

### **Assignment-13.3**

**Goli Harini Reddy**

**2303A51544**

**Batch:08**

#### **Task 1: Refactoring – Removing Code Duplication**

**Objective**

To eliminate repeated logic by extracting reusable functions.

**Task Description**

Use AI assistance to refactor a legacy Python script that contains repeated blocks of code calculating the area and perimeter of rectangles.

**Starter (Legacy) Code**

```
# Legacy script with repeated logic  
print("Area of Rectangle:", 5 * 10)  
print("Perimeter of Rectangle:", 2 * (5 + 10))  
print("Area of Rectangle:", 7 * 12)  
print("Perimeter of Rectangle:", 2 * (7 + 12))  
print("Area of Rectangle:", 10 * 15)  
print("Perimeter of Rectangle:", 2 * (10 + 15))
```

**Expected Outcome**

- A reusable function to calculate area and perimeter
- No duplicated code blocks
- Proper docstrings for all functions

```
[1] ✓ 0s ⏪ def rectangle_metrics(length, width):
    """
    Calculate area and perimeter of a rectangle.

    Args:
        length (float): Length of the rectangle
        width (float): Width of the rectangle

    Returns:
        tuple: (area, perimeter)
    """
    area = length * width
    perimeter = 2 * (length + width)
    return area, perimeter

# Usage
rectangles = [(5, 10), (7, 12), (10, 15)]

for l, w in rectangles:
    area, perimeter = rectangle_metrics(l, w)
    print("Area of Rectangle:", area)
    print("Perimeter of Rectangle:", perimeter)

...
  Area of Rectangle: 50
  Perimeter of Rectangle: 30
  Area of Rectangle: 84
  Perimeter of Rectangle: 38
  Area of Rectangle: 150
  Perimeter of Rectangle: 50
```

## Observation:

### Before Refactoring

- Same area and perimeter logic repeated multiple times.
- Difficult to maintain — any formula change must be updated in many places.
- Code length was unnecessarily large.
- Higher risk of human error.

### After Refactoring

- Logic extracted into a single reusable function.
- Code became shorter and cleaner.
- Easy to maintain and extend.
- Improved modularity and scalability.

## Task 2: Refactoring – Optimizing Loops and Conditionals

### Objective

To improve performance by replacing inefficient nested loops with optimized structures.

### Task Description

Use AI to analyze and refactor a script that checks the presence of elements using nested loops.

### Starter (Legacy) Code

```
names = ["Alice", "Bob", "Charlie", "David"]

search_names = ["Charlie", "Eve", "Bob"]

for s in search_names:
    found = False

    for n in names:
        if s == n:
            found = True

    if found:
        print(f"{s} is in the list")

    else:
        print(f"{s} is not in the list")
```

### Expected Outcome

- Optimized solution using set lookups or comprehensions
- Performance comparison before and after refactoring

## Task 2: Refactoring – Optimizing Loops and Conditionals

```
[2] ✓ 0s
▶ names = ["Alice", "Bob", "Charlie", "David"]
search_names = ["Charlie", "Eve", "Bob"]

# Convert list to set for O(1) lookup
name_set = set(names)

for s in search_names:
    if s in name_set:
        print(f"{s} is in the list")
    else:
        print(f"{s} is not in the list")

...
Charlie is in the list
Eve is not in the list
Bob is in the list
```

### Comparison:

Version	Time Complexity	Reason
Legacy nested loops	$O(n \times m)$	checks every element
Refactored (set)	$O(n + m)$	constant time lookup

### Observation:

#### Before Refactoring

- Used nested loops.
- Time complexity was  $O(n \times m)$ .
- Inefficient for large datasets.
- More comparisons performed than necessary.

#### After Refactoring

- Used a **set** for membership lookup.
- Time complexity improved to  $O(n + m)$ .
- Code became more Pythonic and readable.
- Performance improved significantly for large inputs.

### **Task 3: Refactoring – Extracting Reusable Functions**

#### Objective

To modularize code by extracting calculations into reusable functions.

#### Task Description

Refactor a legacy script where price and tax calculations are written inline.

#### Starter (Legacy) Code

```
price = 250
```

```
tax = price * 0.18
```

```
total = price + tax
```

```
print("Total Price:", total)
```

```
price = 500
```

```
tax = price * 0.18
```

```
total = price + tax
```

```
print("Total Price:", total)
```

#### Expected Outcome

- A function `calculate_total(price)`
- Cleaner and reusable code structure
- Proper documentation

### Task 3: Refactoring – Extracting Reusable Functions

```
[3] 0s  def calculate_total(price):
        """
        calculate total price including tax.

        Args:
            price (float): Base price

        Returns:
            float: Total price including 18% tax
        """
        TAX_RATE = 0.18
        tax = price * TAX_RATE
        total = price + tax
        return total

    # Usage
    prices = [250, 500]

    for p in prices:
        print("Total Price:", calculate_total(p))

```

...

Total Price: 295.0  
Total Price: 590.0

#### Observation:

#### Before Refactoring

- Tax calculation logic duplicated.
- Hard to update tax rate in multiple places.
- Poor modularity.
- Violates DRY principle.

#### After Refactoring

- Created reusable function `calculate_total(price)`.
- Centralized tax logic.
- Easier updates and testing.
- Cleaner program structure.

### Task 4: Refactoring – Replacing Hardcoded Values with Constants

#### Objective

To improve maintainability by replacing magic numbers with named constants.

## Task Description

Use AI to identify hardcoded values and replace them with constants.

### Starter (Legacy) Code

```
print("Area of Circle:", 3.14159 * (7 ** 2))  
print("Circumference of Circle:", 2 * 3.14159 * 7)
```

### Expected Outcome

- Constants such as PI and RADIUS
- Cleaner, maintainable code

Task 4: Refactoring – Replacing Hardcoded Values with Constants

```
[5] 0s  
PI = 3.14159  
RADIUS = 7  
  
area = PI * (RADIUS ** 2)  
circumference = 2 * PI * RADIUS  
  
print("Area of Circle:", area)  
print("Circumference of Circle:", circumference)  
  
... Area of Circle: 153.93791  
Circumference of circle: 43.98226
```

### Observation:

#### Before Refactoring

- Magic numbers (3.14159 and 7) used directly.
- Poor readability.
- Difficult to update values.
- Risk of inconsistency.

#### After Refactoring

- Introduced named constants (PI, RADIUS).
- Code became self-explanatory.
- Easier future modifications.
- Improved maintainability.

## Task 5: Refactoring – Improving Variable Naming and Readability

### Objective

To enhance readability using descriptive variable names and comments.

### Task Description

Refactor a script with unclear variable names.

### Starter (Legacy) Code

```
a = 10  
b = 20  
c = a * b / 2  
print(c)
```

### Expected Outcome

- Descriptive variable names
- Inline comments explaining logic
- Identical output

Task 5: Refactoring – Improving Variable Naming and Readability

```
[6] ✓ 0s ▶ # Base and height of the triangle  
base_length = 10  
height_length = 20  
  
# Area formula: (base x height) / 2  
triangle_area = (base_length * height_length) / 2  
  
print(triangle_area)  
... 100.0
```

### Observation:

#### Before Refactoring

- Variables a, b, c were unclear.
- Hard to understand program purpose.
- Poor code readability.
- Not suitable for team development.

#### After Refactoring

- Used descriptive names (base\_length, height\_length, triangle\_area).

- Added inline comments.
- Logic became self-documenting.
- Output remained identical.