.

c. Chi-Square Method

Chi-Square method is one of the tests that detemines the existence of the relationship between two variables that are numerical or not in statistic. This method makes a comparison between the observed values and the expected values theoritically. To begin with, there is a subtraction between observed values and expected values. Then this test squares the difference that it found and divided by expected values. The formula of Chi-Square stated in [5] is as the following $\frac{1}{2}c=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{k}\frac{1}{q_{uad}q_{uad}q_{uad}q_{uad}(3.1)}$

where the \$O_i\$ is the observed values, \$E_i\$ is the expected values and \$c\$ is the degree of freedom. Chi- Square method tests whether there is a dependency in data set. Also if the calculated value of this method is bigger than the value of table data then there will be a relationship between the variables.

d. Chi-Square Method in R

When we use this method we use chisq.test(matrix()) code and we determine which model is statistically good according to the results of the chisq.test()code.

```
In [94]:
    chisq.test(logistic_table2)
    chisq.test(SVM_table)

Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction

data: logistic_table2
X-squared = 167.14, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16
Pearson's Chi-squared test with Yates' continuity correction

data: SVM_table
X-squared = 235.14, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

According to the results of these codes, if we compare of two model that we constituted the SVM model is better than the Logistic Regression Model because the X-squared value of SVM model is greater than Logistic Regression model and the SVM model is easier to form

במטוכו נט וטוווו.

References

- [1]: C. Manning.(2007),Logistic regression,p1.
- [2]: R.B. Burns & R. Burns, (2008) Business Research Methods and Statistics using SPSS, p.573
- [3] : R.Berwick & V.Idiot,(n.d.) An Idiot's guide to Support vector machines (SVMs),pp.4-10.
- $\label{eq:comport_vector} \textbf{[4]: Support Vector Machines} (SVM), Retrieved from $\underline{\text{http://www.statsoft.com/Textbook/Support-Vector-Machines}}$$
- [5] : S.Deviant, The Practically Cheating Statistics Handbook, Retrieved from http://www.statisticshowto.com/probability-and-statistics/chi-square/

In []: