# CS464 Introduction to Machine Learning

Homework 1 08.11.2018

Sabit Gökberk Karaca 21401862

# **Question 1.1**

$$\begin{split} P(Loss \mid M_1) &= \frac{675}{1000} \qquad P(Loss \mid M_2) = \frac{796}{1000} \\ P(M_2 \mid Loss) &= \frac{P(Loss \mid M_2) P(M_2)}{P(Loss \mid M_2) P(M_2) + P(Loss \mid M_1) P(M_1)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{796}{1000} \frac{85}{100}}{\frac{796}{1000} \frac{85}{100} + \frac{675}{100} \frac{15}{100}} = 0.870 \end{split}$$

#### **Question 1.2**

My losing probability on Machine 1 = 0.60My friend's losing probability on Machine 1 = 0.75On machine 1, my friend is more likely to lose

My losing probability on Machine 2 = 0.796 My friend's losing probability on Machine 2 = 0.800 On machine 2, my friend is more likely to lose

# **Question 1.3**

My total wins = 252 My total loses = 888 win rate = 0.221

Friend's total wins = 43 Friend's total loses = 147 win rate = 0.226

My friend is more likely to win in total

# **Question 1.4**

$$Me \ (Loss) \rightarrow Friend \ (Win) \rightarrow Me \ (Win) \rightarrow Friend \ (Loss)$$

$$P(Game \ starts \ with \ me) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{60.25.40.75}{100.100.100.100} = 0.045$$

Friend (Loss) 
$$\rightarrow$$
 Me (Win)  $\rightarrow$  Friend (Win)  $\rightarrow$  Me (Loss)  
P(Game starts with friend) =  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$\frac{75.40.25.60}{100.100.100.100} = 0.045$$

$$P(Given \ order \ of \ loses \ and \ wins \ occur) = \frac{1}{2} * \frac{45}{1000} + \frac{1}{2} * \frac{45}{1000} = 0.045$$

# **Question 2.1**

C = (b is not equal to 1 or 6) and (r is not equal to 1 or 2)  

$$P(b = 5, r = 5 \mid C) = P(b = 5 \mid C) P(r = 5 \mid C)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} * \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{16}$$

# **Question 2.2**

D = multiplication of the outcomes, b\*r is an odd number= b is odd and r is odd

$$b = \{1,3,5\}, r = \{1,3,5\}$$

$$P(b = 5, c = 5 \mid D) = P(b = 5 \mid D) P(r = 5 \mid D)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{9}$$

# **Question 2.3**

Given C, set of possible outcomes of  $b = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ Given D, set of possible outcomes of  $b = \{1, 3, 5\}$ 

Given C, set of possible outcomes of  $r = \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$ Given D, set of possible outcomes of  $r = \{1, 3, 5\}$ 

#### **Question 3.1**

$$X \sim Poisson(\lambda)$$
  $P(X = x \mid \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$ 

Likelihood function can be written as

$$L(\lambda; X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X = x_i | \lambda)$$
$$= (e^{-\lambda n}) \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!}$$

In order to find maximum likelihood estimator, take the derivative and find the value that makes the equation equal to 0.

$$ln(L(\lambda; X)) = ln(e^{-\lambda n} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}) - ln(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} ln(L(\lambda; X)) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} ln(e^{-\lambda n}) + \frac{d}{d\lambda} ln(\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}) + 0$$

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\lambda} (-\lambda n) + \frac{d}{d\lambda} (ln(\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)$$

$$0 = -n + \frac{d}{d\lambda} ln(\lambda) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + ln(\lambda) \cdot \frac{d}{d\lambda} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)$$

$$0 = -n + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{\lambda}$$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n}$$

#### **Question 3.2**

$$\widehat{\lambda_{MAP}} = \underset{\lambda}{argmax}(L(\lambda; X). P(\lambda))$$

We can find the  $\lambda$  value that maximizes the function, first we need to calculate the function

$$L(\lambda; X). P(\lambda) = (e^{-\lambda n}) \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} . Pareto(\lambda | k, 1)$$
$$= (e^{-\lambda n}) \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} . k\lambda^{-(k+1)}$$

Take the logarithm to convert production to summation

$$ln(L(\lambda; X). P(\lambda)) = ln\left(e^{-\lambda n}\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_i}\right) - ln\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}x_i!\right) + ln\left(k\lambda^{-(k+1)}\right)$$

Take the derivative to find the maximum point

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}ln(L(\lambda;X), P(\lambda)) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}ln(e^{-\lambda n}\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}}) - \frac{d}{d\lambda}ln(\prod_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}!) + \frac{d}{d\lambda}ln(k\lambda^{-(k+1)})$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\lambda}ln(e^{-\lambda n}) + \frac{d}{d\lambda}ln(\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}}) - 0 + \frac{d}{d\lambda}(ln(k) - (k+1)ln(\lambda))$$

$$= -n + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}}{\lambda} - \frac{k+1}{\lambda}$$

$$\widehat{\lambda}_{MAP} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}}{n} - \frac{k+1}{n}$$

Additionally, if we can find an interval for k such that  $\lambda \ge 1$  holds.

If the an interval for 
$$k$$

$$\widehat{\lambda_{MAP}} \geqslant 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \frac{k+1}{n} \geqslant 1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - k - 1 \geqslant n$$

$$k \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - n - 1$$

#### **Question 3.3**

$$\widehat{\lambda_{MAP}} = \underset{\lambda}{argmax}(L(\lambda; X). P(\lambda))$$
where  $P(\lambda) \sim U(a, b), b > a$ 

$$L(\lambda; X). P(\lambda) = \left(e^{-\lambda n}\right) \frac{\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!} . U(a, b)$$

Take the logarithm to convert production to summation

$$ln(L(\lambda; X). P(\lambda)) = ln(e^{-\lambda n} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}) - ln(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i!) + ln(U(a, b))$$

Take the derivative to find the maximum point

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}ln(L(\lambda;X), P(\lambda)) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}ln(e^{-\lambda n}\lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}}) - \frac{d}{d\lambda}ln(\prod_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}!)$$

$$= -n + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}}{\lambda}$$

$$\widehat{\lambda_{MAP}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}}{n}$$

Since 
$$\widehat{\lambda_{MLE}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n}$$
 by its definition, we prove that
$$\widehat{\lambda_{MAP}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}{n} = \widehat{\lambda_{MLE}}$$

# Question 4.1

Since the denominator is the same for both classes, it does not affect the classification result. Therefore, we can safely ignore the denominator.

## **Question 4.2**

There are 800 space emails and 800 medical emails in the dataset. Therefore, the training dataset is balanced.

#### **Question 4.3**

We need to estimate 2V + 1 parameters since the classification is binary. In that case, V = 26507 $\implies 2V + 1 = 53015$  parameters are estimated

#### **Question 4.4**

My classifier has predicted almost all of the test instances to be medical.

This happened because almost all of the likelihood values were — inf

and we made the assumption that in the case of tie,

the test instance is predicted to be medical.

Using MLE is not a good idea in that case because we do not benefit from the "prior distribution" knowledge while making the predictions.

The test accuracy using MLE was 0.515

#### **Question 4.5**

When I used MAP with add – one smoothing technique instead of MLE in my classifier, the test accuracy is increased to 0.9675

#### **Question 4.6**

(25773, 0. 22199134618517713) (11999, 0. 09428856018679171) (13288, 0. 0914173909185807) (2848, 0. 07988931992002964) (14702, 0. 07819961707710793) (15990, 0. 07680707708333484) (614, 0. 07473599079912649) (3300, 0. 07117925969237002) (5749, 0. 06856614476265091) (12807, 0. 0678314507329838)

## **Question 4.7**

When we sort the features according to their mutual information scores and remove them in ascending order, we actually remove the irrelevant features, which increases the accuracy.

After some point, we start to remove excessive number of features, there fore accuracy should start to decrease.

In my experiment, I chose step size to be 300 since the execution was taking too much time. Because of relatively high step size, only the increase is observed on accuracy graph.

