# Advanced Compiler Construction (CS 491): Exercise 2

Tristan & Andrew

## Running it

To get the executable run cabal install while in the directory, and that should put an executable in your ~/.cabal/bin directory. Otherwise use cabal repl and use the function ghci> parseFile "filepath".

# **Assignment Additions**

There were a few additions to the core language. We added Unit and Char along with a few functions and a test, but these were basically trivial as they did not add anything to eval1.

#### Records

Records are product types and have multiple named elements of specified types. The constructor for Records is

$$\operatorname{record}(l_1 = t_1, l_2 = t_2, ..., l_n = t_n) : \operatorname{Record}(l_1 = t_1, l_2 = t_2, ..., l_n = t_n)$$

and the eliminator is

$$\operatorname{project}(\operatorname{record}(l_1=t_1, l_2=t_2, ..., l_n=t_n).l_i) \to t_i$$

We used the small step semantics from TAPL. The type checking here was simple, as the internal structure of the values were:

These let us use lookup to easily find the type a term should be, and the term that project needs from label. Since lookup returns a Maybe we just wrote a function lookupOrElse which returned an Either or our error system.

#### Variants

Variants are sum types and have one of multiple possible named elements of specified types. The constructor for a Variant is

$$\operatorname{tag}(l=t \text{ as Variant}(l_1:T_1,l_2:T_2,...,l_n:T_n)):\operatorname{Variant}(l_1:T_1,l_2:T_2,...,l_n:T_n)$$

and the eliminator is

```
\begin{aligned} & \text{case } t \\ & \text{of } l_1 = x_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \\ & | \ \dots \\ & | \ l_n = x_n \Rightarrow t_n \end{aligned}
```

The implementation of Variant was significantly more work than Record.

Tag was not too difficult, but Case proved to require more thoughtfulness since it requires that we do a lot in the type checking:

- The labels in the case body and in the term being cased against need to match.
- 2. All terms in the case body need to evaluate to the same type
  - Additionally those terms need to be placed in a new context because of the variable that is bound to each one, but not all of those terms.

Here is the code without the where clause:

After the type checking everything else was easy, as it was also just right out of TAPL.

Variants and records have all type checking incorporated into our type error framework.

#### Let

Implementing the small-step semantics of let bindings was not too difficult. In the case that we are substituting a value into our statement, we simply perform the substitution. If we are binding a non-value term to the variable to substitute, we first take one step of evaluation for the term. This is giving by the following clauses:

```
eval_small (S.Let x t1 t2)
| S.isValue t1 = Right (S.subst x t1 t2) -- pg 124: E-LetV
| otherwise = do t1' <- eval_small t1; Right (S.Let x t1' t2) -- pg 124: E-Let</pre>
```

#### Fix

Similarly, our definition for fix is taken straight out of TAPL. It's definition is given by the following clauses:

```
eval_small (S.Fix f0(S.Abs x _ t2)) = Right (S.subst x (S.Fix f) t2) -- pg 144: E-FixBeta eval_small (S.Fix t1) = do t1' <- eval_small t1; Right (S.Fix t1') -- pg 144: E-Fix
```

## **Introducing Testing**

We are using the cabal testing framework. To run the test suite use \$ cabal run test in the cabal directory. We are using the HUnit module to make the tests. We have it set up so we can test a variety of aspects of a file:

- Does the file parse?
- Does the file have a certain list of free variables?
- Does the file type check?
- Does the file evaluate to something? (i.e. making sure it does not diverge or runtime error)
- Does the file evaluate to a specified value?

We encompass these in a list of the form:

### **Big-Step Semantics**

Our implementation of Big Step Semantics does not implement the entire lamdal language due to time limitations (as we realized, we did not actually implement this on the day of presentations). That being said, the big-step semantics have been implemented for constants, records, variables, abstractions, applications, and primitive operations. Our first step in implementing big-step was to introduce a closure environment for term types. Following this, we implemented an alternative function called eval\_big in the OperationalSemantics file. In essence, we implement the following rules:

```
e \vdash c \Rightarrow c \ (c \text{ is a constant})
```

eval\_big t e = Left ("Error evaluating term " ++ (show t) ++ " with environment " ++ (show e

We will implement the rest of the functionality before submitting exercise 3.

 $e \vdash x \Rightarrow e(x)$