

Hennessy-Milner Logic

without recursion

Golovach Ivan

RChain Coop

July, 2018

HML Syntax

BNF grammar

$x ::= \text{logical variable}$

$a ::= \text{action, } a \in \text{Act}$

$\phi, \psi ::= tt | ff | \phi \wedge \psi | \phi \vee \psi | \langle a \rangle \phi | [a] \phi$

Syntax sugar

$\langle \{a, b\} \rangle \phi ::= (\langle a \rangle \phi) \vee (\langle b \rangle \phi)$

$[\{a, b\}] \phi ::= ([a] \phi) \wedge ([b] \phi)$

Example

$\langle a \rangle tt \wedge [a] \langle b \rangle tt$

???

$[\cdot]$

???

$[[\phi]] ::= \{p \in Proc \mid p \models \phi\}$

$(p \models \phi) \equiv (p \in [[\phi]])$

$[[\cdot]]$

$[[tt]] ::= Proc$

$[[ff]] ::= \emptyset$

$[[\phi \wedge \psi]] ::= [[\phi]] \cap [[\psi]]$

$[[\phi \vee \psi]] ::= [[\phi]] \cup [[\psi]]$

$[[\langle a \rangle \phi]] ::= \{p \in Proc \mid \exists q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \wedge (q \models \phi))\}$

$[[[a]\phi]] = \{p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow (q \models \phi))\}$

HML Semantics

$[[tt]] ::= Proc$

???

.

$[[ff]] ::= \emptyset$

???

.

$[[\phi \vee \psi]] ::= [[\phi]] \cup [[\psi]]$

???

.

$[[\phi \vee \psi]] ::= [[\phi]] \cup [[\psi]]$

???

$$[[\langle a \rangle \phi]] ::= \{p \in Proc \mid \exists q \in Proc. (p \xrightarrow{a} q) \wedge (q \models \phi)\}$$

???

$$[[[a]\phi]] = \{p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. (p \xrightarrow{a} q \Rightarrow (q \models \phi))\}$$

???

Question: $p \models \langle a \rangle ff$ – what it means?

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \\ & [[\langle a \rangle ff]] = \\ & = \{p \in Proc \mid \exists q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \wedge (q \in [[ff]]))\} = \\ & = \{p \in Proc \mid \exists q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \wedge (q \in \emptyset))\} = \\ & = \{p \in Proc \mid \exists q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \wedge false)\} = \\ & = \{p \in Proc \mid q \in Proc. false\} = \\ & = \{p \in Proc \mid false\} = \\ & = \emptyset \\ & \cdot \end{aligned}$$

Answer: ???

Question: $p \models [a] ff$ – what it means?

.

$$[[[a]ff]] =$$

$$= \{p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow (q \in [[ff]]))\} =$$

$$= \{p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow false)\} =$$

$$= \{p \in Proc \mid \nexists q \in Proc. (p \xrightarrow{a} q)\}$$

.

Answer: ???

Question: $p \models [a]tt$ – what it means?

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \\ & [[[a]tt]] = \\ & = \{ p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow (q \in [[tt]])) \} = \\ & = \{ p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow true) \} = \\ & = \{ p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. true \} = \\ & = \{ p \in Proc \mid true \} = \\ & = Proc \end{aligned}$$

Answer: ???

Practice

Question: $p \models \langle a \rangle tt$ – what it means?

.

$$\begin{aligned} [[\langle a \rangle tt]] &= \\ &= \{p \in Proc \mid \exists q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \wedge (q \in [[tt]]))\} = \\ &= \{p \in Proc \mid \exists q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \wedge (q \in Proc))\} = \\ &= \{p \in Proc \mid \exists q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \wedge true)\} = \\ &= \{p \in Proc \mid \exists q \in Proc. (p \xrightarrow{a} q)\} \end{aligned}$$

.

Answer: ???

.

!!!

$$\langle a \rangle tt \equiv \exists (\xrightarrow{a})$$

Practice

Question: $p \models [a]\langle b \rangle tt$ – what it means?

$$\begin{aligned} & . \\ & [[[a]\langle b \rangle tt]] = \\ & = \{p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow (q \in [[\langle b \rangle tt]]))\} = \\ & = \{p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow (q \in \{q \in Proc \mid \exists r \in Proc. (q \xrightarrow{b} r)\}))\} = \\ & = \{p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow (\exists r \in Proc. (q \xrightarrow{b} r)))\} = \\ & = \{p \mid \forall q. (p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow \exists r. (q \xrightarrow{b} r)\} \end{aligned}$$

Answer: ???

!!!

$$[a]\langle b \rangle tt \equiv (\exists(\xrightarrow{a}) \Rightarrow \exists(\xrightarrow{a} . \xrightarrow{b}))$$

Practice

Question: $p \models [a][b]\langle c \rangle tt$ – what it means?

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \\ & [[[a][b]\langle c \rangle tt]] = \\ & = \{p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow (q \in [[[b]\langle c \rangle tt]]))\} = \\ & = \{p \in Proc \mid \forall q \in Proc. ((p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow (\forall r \in Proc. ((q \xrightarrow{b} r) \Rightarrow (r \in [[\langle c \rangle tt]]))))\} = \\ & = \{p \mid \forall q. (p \xrightarrow{a} q) \Rightarrow \forall r. (q \xrightarrow{b} r) \Rightarrow \exists s. (p \xrightarrow{c} s)\} \\ & \cdot \end{aligned}$$

Answer: ???

!!!

$$[a][b]\langle c \rangle tt \equiv (\exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b}) \Rightarrow \exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b} \cdot \xrightarrow{c}))$$

Practice

Question: $??? \cdot \xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b} \cdot \xrightarrow{c} \cdot$

Answer: $\phi ::= (\langle a \rangle tt) \wedge ([a] \langle b \rangle tt) \wedge ([a][b] \langle c \rangle tt)$

Explanation:

$$\langle a \rangle tt \equiv \exists(\xrightarrow{a})$$

$$[a] \langle b \rangle tt \equiv (\exists(\xrightarrow{a}) \Rightarrow \exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b}))$$

$$[a][b] \langle c \rangle tt \equiv (\exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b}) \Rightarrow \exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b} \cdot \xrightarrow{c}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle a \rangle tt) \wedge ([a] \langle b \rangle tt) \wedge ([a][b] \langle c \rangle tt) \equiv \\ & \equiv \exists(\xrightarrow{a}) \wedge (\exists(\xrightarrow{a}) \Rightarrow \exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b})) \wedge (\exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b}) \Rightarrow \exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b} \cdot \xrightarrow{c})) = \\ & = (\exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b})) \wedge (\exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b}) \Rightarrow \exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b} \cdot \xrightarrow{c})) \\ & = \exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b} \cdot \xrightarrow{c}) \end{aligned}$$

!!!

$$\exists(\xrightarrow{a} \cdot \xrightarrow{b} \cdot \xrightarrow{c}) \equiv (\langle a \rangle tt) \wedge ([a] \langle b \rangle tt) \wedge ([a][b] \langle c \rangle tt)$$