

COMP7630 – Web Intelligence and its Applications

Association Rules

Valentino Santucci

(valentino.santucci@unistrapg.it)

Mining Association Rules

- Mining association rules allows to discover regularities in data
- Objective: to find all co-occurrence relationships among data items
- Classic application: market basket data analysis, which aims to discover how items purchased by customers in a supermarket (or a store) are associated

Example Association Rule

Cheese \rightarrow Beer [support = 10%, confidence = 80%].

- (**Support**) 10% of the customers buy Cheese and Beer together
- (**Confidence**) Customers who buy Cheese also buy Beer 80% of the times
- Support and Confidence are two **measures of rule strength**

Possible applications in the web

- Purchases patterns in e-commerce websites
- Word co-occurrence relationships
- Hashtag suggestion in social networks
- Web usage patterns
- ...

Definitions

- $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\}$ is the **universe set of items**
- $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ is the **set (or database) of transactions**
- $t_i \subseteq I$, i.e., each transaction is a subset of items
- An **association rule** is an implication of the form
$$X \rightarrow Y, \text{ where: } X \subset I, Y \subset I, X \cap Y = \emptyset$$
- X and Y are called **itemsets**

An example

Example 1: We want to analyze how the items sold in a supermarket are related to one another. I is the set of all items sold in the supermarket. A transaction is simply a set of items purchased in a basket by a customer. For example, a transaction may be:

$\{\text{Beef, Chicken, Cheese}\},$

which means that a customer purchased three items in a basket, Beef, Chicken, and Cheese. An association rule may be:

$\text{Beef, Chicken} \rightarrow \text{Cheese},$

where $\{\text{Beef, Chicken}\}$ is X and $\{\text{Cheese}\}$ is Y . For simplicity, brackets “{” and “}” are usually omitted in transactions and rules. ■

Some other definitions

- The transaction $t_i \in T$ **contains** the itemset $X \subseteq I$ iff $X \subseteq t_i$
- In that case, we also say that X **covers** t_i
- The **support count** of X in T is the number of transactions in T that contain X . The support count is denoted by $X.count$

Support and Confidence of a rule

- Given an association rule $X \rightarrow Y$, we define its support and confidence:

$$\textit{support} = \frac{(X \cup Y).count}{n}.$$

$$\textit{confidence} = \frac{(X \cup Y).count}{X.count}.$$

Relationships with probabilities

- **Support** is the **percentage of transactions in T that contains $X \cup Y$** , i.e., that contains both X and Y .
- Support is an **estimate of $P(X \cup Y)$** or, better, it estimates $P(X \text{ and } Y) = P(X, Y)$
- **Confidence** is the **percentage of transactions in T containing X that also contain Y**
- Confidence is an **estimate of $P(Y|X)$**
- RECALL conditional probability definition:

$$P(Y|X) = \frac{P(X,Y)}{P(X)} \Rightarrow P(X, Y) = P(Y|X)P(X) = P(X|Y)P(Y)$$

Why Support and Confidence?

- **Support** is a useful measure because **if it is too low, the rule may just occur due to chance**. Furthermore, in a business environment, a rule covering too few cases (or transactions) may not be useful because it does not make business sense to act on such a rule (not profitable).
- **Confidence** determines the predictability of the rule. **If the confidence of a rule is too low, one cannot reliably infer or predict Y from X**. A rule with low predictability is of limited use.

Mining of Association Rules

Given a transaction set T , the problem of mining association rules is to discover all association rules in T that have support and confidence greater than or equal to the user-specified minimum support (denoted by **minsup**) and minimum confidence (denoted by **minconf**).

Example

INPUT

t₁: Beef, Chicken, Milk
t₂: Beef, Cheese
t₃: Cheese, Boots
t₄: Beef, Chicken, Cheese
t₅: Beef, Chicken, Clothes, Cheese, Milk
t₆: Chicken, Clothes, Milk
t₇: Chicken, Milk, Clothes

minsup = 30%
minconf = 80%

The following association rules are **valid**:

Expected
OUTPUT

Rule 1: Chicken, Clothes → Milk
Rule 2: Clothes, Milk → Chicken
Rule 3: Clothes → Milk, Chicken

[sup = 3/7, conf = 3/3]
[sup = 3/7, conf = 3/3]
[sup = 3/7, conf = 3/3].

Apriori Algorithm

- Apriori is one of the best known algorithm for mining association rules
- The **Apriori algorithm** has been proposed in *Agrawal, Rakesh, and Ramakrishnan Srikant. "Fast algorithms for mining association rules." Proc. 20th int. conf. very large data bases, VLDB. Vol. 1215. 1994.*

Apriori algorithm: how it works

- Apriori works in **two steps**:
 - 1. Generate all frequent itemsets**: A frequent itemset is an itemset that has transaction support above minsup
 - 2. Generate all confident association rules from the frequent itemsets**:
A confident association rule is a rule with confidence above minconf
- Note: in practical applications, sometimes only the first step is enough to reach the objective at hand

Apriori step 1: generate all frequent itemsets

Downward Closure Property: If an itemset has minimum support, then every non-empty subset of this itemset also has minimum support.

Algorithm Apriori(T)

```
1   $C_1 \leftarrow \text{init-pass}(T);$  // the first pass over  $T$ 
2   $F_1 \leftarrow \{f \mid f \in C_1, f.\text{count}/n \geq \text{minsup}\};$  //  $n$  is the no. of transactions in  $T$ 
3  for ( $k = 2; F_{k-1} \neq \emptyset; k++$ ) do // subsequent passes over  $T$ 
4     $C_k \leftarrow \text{candidate-gen}(F_{k-1});$ 
5    for each transaction  $t \in T$  do // scan the data once
6      for each candidate  $c \in C_k$  do
7        if  $c$  is contained in  $t$  then
8           $c.\text{count}++;$ 
9        endfor
10   endfor
11    $F_k \leftarrow \{c \in C_k \mid c.\text{count}/n \geq \text{minsup}\}$ 
12 endfor
13 return  $F \leftarrow \bigcup_k F_k;$ 
```

Function candidate-gen(F_{k-1})

```
1   $C_k \leftarrow \emptyset;$  // initialize the set of candidates
2  forall  $f_1, f_2 \in F_{k-1}$  // find all pairs of frequent itemsets
3    with  $f_1 = \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}\}$  // that differ only in the last item
4    and  $f_2 = \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-2}, i'_{k-1}\}$ 
5    and  $i_{k-1} < i'_{k-1}$  do // according to the lexicographic order
6       $c \leftarrow \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}, i'_{k-1}\};$  // join the two itemsets  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ 
7       $C_k \leftarrow C_k \cup \{c\};$  // add the new itemset  $c$  to the candidates
8      for each  $(k-1)$ -subset  $s$  of  $c$  do
9        if ( $s \notin F_{k-1}$ ) then
10          delete  $c$  from  $C_k;$  // delete  $c$  from the candidates
11        endif
12      endfor
13 return  $C_k;$  // return the generated candidates
```

Note: Not necessary to load the whole data into memory before processing, only one transaction must reside in memory.

Apriori step 1 ... an "animated" example

- We have the following dataset of transactions

TID	Items
T1	1 3 4
T2	2 3 5
T3	1 2 3 5
T4	2 5
T5	1 3 5

and we assume minsup=40%, i.e., at least 2 transactions need to be covered, so in the following I simplify support to be the number of transactions covered by a given itemset

Apriori step 1 ... an "animated" example

- Find candidate itemsets of length 1

TID	Items
T1	1 3 4
T2	2 3 5
T3	1 2 3 5
T4	2 5
T5	1 3 5



C1

Itemset	Support
{1}	3
{2}	3
{3}	4
{4}	1
{5}	4

Apriori step 1 ... an "animated" example

- Filter-out candidates with $\text{support} < \text{minsup}$ and obtain F1 (frequent itemsets of length 1)



Apriori step 1 ... an "animated" example

- Create candidate of length 2 and filter-out low-support itemsets

TID	Items
T1	1 3 4
T2	2 3 5
T3	1 2 3 5
T4	2 5
T5	1 3 5



Only Items present in F1

C2

Itemset	Support
{1,2}	1
{1,3}	3
{1,5}	2
{2,3}	2
{2,5}	3
{3,5}	3



F2

Itemset	Support
{1,3}	3
{1,5}	2
{2,3}	2
{2,5}	3
{3,5}	3

Apriori step 1 ... an "animated" example

- Create candidates of length 3

TID	Items
T1	1 3 4
T2	2 3 5
T3	1 2 3 5
T4	2 5
T5	1 3 5



Itemset	In F2?
{1,2,3}, {1,2}, {1,3}, {2,3}	NO
{1,2,5}, {1,2}, {1,5}, {2,5}	NO
{1,3,5}, {1,5}, {1,3}, {3,5}	YES
{2,3,5}, {2,3}, {2,5}, {3,5}	YES

C3

Apriori step 1 ... an "animated" example

- Filter-out C3 to generate frequent itemsets of length 3

TID	Items
T1	1 3 4
T2	2 3 5
T3	1 2 3 5
T4	2 5
T5	1 3 5



F3

Itemset	Support
{1,3,5}	2
{2,3,5}	2

Apriori step 1 ... an "animated" example

- Create candidates of length 4



Since all candidates in C4 are filtered-out, the algorithm terminates and its result is the union of F1, F2, and F3.

Apriori step 2: generate association rules

- Simple strategy:

for every frequent itemset f and for each subset $\alpha \subset f$:

output the rule $(f - \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$, if

$$confidence = \frac{f.count}{(f - \alpha).count} \geq minconf,$$

where $f.count$ (or $(f - \alpha).count$) is the support count of f (or $(f - \alpha)$), which can be easily obtained from the supports computed in step 1.

Apriori step 2... a more efficient strategy

- In order to design an efficient strategy, let's observe that **the support count of f does not change as α changes**
- **Therefore, if $(f - \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ is valid, then all the rules of the form $(f - \alpha_{sub}) \rightarrow \alpha_{sub}$, with $\alpha_{sub} \subset \alpha$, are valid**
- Example: if $A, B \rightarrow C, D$ is valid, then also $A, B, C \rightarrow D$ and $A, B, D \rightarrow C$ are valid
- Therefore, an efficient algorithm very similar to "candidate-gen" (seen some slides before) can be devised

Lift: another measure for association rules

- $Lift(X \rightarrow Y) = \frac{Confidence(X \rightarrow Y)}{Y.count/n}$
- Lift is an estimate of $\frac{P(X,Y)}{P(Y)P(X)}$
- Therefore *lift* is a kind of "correlation" between X and Y
- Informally speaking, a high *lift* indicates that the importance of an association rule is not just a coincidence

One more example

INPUT

```
*** Dataset of transactions ***  
[['A', 'B', 'C', 'D'],  
 ['A', 'B'],  
 ['A', 'D', 'E'],  
 ['C', 'D', 'E'],  
 ['B', 'D', 'E']]  
*****
```

minsup = 0.5
minconf = 0.8

maxlen = 2

One more example

INPUT

```
*** Dataset of transactions ***  
[['A', 'B', 'C', 'D'],  
 ['A', 'B'],  
 ['A', 'D', 'E'],  
 ['C', 'D', 'E'],  
 ['B', 'D', 'E']]  
*****
```

minsup = 0.5
minconf = 0.8

maxlen = 2

Support Computation

Support(A) = 3/5 = 0.6

Support(B) = 3/5 = 0.6

Support(C) = 2/5 = 0.4

Support(D) = 4/5 = 0.8

Support(E) = 3/5 = 0.6

Support(A,B) = 2/5 = 0.4

Support(A,D) = 2/5 = 0.4

Support(A,E) = 1/5 = 0.2

Support(B,D) = 2/5 = 0.4

Support(B,E) = 1/5 = 0.2

Support(D,E) = 3/5 = 0.6

One more example

INPUT

```
*** Dataset of transactions ***  
[['A', 'B', 'C', 'D'],  
 ['A', 'B'],  
 ['A', 'D', 'E'],  
 ['C', 'D', 'E'],  
 ['B', 'D', 'E']]  
*****
```

minsup = 0.5
minconf = 0.8

maxlen = 2

Support Computation

Support(A) = 3/5 = 0.6

Support(B) = 3/5 = 0.6

Support(C) = 2/5 = 0.4

Support(D) = 4/5 = 0.8

Support(E) = 3/5 = 0.6

Support(A,B) = 2/5 = 0.4

Support(A,D) = 2/5 = 0.4

Support(A,E) = 1/5 = 0.2

Support(B,D) = 2/5 = 0.4

Support(B,E) = 1/5 = 0.2

Support(D,E) = 3/5 = 0.6

Confidence Computation

Confidence(D→E) = 0.6 / 0.8 = 0.75

Confidence(E→D) = 0.6 / 0.6 = 1

One more example

INPUT

```
*** Dataset of transactions ***  
[['A', 'B', 'C', 'D'],  
 ['A', 'B'],  
 ['A', 'D', 'E'],  
 ['C', 'D', 'E'],  
 ['B', 'D', 'E']]  
*****
```

minsup = 0.5
minconf = 0.8

maxlen = 2

Support Computation

$$\text{Support}(A) = 3/5 = 0.6$$

$$\text{Support}(B) = 3/5 = 0.6$$

$$\text{Support}(C) = 2/5 = 0.4$$

$$\text{Support}(D) = 4/5 = 0.8$$

$$\text{Support}(E) = 3/5 = 0.6$$

$$\text{Support}(A,B) = 2/5 = 0.4$$

$$\text{Support}(A,D) = 2/5 = 0.4$$

$$\text{Support}(A,E) = 1/5 = 0.2$$

$$\text{Support}(B,D) = 2/5 = 0.4$$

$$\text{Support}(B,E) = 1/5 = 0.2$$

$$\text{Support}(D,E) = 3/5 = 0.6$$

Confidence Computation

$$\text{Confidence}(D \rightarrow E) = 0.6 / 0.8 = 0.75$$

$$\text{Confidence}(E \rightarrow D) = 0.6 / 0.6 = 1$$

Lift Computation

$$\text{Lift}(E \rightarrow D) = 1 / 0.8 = 1.25$$

Practical applications other than market basket

- Any **text documents** can be seen as a transaction, where each distinct word/lemma is an item (duplicate words are removed)
- Same as before, but consider windows of words of a given maximum length
- **Relational tables** with categorical values are easily seen as transactions
- If **numerical values** are present, we need to **discretize** them to categories
 - Example:
 - $Temperature \leq 0^\circ$ \Rightarrow "very cold"
 - $0^\circ < Temperature \leq 15^\circ$ \Rightarrow "cold"
 - $15^\circ < Temperature \leq 25^\circ$ \Rightarrow "warm"
 - $Temperature > 25^\circ$ \Rightarrow "hot"

Some more (complex) extensions

- **Rare items problem**: distinctive items may be interesting at different minimum supports.
- **Class labels**: transactions may be labeled by classes and users may be interested in targeted rules, i.e., rules whose right-hand-side is a class label.
- **Sequential patterns**: association rules do not consider the order of transactions, so sequences of items (and not simply itemsets) can be considered.
- All these cases have been formally defined and specific mining algorithms (which are extensions of the Apriori algorithm) have been proposed

Hands-on with Python

- Requirements:
 - Python (≥ 3.8 , but it may be ok also an older version)
 - `pip install mlxtend`
- Two examples in `arules.zip`:
 - `basket.py` (mining of rules for a simple market basket)
 - `recipes.py` (mining of rules for a dataset of recipes... where recipes are lists formed by `cuisine_type` + list of ingredients)

References

- *Liu, Bing. Web data mining: exploring hyperlinks, contents, and usage data. Berlin: springer, 2011. Chapter 2.*
- *Agrawal, Rakesh, and Ramakrishnan Srikant. "Fast algorithms for mining association rules." Proc. 20th int. conf. very large data bases, VLDB. Vol. 1215. 1994.*
<https://courses.cs.duke.edu/compsci516/spring16/Papers/AssociationRuleMining.pdf>
- Apriori Algorithm – Know how to find frequent itemset.
<https://medium.com/edureka/apriori-algorithm-d7cc648d4f1e>