Running head: TRANSLATION ELICITATION

1

- A Phoneme-weighted Levenshtein Distance: A Measure of Cross-linguistic Phonological
- 2 Similarity
- Serene Siow<sup>1</sup>, Gonzalo García-Castro<sup>2</sup>, Núria Sebastian-Galles<sup>2</sup>, & Kim Plunkett<sup>1</sup>
  - <sup>1</sup> Department of Experimental Psychology, Oxford University
- <sup>2</sup> Center for Brain and Cognition, Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Author Note

SS and GGC share first authorship.

6

- 8 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Serene Siow, Anna
- Watts Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Rd, Oxford, Oxfordshire OX2
- 6GG, UK. E-mail: serene.siow@psy.ox.ac.edu

11

Abstract

Many studies in bilingualism have explored how form similarity between translation 12 equivalents (i.e. cognate- ness) impacts language processing. Cognates range from being 13 identical to sharing only a few key features. Although there exists some objective measures 14 of orthographic similarity between word-forms, there is still no representative equivalent for 15 phonological similarity. In infant bilingual research, a measure that reflects perceptual similarity of spoken words as presented in infant-directed speech would be of great value. A 17 widely used metric for word similarity is Levenshtein distance (???). This measure calculates word-form similarity by computing the smallest total number of substitutions, insertions and deletions needed to change one word to the other. However, a challenge when defining phonological similarity is that some phonemes (e.g. [k] and [g]) are perceptually more similar than others (e.g. [k] and [o]). The standard Lev- enshtein distance calculation treats each change equally. Thus it often overestimates phonological distance between 23 words. We are developing an adaptation of Levenshtein distance for phonological representations, where edit operations are weighted according to the degree of phonemic 25 feature changes involved, type of change (e.g. vowel or consonant insertion) and position in 26 the word. To estimate the weights, we are collecting behavioural data in an auditory 27 translation elicitation task. Monolingual adult participants with no exposure to the target language will be asked to guess and produce the translations of auditorily-presented words. 29 The only way for participants to guess the correct translation is by mapping the presented unknown phonological form onto the phonology of its known translational equivalent. 31 Cross-linguistic phonological similarity will be operationalised as the probability that participants correctly guess the corresponding trans-lation. The higher the probability, the 33 higher the perceptual similarity. Our weighted similarity metric will provide a useful tool for identifying phonological similarity between words in infant-directed speech.

36

Word count: X

38	A Phoneme-weighted Levenshtein Distance: A Measure of Cross-linguistic Phonological
39	Similarity
40	Methods
41	We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all
42	manipulations, and all measures in the study.
43	Participants
44	Material
45	Procedure
46	Data analysis
47	We used R (Version 3.6.3; R Core Team, 2020) for all our analyses.
48	Results
49	Discussion

## 50 References

- 51 R Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna,
- Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from
- https://www.R-project.org/