Statement and Control Structure COMP3220 – Principle of Programming Languages

Zhitao Gong

2016 Spring

Outline

Arithmetic Expression

Control Structure

Content

Arithmetic Expression

Control Structure

Expression

Expression A combination of values, variables, and operators. The purpose is to *have values*.

Statement A complete line of code that performs some action. The purpose is their *side-effects*.

```
int main() {
  int a = 3;
  a + 4;
  ++a;
}
```

The distinction is important only for theorist.

Basics

In PL's, arithmetic expressions consist of operators, operands, parentheses, and function calls. Operators are special functions.

```
int main() {
  int a = 1 + 2;
  int b = operator+(1, 2); // DOES NOT COMPILE
}
```

Unary function takes single operand, e.g., negative sign -. Binary function takes two operands, e.g., plus, minus.

Ternary function takes three operands., e.g., a ? b : c.

Notation

- Infix Operators are between operands. Parentheses surrounding groups of operands and operators are necessary. More difficult to parse albeit more natural. E.g., 2+2, 3×3 , $(2+2)\times 3$.
- Prefix Operators are before operands. Fancy name: normal Polish notation (NPN). If the arity of the operators is fixed, the result is a syntax lacking parentheses or other brackets that can still be parsed without ambiguity. E.g., +22, $\times +223$
- Postfix Operators are places after operands. Fancy name: reverse Polish notation (RPN). No parentheses are needed either. E.g., n!, $3\ 2\ 2+\times$, $2\ 2+3\times$. Shunting-yard algorithm converts infix to postfix notation.

Operator Evaluation Order

In NPN or RPN, operator evaluation is always *unambiguous*. Not so for infix notation.

To solve Infix notation ambiguity, two rules in PL are needed

- operator precedence and
- operator associativity

Operator Precedence

Given the following expression

$$3+4\times5$$

- ▶ Evaluated from left to right, i.e., + first, the result is 35.
- \blacktriangleright Evaluated from right to left, i.e., \times first, the result is 23.

Mathematicians place operators in a hierarchy of evaluation priorities and base the evaluation order of expressions partly on this hierarchy.

Operator Precedence Rule Partially defines the order in which the operators of different precedence levels are evaluated. The operator precedence rules for expressions are based on the hierarchy of operator priorities.

C++ Operator Precedence

http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/operator_
precedence

Operator with higher precedence is evaluated first. What if they are of same precedence? E.g., 2 + 3 + 4.

Operator Associativity

Operator Associativity Rule Partially defines the order in which the operators of the same precedence levels are evaluated. This rule is based on *convention*.

Two possible associativity

- ▶ Left to right. E.g., 2+3+4 in C++ is equivalent to (2+3)+4.
- ▶ Right to left. E.g., 2**2**3 in Python is equivalent to 2**(2**3).

Associativity in Real World

Floating point math is *not associative* due to accuracy. E.g. the non-representability of 0.1 and 0.01 in binary means that 0.1^2 is neither 0.01 nor the closet representable number. In single precision, 0.1 is represented as

0.10000001490116119384765625 exactly

Squaring it with single-precision floating-point hardware gives

0.01000000707805156707763671875 exactly

But the representable number closest to 0.01 is

0.00999999776482582092285156250 exactly

Non-associativity Example

Using 7-digit significand decimal arithmetic.

Given $a=1234.567,\ b=45.67834,\ c=0.0004.$ The symbol \rightarrow denotes rounding.

$$(a+b)+c$$
 $a+(b+c)$

Optimization Based on Associativity

Compiler may *reassociate expressions* if possible. In GCC, left linearization (switch on by -03) is used. E.g.,

$$a + b + c + d + e \rightarrow ((((a + b) + c) + d) + e)$$

In LLVM, it uses the flag -reassociate. This pass reassociates commutative expressions in an order that is designed to promote better constant propagation, GCSE, LICM, PRE, etc.

$$4 + (x + 5) \rightarrow x + (4 + 5)$$

- Constants has rank 0
- function parameters rank 1 and
- other values ranked corresponding to the reverse post-order traversal of current function (starting at 2) which effectively gives values in deep loops higher rank than values not in loops.



Operand Evaluation Order

Mathematically, it does not matter. For programs, it does.

More precisely, it matters only when the evaluation of an operand has *side effects*.

Side Effect

Side Effect The function (e.g., operator) changes either one of its parameters or a global variable.

```
int a = 0;
int fun() {
    a = 100;
    return 10;
}
int main() {
    b = a + fun(); // 10 or 110?
}
```

C++ Evaluation Order

Almost all operands, including function parameters are unspecified. There are two kinds of *optional* evaluations performed by the compiler:

- value computation, e.g., calculation of the value that is returned by the expression.
- side effect, e.g., access (read or write) an object designated by a volatile glvalue, and etc.

Order

Since C++11, sequenced-before rules are used instead of sequence point rules in C++0x.

"sequenced-before", denote as \prec (precede), is an asymmetric, transitive, pair-wise relationship between evaluations within the same thread.

- If A ≺ B, then evaluation of A will be complete before evaluation of B begins and vice versa.
- ▶ If $A \not\prec B$ and $B \not\prec A$, then two possibilities exist:
 - evaluations of A and B are unsequenced
 - they may be performed in any order but may not overlap

Undefined Behavior

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
void f(int a, int b) { }
int main() {
 int i = 0;
 i = ++i + i++; // undefined behavior
 i = i++ + 1; // undefined behavior
 i = ++i + 1; // well-defined in C++11
 ++ ++i; // well-defined in C++11
 f(++i, ++i); // undefined behavior
 f(i = -1, i = -2); // undefined behavior
 cout << i << i++:
 int a[3];
 a[i] = i++;
```

Referential Transparency

Referential Transparency If any two expressions in the program that have the same value can be substituted for one another anywhere in the program, without affecting the action of the program, the program is said to have referential transparency.

```
int foo() {
   return 10;
}

int bar(int a, int b) {
   return a + b;
}

int main() {
   int a = foo() * SIZE;
   bar(++a, ++a);
}
```

Operator Overloading

Consider the following example

```
int main() {
  int a = 1, b = 2;
  if (a & b) { }
  if (k b) { }
```

How about the following example

```
class Mat { };
int main() {
   Mat a, b, c;
   MatAdd(MatAdd(a, b), c);
   a + b + c;
}
```

Content

Arithmetic Expression

Control Structure