

Phil 120, Review Notes

Stuff

May 23, 2021

Contents

1	References	3
1.1	Basic Logic Operators	3
1.2	Some Logic Identities	3
1.3	Tableaux Identities	4
2	Notable Definitions from Part 1	5
2.1	Consequences	5
2.2	Language	5
2.3	Basics of Set Theory	5
2.4	Pairs and Relations	6
3	Classical Logics	7
3.1	Turntile(\vdash) vs Double turntile(\models)	7

1 **References**

1.1 **Basic Logic Operators**

The followings are for $A * B$, where '*' is an operator, A is top row, B is left column.

\wedge	T	F	
T	T	F	
F	F	F	AND. Conjunction. $A \wedge B$ is true only when both A and B are true.

\vee	T	F	
T	T	T	
F	T	F	OR. Disjunction. $A \vee B$ is true when either A or B, or Both are true.

\rightarrow	T	F	
T	T	T	IMPLIES. If A then B. A implies B.
F	F	T	A implies B is true when A is true and B is true, or when A is false. Note: $A \rightarrow B = \neg A \vee B$

\leftrightarrow	T	F	
T	T	F	IFF, A if and only B. A is logically equivalent, two way implication.
F	F	T	A \leftrightarrow B is true exactly when the truth value of A is the same as B. Note: $A \leftrightarrow B = (A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A) = (A \wedge B) \vee (\neg A \wedge \neg B)$

1.2 **Some Logic Identities**

$A \vee \neg A = T$	Excluded Middle, either A or not A must be true.
---------------------	--

$\neg(A \wedge \neg A)$	Non-contradiction. It is true that not both A and not A hold at the same time.
-------------------------	---

$A \rightarrow B, A \implies B$	Modus ponenes, to prove. If A implies and B and A is true, then B is true.
---------------------------------	---

$A \rightarrow B, \neg B \implies \neg A$	Modus tollens, to disprove. If the conclusion is false, then the premise is false also.
---	--

$A \vee B, \neg A \implies B$	Disjunctive syllogism. If at least one of A or B is true, then if one of them is false, the other must be true.
-------------------------------	--

$(A \rightarrow B) \iff (\neg B \rightarrow \neg A)$	Contrapositive. Similar to Modus tollens.
--	---

$A, \neg A \implies B$	Explosion. From a false premise you can arrive at any conclusion.
------------------------	--

$\neg(A \vee B) \iff \neg A \wedge \neg B$ $\neg(A \wedge B) \iff \neg A \vee \neg B$	De Morgan's Law.
--	------------------

$A \vee (B \wedge C) \iff (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$ $A \wedge (B \vee C) \iff (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$	Distributability
--	------------------


1.3 Tableaux Identities

$\mathfrak{t}\wedge:$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{t}A \wedge B \\ \\ \mathfrak{t}A \\ \mathfrak{t}B \end{array}$	$\mathfrak{f}\wedge:$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{f}A \wedge B \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \mathfrak{f}A \quad \mathfrak{f}B \end{array}$
$\mathfrak{t}\vee:$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{t}A \vee B \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \mathfrak{t}A \quad \mathfrak{t}B \end{array}$	$\mathfrak{f}\vee:$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{f}A \vee B \\ \\ \mathfrak{f}A \\ \mathfrak{f}B \end{array}$
$\mathfrak{t}\rightarrow:$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{t}A \rightarrow B \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \mathfrak{t}\neg A \quad \mathfrak{t}B \end{array}$	$\mathfrak{f}\rightarrow:$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{f}A \rightarrow B \\ \\ \mathfrak{f}\neg A \\ \mathfrak{f}B \end{array}$
$\mathfrak{t}\leftrightarrow:$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{t}A \leftrightarrow B \\ \swarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \searrow \quad \swarrow \\ \mathfrak{t}\neg A \quad \mathfrak{t}\neg A \quad \mathfrak{t}\neg B \quad \mathfrak{t}A \\ \quad \quad \quad \\ \mathfrak{t}\neg B \quad \mathfrak{t}A \quad \mathfrak{t}B \quad \mathfrak{t}B \end{array}$	$\mathfrak{f}\leftrightarrow:$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathfrak{f}A \leftrightarrow B \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \mathfrak{f}\neg A \quad \mathfrak{f}\neg B \\ \quad \\ \mathfrak{f}B \quad \mathfrak{f}A \end{array}$

Note:

- Use De Morgan's Law to deal with negations(\neg), also, $\neg(A \rightarrow B) = (\neg B \wedge A)$.
- To prove a consequence, set the premise to true, conclusion to false. This proves that there is no possible counter example, since the negation is never satisfied.
 - If there are atomic branches left open, then those are valid counter examples.
- A branch is closed any of following pairs occurs in a branch: $\{(\mathfrak{f}A, \mathfrak{t}A), (\mathfrak{f}A, \mathfrak{f}\neg A), (\mathfrak{t}A, \mathfrak{t}\neg A)\}$, use a ' \times ' to indicate a closed branch.

Example: Use tableaux to prove $(E \rightarrow D) \vdash_1 ((D \wedge E) \leftrightarrow E)$



Since all branches are closed, the negation of the conclusion is never satisfied, thus the relation always holds for all values D and E might take on.

2 Notable Definitions from Part 1

2.1 Consequences

Logical Consequence: $A_1 \dots A_n$ implies B, and B is a consequence of $A_1 \dots A_n$, means when $A_1 \dots A_n$ are all true, then B must be true also.

Case: A case be loosely interpreted a particular combination of values for variables.

Valid Argument: An argument consist of a set of premises and a single conclusion, this argument is *valid* if the conclusion is a *logical consequence* of the premises.

Counter Example: A counter example to an argument is a case where all premises are truth, but the conclusion is false.

Sound Argument: An argument is sound if the premises are true in *all* cases, and the argument is valid. An argument cannot be sound if its not already valid.

2.2 Language

Syntax: Syntax consist of a basic set of symbols, and a rule set to create more complex words & sentences from symbols. Syntax is not concerned with *meaning* of any symbols or sentences

Semantics: Semantics of a language assigns meaning to a sentence in the language.

Atom, Connectives, Molecules: An atomic sentence is the mostly basic sentence that cannot be reduced further, like 'sky is blue' or 'Bob is eating', atomic sentence do not have connectives. A molecular sentences is made with a number of atomic sentences linked with connectives, like 'Bob is sleeping *or* eating', 'Sun is bright *and* hot'.

2.3 Basics of Set Theory

Set: A set is an arbitrary, unordered *collection* of unique *things*, depending on context, duplicates are usually ignored. 2 sets are equal if they contain indentical items. For example:

$$Food := \{apple, cookie, burger\} = \{apple, apple, apple, burger, cookie\}$$

Membership(\in, \notin): For any set it is possible to tell if an item belongs in the set. For exmaple:

$$cookie \in Food, dirt \notin Food$$

Which means that 'cookie' is in the set of Food(cookie is a member of Food), but dirt is not.

Set builder notation: A notation used to contruct sets from definitions. For exmaple:

$$L = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n > 44\}$$

Here the ':' means 'such that', so the set L is the set all natural numbers, n, such that n is larger than 44.

Union(\cup): The union of 2 sets is a set containing items from either sets:

$$\{1, 3, 7\} \cup \{2, 3, 2\} = \{1, 2, 7, 3\}$$

Intersec(\cap): The intersection of 2 sets is a contain items that belongs to both sets:

$$\{1, 3, 7\} \cap \{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{1, 3\}$$

Subsets(\subseteq): $A \subseteq B$ if A is contained in B, that is, every item in A is also in B.

Note: $A = B \iff (A \subseteq B) \wedge (B \subseteq A)$.

Proper Subset(\subset): A is a proper subset of B if $A \subseteq B$, and B is strictly bigger, that is, contains at least one item A does not.

2.4 Pairs and Relations

Ordered Pair: Unlike sets, ordered pairs/n-tuple are ordered. So $\{a, b\} = \{b, a\}$, however, $\langle a, b \rangle \neq \langle b, a \rangle$. N-tuples contains n ordered items.

Cartesian Product: $A \times B$ is the cartesian product of A and B, which is a set containing all possible ordered pairs $\langle a, b \rangle, a \in A, b \in B$. \times can be applied more than 2 times. For example:

$$\begin{aligned}\{a, b, c\} \times \{1, 2\} &= \{\langle a, 1 \rangle, \langle a, 2 \rangle, \langle b, 1 \rangle, \langle b, 2 \rangle, \langle c, 1 \rangle, \langle c, 2 \rangle\} \\ D \times E \times F &= \{\langle d_1, e, f_1 \rangle, \langle d_1, e, f_2 \rangle, \langle d_1, e, f_3 \rangle, \langle d_2, e, f_1 \rangle, \langle d_2, e, f_2 \rangle, \langle d_2, e, f_3 \rangle\} \\ \text{Where } D &= \{d_1, d_2\}, E = \{e\}, F = \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}\end{aligned}$$

Relations: A relation \mathcal{R} on sets A and B, is a way to relate elements of A and B. For $a \in A, b \in B$, a and b are in relation $\mathcal{R} \iff \langle a, b \rangle \in \mathcal{R}$, and we can write $a\mathcal{R}b$.
Note: $\mathcal{R} \subseteq A \times B$.

Reflexivity: A relation \mathcal{R} is reflexive when $x\mathcal{R}x$ for all x .

Symmetry: \mathcal{R} is symmetric when $x\mathcal{R}y \iff y\mathcal{R}x$

Transitivity: \mathcal{R} is transitive when $x\mathcal{R}y, y\mathcal{R}z \implies x\mathcal{R}z$.

Equivalence: \mathcal{R} is an equivalence relation if \mathcal{R} is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Function: Like functions in calculus, $f : x \rightarrow y$ sends each x to 1 y only, that is, the value of $f(x)$ is not ambiguous.

3 Classical Logics

For logic operators and tableaux references, see Section 1.

3.1 Turnstile(\vdash) vs Double turnstile(\models)

Turntile: ' \vdash ' denotes *syntactic* implication. $A \vdash_1 B$ means with only information from A , it is possible to prove B . Or alternatively, it is possible to obtain B from 'rearranging' symbols of A .

Double turntile: ' \models ', denotes *semantic* implication, or models. $A \models_1 B$ means that B is true whenever A is true.

Notes: A logic system is *sound* if $A \vdash B \implies A \models B$, is *complete* if $A \models B \implies A \vdash B$. Classical logics is sound and complete so there isn't a big difference between the two symbols used.