Step 1: Lexing

boring, as usual

Step 2: Parsing

```
parseExp :: [LToken] -> UExp
```

```
parseExp::[LToken] -> UExp
errors, anyone?
```

```
parseExp :: [LToken]
    -> Either String UExp
```

```
parseExp :: [LToken] -> UExp errors, anyone?
```

```
parseExp :: [LToken]
-> Either String UExp
we want closed expressions
```

```
# of vars in scope parseExp :: [LToken]
-> Either String (UExp Zero)
```

A length-indexed

```
abstract syntax tree

data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat

of vars in scope

data UExp (n :: Nat)
  = UVar (Fin n)

arg type

ULam Ty (UExp (Succ n))
   UApp (UExp n) (UExp n)
   ULet (UExp n) (UExp (Succ n))
   let-bound value
                                Language.Stitch.Unchecked
```

What's that Fin?

Fin stands for finite set.

The type Fin n contains exactly n values.

let's ignore laziness, shall we?

A length-indexed abstract syntax tree

```
data UExp (n :: Nat) All variables must be well scoped
  = UVar (Fin n)
  ULam Ty (UExp (Succ n))
  UApp (UExp n) (UExp n)
  ULet (UExp n) (UExp (Succ n))
                        Language.Stitch.Unchecked
```

```
parseExp :: [LToken]
          -> Either String (UExp Zero)
parseExp = ... expr ....
expr :: Parser (UExp Zero)
```

```
parseExp :: [LToken]
           -> Either String (UExp Zero)
parseExp = ... expr ....
expr.: Parser (UEXp Zero)
can t be recursive
expr :: Parser (UExp n)
```

```
parseExp :: [LToken]
          -> Either String (UExp Zero)
parseExp = ... expr ...
expr.: Parser (UEXp Zero)
can t be recursive
expr :: Parser (UExp n)
n is only in output -- impossible
expr :: Parser n (UExp n)
```

```
expr :: Parser n (UExp n)
type Parser n a
  __ a parser for an a with n vars in scope
  = ParsecT
     [LToken] -- input
              -- State
     (Reader (Vec String n)) -- monad
                -- result
     a
```

```
expr :: Parser n (UExp n)
type Parser n a
  __ a parser for an a with n vars in scope
  = ParsecT
     [LToken] -- input
                               var env
               -- State
     (Reader (Vec String n)) -- monad
                 -- result
     a
 A vec a n stores exactly n as.
```

Language.Stitch.Parse

To support well-scoped expressions, we need to index the parser monad and to use a length-indexed vector.

Types are social creatures.

Step 3: Type checking

```
data Ty = TInt

| TBool

| Ty :-> Ty
```

```
type Ctx n = Vec Ty n
data Exp :: forall n. Ctx n
-> Ty -> Type where
```

Exp ctx ty is an expression of type ty in a context ctx.

```
If e:: Exp ctx ty, then ctx |- e: ty.
```

```
type Ctx n = Vec Ty n
data Exp :: forall n. Ctx n
         -> Ty -> Type where
  Var :: Elem ctx ty -> Exp ctx ty
     de Bruijn index
data Elem :: forall a n. Vec a n
          -> a -> Type where
  EZ :: Elem (x :> xs) x "heve"
  ES :: Elem xs x -> Elem (y :> xs) x
                          Language.Stitch.Exp
```

```
type Ctx n = Vec Ty n
data Exp :: forall n. Ctx n
         -> Ty -> Type where
  Var :: Elem ctx ty -> Exp ctx ty
  Lam :: STy arg Singleton
      -> Exp (arg :> ctx) res
      -> Exp ctx (arg :-> res)
Need arg at compile time (indexing) and runtime (printing)
```

```
Lam :: STy arg
     -> Exp (arg :> ctx) res
     -> Exp ctx (arg :-> res)
data STy :: Ty -> Type where
 SInt :: STy TInt
  SBool :: STy TBool
  (::->) :: STy arg -> STy res
         -> STy (arg :-> res)
                          Language.Stitch.Exp
```

```
type Ctx n = Vec Ty n
data Exp :: forall n. Ctx n
         -> Ty -> Type where
  Var :: Elem ctx ty -> Exp ctx ty
  Lam :: STy arg
      -> Exp (arg :> ctx) res
      -> Exp ctx (arg :-> res)
  App :: Exp ctx (arg :-> res)
      -> Exp ctx arg -> Exp ctx res
```

Language.Stitch.Exp

check :: UExp n -> M (Exp ctx ty)

```
check:: UExpn > M (Exp ctx ty)
what is ty?
check:: forall n (ctx:: Ctx n).
          UExp II
       -> M (exists ty., Exp ctx ty)
exists doesn t
check
  :: forall n (ctx :: Ctx n) r.
      UExp n
  -> (forall ty. Exp ctx ty -> M r)
  -> M r
```

Type checking check not enough data :: forall n (ctx :: Ctx n) r. UExp n -> (forall ty. Exp ctx ty -> M r) -> M r

```
check :: SCtx (ctx :: Ctx n)
   -> UExp n
   -> (forall ty. STy ty ->
        Exp ctx ty -> M r)
   -> M r
```

```
check :: SCtx (ctx :: Ctx n)
-> UExp n
-> (forall ty. STy ty ->
Exp ctx ty -> M r)
-> M r
```

To the code!

Step 4: Evaluation

It's easy!

If it type-checks,

it works!

Common Subexpression Elimination

It's easy!

If it type-checks,

it works!

Common Subexpression Elimination

Generalized

data HashMap k v = ...

It took ~1hr for ~2k lines.

Recap

- Identify a data invariant
- Check invariant with types
- Prove your code respects the invariant (using more types)
- Repeat

Conclusion

It's good to be fancy!



STITCH

The Sound Type-Indexed Type Checker (Functional Pearl)

Richard A. Eisenberg
Tweag I/O
rae@richarde.dev

Tarball/repo linked from richarde.dev/pubs.html

Friday, 28 August 2020 Haskell Symposium

What's that Fin?

```
data Fin :: Nat -> Type where
  FZ:: Fin (Succ n)
  FS:: Fin n -> Fin (Succ n)
      FS (FS FZ) :: Fin 5

FS (FS FZ) :: Fin 3

FS (FS FZ) :: Fin 2
```

Language.Stitch.Data.Fin

Vectors

```
data Vec :: Type -> Nat -> Type where
    VNil :: Vec a Zero
    (:>) :: a -> Vec a n
        -> Vec a (Succ n)
infixr 5 :>
```

A vec a n holds exactly n elements of type a.