

Propositional Logic

0-order Logic

Golovach Ivan

RChain Coop

July, 2018

without Free Variables

SYNTAX

BNF grammar

$$\phi, \psi ::= tt | ff | \neg \phi | \phi \wedge \psi$$

Syntax sugar

$$\phi \vee \psi ::= \neg(\neg \phi \wedge \neg \psi)$$
$$\phi \Rightarrow \psi ::= \neg(\phi \wedge \neg \psi)$$

Example

Term / formulae

$$(tt \wedge ff) \Rightarrow \neg(ff \vee tt)$$

without Free Variables

REDUCTION RULES

Reduction rules

$$\neg tt \rightarrow ff$$

$$\neg ff \rightarrow tt$$

$$tt \wedge tt \rightarrow tt$$

$$tt \wedge ff \rightarrow ff$$

$$ff \wedge tt \rightarrow ff$$

$$ff \wedge ff \rightarrow ff$$

Example

$$(tt \wedge ff) \Rightarrow (ff \vee tt) \rightarrow$$

$$ff \Rightarrow (\neg(\neg ff \wedge \neg tt)) \rightarrow$$

$$ff \Rightarrow (\neg(tt \wedge ff)) \rightarrow$$

$$ff \Rightarrow \neg ff \rightarrow$$

$$ff \Rightarrow tt \rightarrow$$

$$tt$$

without Free Variables

REDUCTION RULES

Theorem

Confluence: closed term reduction is confluent.

.

Def: Term / formulae meaning

Every closed term means its reduction.

.

Def: Term equality \equiv

Terms are equal (\equiv) if .have.the.same.meaning(reduction).

without Free Variables

REDUCTION RULES Consequences / Algebraic

??? de morgan laws

$$\neg\neg\phi \equiv \phi(\text{double negation})$$

$$\neg\phi \wedge \phi \equiv ff(???)$$

$$\neg\phi \vee \phi \equiv tt(???)$$

.

Commutativity and associativity of disjunction

$$\phi \wedge \psi \equiv \psi \wedge \phi$$

$$(\phi \wedge \psi) \wedge \omega \equiv \phi \wedge (\psi \wedge \omega)$$

.

Commutativity and associativity of conjunction

$$\phi \vee \psi \equiv \psi \vee \phi$$

$$(\phi \vee \psi) \vee \omega \equiv \phi \vee (\psi \vee \omega)$$

.

Distributivity

$$(\phi \wedge \psi) \vee \omega \equiv (\phi \vee \psi) \wedge (\phi \vee \omega)$$

$$(\phi \vee \psi) \wedge \omega \equiv (\phi \wedge \psi) \vee (\phi \wedge \omega)$$

without Free Variables

Modus ponens

$P \Rightarrow Q, P \rightarrow Q$

.....or

$P \Rightarrow Q, P \vdash Q$

.....or

$P \Rightarrow Q$

P

Q

Example

Today.is.Tuesday \Rightarrow *John.will.go.to.work*

Today.is.Tuesday

John.will.go.to.work

without Free Variables

Modus ponens

$$((P \Rightarrow Q) \wedge P) \Rightarrow Q$$

$$(\neg(P \wedge \neg Q) \wedge P) \Rightarrow Q$$

$$((\neg P \vee \neg\neg Q) \wedge P) \Rightarrow Q$$

$$((\neg P \vee Q) \wedge P) \Rightarrow Q$$

$$((\neg P \wedge P) \vee (Q \wedge P)) \Rightarrow Q$$

$$(ff \vee (Q \wedge P)) \Rightarrow Q$$

$$(Q \wedge P) \Rightarrow Q$$

???

with Free Variables

SYNTAX

BNF grammar

$x ::= \text{logical variable}$

$\phi, \psi ::= tt | ff | \neg \phi | \phi \wedge \psi | x$

.

.

Example

Term / formulae

$\phi(x, y) = (x \wedge ff) \Rightarrow \neg(x \vee y)$

with Free Variables

Free variables

$\text{FN}(\cdot)$ – *Free.Names.calculation*

$\text{FN}(\text{tt}): \text{term} \rightarrow \text{variablesset}$

$\text{FN}(\text{tt}) ::= \emptyset$

$\text{FN}(\text{ff}) ::= \emptyset$

$\text{FN}(x) ::= \{ x \}$

$\text{FN}(\neg\phi) ::= \text{FN}(\phi)$

$\text{FN}(\phi \wedge \psi) ::= \text{FN}(\phi) \cup \text{FN}(\psi)$

Example

$\text{FN}((x \wedge \text{ff}) \Rightarrow \neg(x \vee y)) =$

$\text{FN}(x \wedge \text{ff}) \cup \text{FN}(\neg(x \vee y)) =$

$\text{FN}(x) \cup \text{FN}(\text{ff}) \cup \text{FN}(x) \cup \text{FN}(y) =$

$\{x\} \cup \emptyset \cup \{x\} \cup \{y\} =$

$\{x, y\}$