According to the decompilation of the Ciso Vigenere hash algorithm, when the password length is less than 16 the idea behind Ciso Vigenere hash algorithm is:

Let p be the password that the user types.

Let hp be the hardcoded password in the code of Packet Tracer.

Let lp be the length of the user input password.

Let h be the hash value obtained from the custom algorithm.

So that:

```
 \forall h \forall l p \forall h p [(hp = (d, s, f, d, ;, k, f, o, A, ,, ., i, y, e, w, r, k, l, d, J, K, D, H, S, U, B, s, g, v, c, a, 6, 9, 8, 3, 4, n, c, x, v), \\ 0 < lp < 16, \\ h_0 = 0, \\ h_1 = 8, \\ h = \\ \sum_{i=2}^{lp} \begin{cases} ((p_i \oplus hp_{8+i}) \ggg 4) + 0x30, & \text{if } (h_i \oplus hp_{i+8} \land 0xffffffff 0 < 0xa0) \text{ and if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ ((p_i \oplus hp_{8+i}) \ggg 4) + 0x37, & \text{if } (h_i \oplus hp_{i+8} \land 0xffffffff 0 \ge 0xa0) \text{ and if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ ((p_i \oplus hp_{8+i}) \land 0xf) + 0x30, & \text{if } (h_i \oplus hp_{i+8} \land 0xf < 0x0a) \text{ and if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ ((p_i \oplus hp_{8+i}) \land 0xf) + 0x37, & \text{if } (h_i \oplus hp_{i+8} \land 0xf \ge 0x0a) \text{ and if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ ) \implies \nexists p[p = \mathbf{rev}(h)](0)
```

Let's start by prooving

```
 \forall h \forall l p \forall h p [(hp = (d, s, f, d, ;, k, f, o, A, , ., ., i, y, e, w, r, k, l, d, J, K, D, H, S, U, B, s, g, v, c, a, 6, 9, 8, 3, 4, n, c, x, v), \\ 0 < lp < 16, \\ h_0 = 0, \\ h_1 = 8, \\ h = \\ \sum_{i=2}^{lp} \begin{cases} ((p_i \oplus hp_{8+i}) \ggg 4) + 0x30, & \text{if } (h_i \oplus hp_{i+8} \land 0xffffffff 0 < 0xa0) \text{ and if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ ((p_i \oplus hp_{8+i}) \ggg 4) + 0x37, & \text{if } (h_i \oplus hp_{i+8} \land 0xffffffff 0 \geq 0xa0) \text{ and if } i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ ((p_i \oplus hp_{8+i}) \land 0xf) + 0x30, & \text{if } (h_i \oplus hp_{i+8} \land 0xf < 0x0a) \text{ and if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ ((p_i \oplus hp_{8+i}) \land 0xf) + 0x37, & \text{if } (h_i \oplus hp_{i+8} \land 0xf \geq 0x0a) \text{ and if } i \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}
```

$$) \Longrightarrow \nexists p[p = \mathbf{rev}(h)]$$
(0)

I/ substraction to reverse the addition $\forall x[(x=y+z) \implies (y=ez)]$ then it follow that as the previous part of the function contains: h=x+0x30, then h-0x30=x so

 $\exists rev(h)[rev(H(p)) = p - 0x30]$

II/ exclusive or

According to the boolean algebra about the exclusive logical or operation,

 $\forall x[y = (x \oplus x) \implies (y = 0)].$

Then as $xlat \oplus xlat = 0$, and as $p \oplus 0 = p$, we know that the original password $p = xlat \oplus h$.

III/ rotating 4 first to 4 last bits

 $\forall x [(x \ggg y) \implies (x \lll y = x)].$

Then as $z = (x \gg y) = (x \ll y)$, we know that the original password $p = H(p) \ll 4$.

IV/ unmasking different signatures (recurrent marks) in the hash In the previous chapter one 'I/ substraction to reverse the addition', we told we can reverse the previous addition. We still need to guess which addition/substraction has been done previously.

As both addition values are made depending to:

if
$$(p_l \wedge 0xf0 < 0xa0) \implies (p_l \wedge 0xf0 + 0x30)$$
 or else $(p_l \wedge 0xf0 > 0xa0) \implies (p_l \wedge 0xf0 + 0x37)$

if
$$(p_r \wedge 0x0f < 0x0a) \implies (p_r \wedge 0x0f + 0x30)$$
 or else $(p_r \wedge 0x0f > 0xa0) \implies (p_r \wedge 0x0f + 0x37)$

So if the out has the 4 four bits value so that:

$$x \in x | (0xf0x) \le 0xa0 \implies y = x + 0x30$$

So if the out has the 4 four bits value so that: $x \in x | (0xf0x) > 0xa0 \implies y = x + 0x37$

So if the out has the 4 four first bits value so that:

$$x \in x | (0x0fx) \le 0x0a \implies y = x + 0x30$$

So if the out has the 4 four first bits value so that:

$$x \in x | (0x0fx) > 0x0a \implies y = x + 0x37$$

first byte:

as
$$0xa0 < 0xf0 + 0x30 < y$$

$$-1: \forall y \in H(x), x \in x | 0xa0 < x \implies [y \in y | 0x00 < y < 0xa7]$$

$$-2: \forall y \in H(x), x \in x | x < 0xa0 \implies [y \in y | 0xc0 < y]$$

second byte: as 0xa0 < 0x0f + 0x30 < y

$$-1: \forall y \in H(x), x \in x | x < 0x0a \implies [y \in y | 0x3a < y]$$

$$-2: \forall y \in H(x), x \in x | 0x0a < x \implies [y \in y | y < 0x4a]$$

Then for both of any subnumber:

$$\forall y = H(x), x \in x | x \le 0xa \implies y = x + 0x30$$

$$\forall y = H(x), x \in x | x > 0xa \implies y = x + 0x37$$

It follows:

$$\forall y = H(x), y \in y | 0 < y \le 0x0a + 0x30 \implies x = y - 0x30 \text{ then } 0 < x < 0x0a$$

$$\forall y = H(x), y \in y | 0 < y \le 0x0a + 0x37 \implies x = y - 0x30 \text{ then } 0x0a \le x$$

V /communitativity:

Addition, substraction and \oplus are commutative.

VI / proof

Then we have already proven each piece of the theorem so that: $hp = (d, s, f, d, ;, k, f, o, A, , ., i, y, e, w, r, k, l, d, J, K, D, H, S, U, B, s, g, v, c, a, 6, 9, 8, 3, 4, n, c, x, v) \implies$

 $(\forall x \in hp[0 \ge x0 \ge 256 \implies x \in hp])$

then:

Let p be the password that the user types.

Let hp be the hardcoded password in the code of Packet Tracer.

Let lp be the length of the user input password.

Let h be the hash value obtained from the custom algorithm.

So that:

 $\forall h \forall lp \forall hp [(hp \in N \land 0 \ge hp,$