CS 5630/6630 Project Peer Feedback

Feedback from team:

- Vinu Sreenivasan, Harshini Keerthi Vasan, Sanjana Aravindan

General Questions

- **Are the objectives interesting to the target audience?**

  Yes.

- **Is the scope of the project appropriate? If not, suggest improvements.**

  Yes, the scope is well bounded.

- **Is the split between optional and must-have features appropriate? Why?**

  Yes, split is good. But want host nation performance as must-have feature instead of optional feature.

- **Is the visualization innovative? Creative? Why?**

  Can add visualization with more functionality.

- **Does the visualization scale to the used dataset? Could it handle larger but similar datasets?**

  Looks like it scales. Yes, it can handle similar large datasets.

- **Is the project plan detailed enough? Is a path to the final project clear?**

  Steps are well defined.

- **Is an interesting story told?**

  Yes, It's Interesting as it shows the trend (like medals won, host nation performance) of Olympics over years.

Interaction and Animation

- **Is the interaction meaningful? If not, suggest improvements.**

  Interaction was not finalized yet.

- **If multiple views, are they coordinated? If not, would it be meaningful?**

  Yes, they are coordinated.

- **Is there any animation planned? Is it clear? Is it intuitive?**

  No animation.

Comments
- Use stacked bar chart instead on pie chart in showing top 10 countries in each Olympics.
- While evaluating performance of host nations, put years in x-axis instead of city names.
- Try to add images of athletes who won highest medal in each Olympics.
- Include player-based aggregator.
- Visualize number of women participated over years and medals won.
- Include flags while visualizing top 10 countries.