## **TIDAL**

(Textual Identity Detection and Augmentation Lexicon) **Data Card Authors:** Sameer Sethi and Emmanuel Klu

TIDAL (Textual Identity Detection and Augmentation Lexicon) is created as part of the TIDE research effort (<a href="https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.04027">https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.04027</a>) by the SCOUTS team (<a href="https://sites.research.google/scouts/">https://sites.research.google/scouts/</a>). It consists of a lexicon of identity terms and associated context and is formatted in XML using the Lexical Markup Framework ISO standard (<a href="https://www.iso.org/standard/82014.html">https://www.iso.org/standard/82014.html</a>). This dataset has been created to aid annotation of textual datasets with identity tokens to help with fairness evaluation and remediation of datasets and models. It supports only the English language, but has broad coverage of identity terms and grammatical variants across three IdentityGroups: Race, Nationality or Ethnicity (RNE), Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, Gender Expression and Sex Characteristics (SOGIESC) and Religion.

Data Card			
DATASET TEAM(S)	DATASET CONTACT		
Societal Context Understanding Tools and Solutions (SCOUTS), Responsible AI and Human Centered Technology (RAI-HCT) Google Research	<ul> <li>Sameer Sethi: <u>set</u></li> <li>Emmanuel Klu: <u>ek</u></li> </ul>		
PRIMARY DATA MODALITY	DATASET SNAPSHOT		DESCRIPTION OF CONTENT
Image Data Text Data Tabular Data Audio Data Video Data Time Series Graph Data Geospatial Data Multimodal (Please specify) Others: XML Lexicon dataset based on LMF ISO standard Unknown	Size of dataset Number of Instances Number of Fields	23 MB 15123 12	The TIDAL dataset consists of lexical entries and their related forms (e.g. black, gay, trans, hindus) that are associated with identity groups. Each head and related form is associated with grammatical properties (e.g. part-of-speech, grammatical gender) and context (or "sense") entries (e.g. identity groups/subgroups, connotation).  TIDAL has 1565 English language identity lexical entries, with over 14148 related lexical forms and 15123 context/sense entries.

DATASET SUBJECT	EXAMPL	EXAMPLE: DATA POINT				DATA FIELDS	
Sensitive Data about people  Non-Sensitive Data about people		This example is a sample data point from the data, showing some key fields in the dataset.					<ul> <li>Field 1. Term: Word or a phrase describing, associated with or targeting an Identity Group</li> <li>Field 2. Language: Language of the identity term</li> <li>Field 3. Group: Top-level Identity Group associated</li> </ul>
Data about natural phenomena Data about places and objects Synthetically generated data Data about systems or products and their behaviors Unknown Others* (*Data about social phenomena)	Term	Languag e	partOfS peech NOUN	Connota tion	Identi tyGrou p SOGIES C	Identi tySubg roup Gender Identi ty > Transg ender	with the identity term  Field 4. Subgroup: Specific Identity Subgroup associated with the identity term  Field 5. Connotation: Whether the term can have Neutral or Pejorative or both meanings in different contexts  Field 6. HasNonIdentityMeaning: A boolean value for whether the Term has a non-identity meaning in some context or not  Field 7. partOfSpeech: Part-of-speech of the term  Field 8. grammaticalGender: Grammatical gender of the term  Field 9. grammaticalNumber: Grammatical number of the term  Field 10. grammaticalCase: Grammatical case of the term  Field 11. relatedForm_relType: If the term is a grammatical variant, then what root term is it related to (VariantOf, PersonNounCombinationOf)  Field 12. Provenance: This field is available across all above field to identify the provenance of where this data came from (if it's from human computation then the value of this field is HCOMP)
DATASET PURPOSE(S)	KEY DO	MAINS OR A	APPLICATIO	N(S)			PRIMARY MOTIVATION(S)
Monitoring Research Production Others (please specify)	Natural I Probler ML Fairr	Domains Natural Language Processing, Algorithmic Fairness Problem Space ML Fairness evaluation and remediation of text classifiers and generative models				This dataset was created to aid in the development of a textual identity detection annotator. The annotator is then used to improve human-in-the-loop processes and fairness evaluations of text classifiers and language models.	
	INTENDED AND/OR SUITABLE USE CASE(S)						
DATASET USAGE	INTEND	ED AND/OR	SUITABLE U	JSE CASE(S	5)		UNSUITABLE USE CASE(S)
DATASET USAGE  Safe for production use  Safe for research use  Conditional use- some unsafe applications  Only approved use  Others (please specify)	• I	ED AND/OR dentity toke n fairness e and dataset	en(s) detect valuations a	ion in textu	al datase		1. As a benchmark for assessing fairness or ensuring lack of fairness     2. As a resource for any bias mitigation in production systems     3. To train demographic predictors using lists of proxy identity terms

Safe to use with other data  Conditionally safe to use with other data  Should not be used with other data  Unknown  Others* (Please specify)	Joining with other datasets Subsampling and splitting Filtering Joining input sources Cleaning missing values Anomaly detection Grouping and summarizing Scaling and reducing Statistical transformations Redaction or Anonymizatio Others (please specify)		N/A (we have not attempted to use this dataset with other datasets, but we do not anticipate any issues)
VERSION STATUS	DATASET VERSION		MAINTENANCE PLAN
Regularly Updated  New versions of the dataset have been or will continue to be made available.  Actively Maintained  No new versions will be made available, but this dataset will be actively maintained, including but not limited to updates to the data.  Limited Maintenance  The data will not be updated, but any technical issues will be addressed.  Deprecated  This dataset is obsolete or is no longer being maintained.	Current Version Last Updated Release Date	1.0 06/2023 09/2023	We will address any issues that people might face in the dataset usage.
ACCESS POLICY	RETENTION POLICY		WIPEOUT POLICY
The data will be accessible under the CC-BY 4.0	N/A		N/A
DATA COLLECTION METHODS	DATA SOURCES		DATA COLLECTION

API Artificially Generated Crowdsourced - Paid Crowdsourced - Volunteer Vendor Collection Efforts Scraped or Crawled Survey, forms or polls Taken from other existing datasets Unknown To be determined Others (please specify)	Seed sources for Terms  Date of Collection: Jan 2020 - Dec 2022  Data Modality: Text Data  Process:  Sources:  UN Data Ethnic Groups UN Data Religious Groups CAMEO Event Data Codebook Wikipedia list of demonyms GLAAD Glossary HRC Glossary Processing: Seed terms were parsed from above data sources, cleaned (remove hyphens, slashes etc.) and then lowercased.  Annotations: Crowdsourced - Paid  Appen Data Annotation Platform: Annotation Data Annotation Platform and Managed Services were used to grammatically expand the seed terms Date of Collection: Jan 2020 - Dec 2022 Instrumentation: Annotation Platform Data Modality: Text Data	Annotations: Crowdsourced - Paid  Collected and included  Term: word or phrase IdentityGroup: Groups the term is associated with NonIdentityMeaning: whether the term has a non-identity meaning PartOfSpeech, Grammatical gender, number and case: part of speech properties of the term Connotation: whether the term is NEUTRAL or PEJORATIVE  Collected and excluded Country names were used as a filter to remove mentions of countries from the seed terms
SENSITIVE DATA	FIELDS WITH SENSITIVE DATA	SECURITY AND PRIVACY HANDLING
User Content User Metadata User Activity Data Identifiable Data S/PII Business Data Employee Data Pseudonymous Data Anonymous Data Health Data Children's Data None Others* (*please specify)	NA NA	NA NA
SENSITIVE HUMAN ATTRIBUTES	SOURCE(S) OF HUMAN ATTRIBUTES	RATIONALE FOR COLLECTING HUMAN ATTRIBUTES

Race	N/A	N/A
Gender		
Ethnicity		
Socio-economic status		
Geography		
Language		
Sexual Orientation		
Religion		
Age		
Culture		
Disability		
Experience or Seniority		
Others (please specify)		
None		
TRANSFORMATIONS APPLIED		LIBRARIES AND METHODS USED
Anomaly Detection Cleaning Mismatched Values Cleaning Missing Values Converting Data Types Data Aggregation Dimensionality Reduction Joining Input Sources Redaction or Anonymization Others*		<ul> <li>Term filtering: pandas dataframe basic functions</li> <li>Annotation aggregation: pandas dataframe basic functions</li> </ul>
SAMPLING METHOD(S)	SAMPLING CHARACTERISTIC(S)	SAMPLING CRITERIA

Data Type Validation Range and Constraint Validation Code/cross-reference Validation Structured Validation Consistency Validation Not Validated Others* (*Please specify)	N/A	Data Type Validation  Check for null values, connotation values (NEUTRAL, PEJORATIVE), IdentityGroup values (RNE, SOGIESC, Religion), Citation values (URL) using basic python dataframe functions.
	VALIDATORS CHARACTERISTIC(S)	VALIDATORS DESCRIPTION(S)
	N/A (automatic validation)	N/A (automatic validation)
ML APPLICATION(S)		
N/A		
The dataset was not used for any applications. No training or fine-tuning of systems was performed. The data was only used for diagnostic analysis of existing models and not used to create any new systems.		

Reflections on Data		
Limitations due to human annotation	Annotation about identity terms context (like connotation) and their prevalence in society is subjective. While we attempt to capture diversity in our annotator pool, we recognize that it still does not capture all different opinions and perspectives. Future iterations of such data collection should take more participatory approaches and involve communities with lived experiences on the harms of bias in society.	
No ground truth on "Identity Terms" or associated context	We recognize that there is no "ground-truth" on labeling something as an "identity term" or having "NEUTRAL" or "PEJORATIVE" connotation, and that the context varies based on languages, locales, cultures, lived experience, etc. This is an inherently subjective opinion that is influenced by socio-cultural factors and personal experiences. Thus, we caution against using the data in this dataset to classify text as "identity" vs "non-identity" in production.	
Identity terms or context not captured by this dataset	We generate candidate identity terms using seeds which could influence what is generated. Diversity of our human annotators available also limits our annotations, impacting what gets annotated as neutral or pejorative. Additionally, identity terms and context not captured by our dataset may exist.	

Caution against calling models "fair" based on evaluation on this dataset

This dataset is insufficient to capture all identity mentions for all languages, locales, cultures, etc. The dataset reflects the judgments of a small number of annotators. Hence, it should be used only for diagnostic and research purposes, and not as benchmarks to prove the lack of bias.