Implicit manifold learning progress report:

#### **Problem Definition:**

given datapoints that supposedly lie on several different clusters we'll try to formulate each cluster as a combination of smoothly varying sub clusters where each of these sub-clusters has a polynomial formulation. The end goal is to derive moments of each sub-clusters distribution which can then be used in approximating the geodesic distance of the points A and B lying on the same sub-cluster the same way as Dollar paper with the difference that instead of a projection matrice, we have moments of the distribution that will guide as as to which small step that is being taken from A to B is more likely to keep us on the sub-cluster. (generalization to entire cluster using smoothness of variation between polynomials has to be decided?).

# POP Formulation:(a separate program is defined for each cluster)

## program parameters:

k: number of sub-clusters

mon\_num: number of monomials of the defining polynomials.

data\_num : number of data points

program variables & constraints:

## explicit variables:

C\_j (j=1:k)=> coefficient of the polynomial, fitting the j-th sub-cluster. (has mon\_num vars). B\_i,j (i=1:data\_num, j=1:k) => indicator variable for i-th datapoint for belonging to the j-th sub-cluster.

eps => error slack of fitting datapoints to sub-clusters (taken to be the same for all sub-clusters for now)

#### explicit constraints:

-eps<C\_j.B\_i,j.x\_i<eps => constraint for fitting i-th datapoint  $(x_i)$  to j-th sub-cluster if B\_i,j is set to 1.

 $B_i,j^2-B_i,j=0 \Rightarrow$  boolean constraint for indicator variables

**Note:** constraint for forcing sum of B\_i,j over all j-s (all assignments of datapoint i to subclusters) to 1 is not added so it's possible for a datapoint to not belong to any sub-cluster and as a result belong to a different cluster.

#### implicit variables:

X\_j => the implicit variable for first degree moments of the data points lying on the j-th cluster.

 $l_j = the$  bound on distance of  $X_j$  from the j-th sub-cluster (in form of evaluation of the polynomial coefficients, not the actual euclidian distance).

vecEps => a vector of epsilons used in defining

## implicit constraints:

-eps < C\_j.X\_j <eps => constraint that the implicit X\_j should be close to sub\_cluster j.

-l\_j<C\_j.X\_j<lj => the bound variable (difference with epsilon being that that's a shared variable with all the implicit variables and the datapoints and appears in the objective term, whereas this one is defined for each implicit variable)

 $(Sum(B_i,j.x_i) \text{ on } i = (Sum(B_i,j) \text{ on } i).X_j => this constraint links the implicit variable X_j to the empirical average of datapoints x_i lying on the j-th sub cluster.$ 

New Smoothness constraint between clusters should be decided: