

# MATH FOUNDATION OF DATA SCIENCE HOMEWORK 3

>>NAME:

<<

>>HKU ID:

<<

**Instructions:** Please complete the homework in LaTeX, produce a pdf, and submit it on time as indicated on Moodle.

## 1 Optimization basics

**Q1 [10 points]** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a symmetric matrix. Use an algebraic method to solve the following problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{y} \\ \text{subject to} & \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} = 1 \\ & \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} = 1. \end{array}$$

Solution:

**Q2 [10 points]** Consider the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{Minimize} & f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} \\ \text{subject to} & -1 \leq x_i \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3,\end{array}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 13 & 12 & -2 \\ 12 & 17 & 6 \\ -2 & 6 & 12 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} -22.0 \\ -14.5 \\ 13.0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) [2 points] Find the gradient  $\nabla f$  and the Hessian matrix  $\nabla^2 f$  of  $f$ .
- (b) [8 points] Prove that  $\mathbf{x}^* = (1, \frac{1}{2}, -1)^\top$  is an optimal solution.

**Solution:**

**Q3 [10 points]** Consider the problem of minimizing (locally) the function

$$f(x, y) = p(x^2 + y^2 - 2x - 2y) + (xy - 1)^2,$$

where  $x$  and  $y$  are real numbers and  $p$  is a real parameter. Answer the following questions, justifying your answers rigorously.

- (a) [2 points] What are values  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  such that  $f$  has a stationary point at  $(x_0, y_0)$  for *every* value of  $p$ ?
- (b) [8 points] For which value(s) of  $p$  can you be certain that  $f$  is convex in a neighborhood of  $(x_0, y_0)$ ?

**Solution:**

## 2 Constrained Optimization

**Q4 [10 points]** Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix of rank  $m$  and let  $b$  be a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$b^T [I - A^T (AA^T)^{-1} A] b > 0.$$

Consider the following problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & b^T x \\ \text{s.t.} & Ax = 0 \\ & x^T x \leq 1. \end{array}$$

- (a) [4 points] Demonstrate that LICQ holds at every feasible point.
- (b) [6 points] Determine a  $x^*$  that satisfies the KKT conditions.

**Solution:**

**Q5 [10 points]** Consider the problem discussed in Question 4. Suppose that  $b \neq 0$  and  $b^T [I - A^T (AA^T)^{-1} A] b > 0$ .

- (a) [6 points] Formulate its dual problem.
- (b) [4 points] Solve the dual problem obtained in (a), and then find an optimal solution to the primal.

**Solution:**

### 3 Gradient Descent and Variants

**Q6 [10 points]** In weighted least squares, each data point has a weight  $w_i > 0$ , and the objective is:

$$\min_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d} L(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (y_i - \theta^\top x_i)^2$$

- (a) (2 points) Write this objective in matrix form using a diagonal weight matrix  $W = \text{diag}(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ .
- (b) (2 points) Derive the closed-form solution  $\theta^*$ .
- (c) (2 points) Compute the gradient  $\nabla L(\theta)$  and Hessian  $\nabla^2 L(\theta)$ .
- (d) (2 points) If we use gradient descent with step size  $\eta$ , what is the condition on  $\eta$  for convergence? Express your answer in terms of the weights  $w_i$  and the data matrix  $X$ .
- (e) (2 points) Explain when weighted least squares is preferred over ordinary least squares. Give a practical example.

**Solution:**

**Q7 [10 points]** Consider the quadratic function  $f(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\theta^T A\theta$  where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 26 & 24 \\ 24 & 26 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) (3 points) What is the condition number  $\kappa$  of this problem?
- (b) (4 points) Using gradient descent with optimal step size  $\eta = \frac{2}{L+\mu}$ , how many iterations are needed to reduce the error  $\|\theta^{(t)} - \theta^*\|$  by a factor of  $10^{-6}$ ? (Recall: GD converges as  $\left(\frac{\kappa-1}{\kappa+1}\right)^t$ )
- (c) (3 points) Can we design a better optimization algorithm to make the above convergence faster? Please give some detailed explanations.

**Solution:**

**Q8 [10 points]** Consider SGD with mini-batch size  $B$  on a finite-sum problem with  $n$  samples:  $L(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(\theta)$ . Recall the convergence bound:

$$\mathbb{E}[L(\theta^{(t+1)})] \leq L(\theta^{(t)}) - \left( \eta - \frac{L\eta^2}{2} \right) \|\nabla L(\theta^{(t)})\|^2 + \frac{L\eta^2\sigma^2}{2}$$

where  $\sigma^2$  denotes an upper bound of the variance of stochastic gradients:  $\mathbb{E}_i[\|\nabla L_i(\theta) - \nabla L(\theta)\|_2^2] \leq \sigma^2$ .

- (a) (5 points) What happens if we use a constant step size  $\eta$  and run SGD for a very long time (as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ )? Does it converge to  $\theta^*$ ?
- (b) (5 points) Why is variance  $\sigma^2$  smaller for larger mini-batch sizes  $B$ ? (Hint: Think about averaging independent random variables)

**Solution:**



**Q9 [10 points]** In SVRG, the gradient estimator is:

$$g_t = \nabla L_i(\theta^{(t)}) - \nabla L_i(\tilde{\theta}) + \nabla L(\tilde{\theta})$$

where  $\tilde{\theta}$  is a historical model parameter.

- (a) (3 points) Show that  $\mathbb{E}[g_t] = \nabla L(\theta^{(t)})$  (i.e.,  $g_t$  is unbiased).
- (b) (3 points) Explain intuitively why  $\text{Var}(g_t)$  is small when  $\theta^{(t)} \approx \tilde{\theta}$ .
- (c) (4 points) What is the main computational cost of SVRG per epoch, i.e., all computations in one outer loop? (Count both outer and inner loop costs, assuming the inner loop takes  $n$  steps, i.e., the same as the number of data points).

**Solution:**

**Q10 [10 points]** Consider the momentum update:

$$\begin{aligned}m_t &= \beta m_{t-1} + \eta \nabla L(\theta^{(t)}) \\ \theta^{(t+1)} &= \theta^{(t)} - m_t\end{aligned}$$

- (a) (5 points) Expand  $m_t$  to show that it's an exponentially weighted sum of past gradients.
- (b) (5 points) If gradients are constant at  $g$  for all iterations, what is the effective step size in the direction of  $g$ ?  
(Hint: Compute  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta^k$ )

**Solution:**