MA 515 Homework 3

Zheming Gao

October 4, 2017

Problem 1

- (a) It is not a norm because it violates property (ii). Let a = -1, x = 1. Then ||ax|| = ||-1|| = 2. However, |a|||x|| = 1.
- (b) It is a norm.

Proof. Check the first one. If ||f|| = 0, then $\sup_{t \ge 0} e^{\lambda t} |f(t)| = 0$. Since for any $t \ge 0$, $e^{\lambda t} |f(t)| \ge 0$ and $e^{\lambda t} > 0$, we have $|f(t)| = 0, \forall t \ge 0$. This is to say $f \equiv 0$ on its domain. For the other direction, ||f|| = 0 is true when f = 0.

For (ii), $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$||\alpha x|| = \sup_{t \geqslant 0} e^{\lambda t} |\alpha f(t)| = \sup_{t \geqslant 0} e^{\lambda t} |\alpha| \cdot |f(t)| = |\alpha| \sup_{t \geqslant 0} e^{\lambda t} |f(t)| = |\alpha| \cdot ||x||.$$

To check the triangle inequality, we pick $f, g \in X$,

$$||f + g|| = \sup_{t \ge 0} e^{\lambda t} |f(t) + g(t)| \le \sup_{t \ge 0} e^{\lambda t} (|f(t)| + |g(t)|)$$

$$\le \sup_{t \ge 0} e^{\lambda t} |f(t)| + \sup_{t \ge 0} e^{\lambda t} |g(t)| = ||f|| + ||g||.$$

In conclusion, it is a norm.

(c) It is a norm.

Proof. We have shown that for ℓ^p space, $||x||_p = (\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ is a norm for $1 \le p \le +\infty$. Then, truncate it for only first two entries. Consider set $S = \{x \in \ell^p || x = (x_1, x_2, 0, \dots), x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We have $||x||_p = ||x||, \forall x \in S$. Since $||\cdot||_p$ is a norm on ℓ^p , it must be a norm on $S \subset \ell^p$ as $0 \in S$. Then we conclude that $||\cdot||$ is a norm on \mathbb{R}^2 .

(d) It is not a norm. Consider x = (1,0), y = (0,1). Then $||x+y|| = ||(1,1)|| = 2^{1/p} > 2$. However, ||x|| + ||y|| = 2 < ||x+y||. This breaks the triangle inequality.

Proof.

Step 1 We are going to show $||(\cdot,\cdot)||$ is a norm on $X\times Y$.

If ||(x,y)|| = 0, then $\max\{||x||_X, ||y||_Y\} = 0$, which implies that $||x||_X = ||y||_Y = 0$. Hence, x = y = 0. The other direction is obvious.

To check the second property, take arbitrarily $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||\alpha(x,y)|| &= ||(\alpha x, \alpha y)|| = \max\{||\alpha x||_X, ||\alpha y||_Y\} \\ &= \max\{|\alpha| \cdot ||x||_X, |\alpha| \cdot ||y||_Y\} \\ &= |\alpha| \max\{||x||_X, ||y||_Y\} = |\alpha| \cdot ||(x,y)||. \end{aligned}$$

For triangle inequality, take arbitrarily $(x,y),(z,w) \in X \times Y$, we have

$$\begin{split} ||(x,y)+(z,w)|| &= ||(x+z,y+w)|| = \max\{||x+z||_X,||y+w||_Y\} \\ &\leqslant \max\{||x||_X+||z||_X,||y||_Y+||w||_Y\} \\ &= \max\{||x+||_X,||y||_Y\} + \max\{||z||_X,||w||_Y\} \\ &= ||(x,y)|| + ||(z,w)||. \end{split}$$

Hence, $||(\cdot, \cdot)||$ is a norm on $X \times Y$.

Step 2 We need to prove that $X \times Y$ is complete. Take any Cauchy sequence $\{z_n = (x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X \times Y$. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists N > 0 such that

$$||z_n - z_m|| = ||(x_n, y_n) - (x_m, y_m)|| < \epsilon, \quad \forall n, m > N.$$

This is equivalent to $\max\{||x_n - x_m||_X, ||y_n - y_m||_Y\} < \epsilon \forall n, m > N$ and also implies that

$$||x_n - x_m||_X < \epsilon, \quad ||y_n - y_m||_Y < \epsilon, \forall n, m > N.$$

Hence we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are both Cauchy sequences on X and Y respectively. Since $(X, ||\cdot||_X)$ and $(Y, ||\cdot||_Y)$ are Banach spaces, $\{x_n\}$ converges on X and $\{y_n\}$ converges on Y. Let $\lim_{n\to+\infty} x_n = x$, $\lim_{n\to+\infty} y_n = y$, and $z = (x,y) \in X \times Y$. Then,

$$||z_n - z|| = ||(x_n, y_n) - (x, y)|| = \max\{||x_n - x||_X, ||y_n - y||_Y\} < \epsilon, \quad \forall n > N.$$

Hence, $\{z_n\}$ converges to on $z \in X \times Y$. This proves the claim.

(a) Proof. Firstly, we need to show that d_f is a metric on X. $\forall x, y \in X$, $d_f(x, y) = f(||x - y||_X) \ge 0$ holds due to the property of f. And $d_f(x, x) = f(0) = 0$. Also, $d_f(x, y) = d_f(y, x)$ is obvious since $||x - y||_X = ||y - x||_X$. For triangle inequality, we need to use the facts that f is increasing and ii,

$$d_f(x,y) + d_f(y,z) = f(||x - y||_X) + f(||y - z||_X) \geqslant f(||x - y||_X + ||y - z||_X)$$

$$\geqslant f(||x - y + y - z||_X) = f(||x - z||_X) = d_f(x,z).$$

Hence, d_f is a metric well-defined on X.

Next, we need to show (X, d_f) is complete. Take any Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}_n \in \mathbb{N}$ from (X, d_f) and it is enough to show it converges in X. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists N > 0 such that $d_f(x_n, x_m) = f(||x_n - x_m||_X) < \epsilon$, for all n, m > N. Since f is an increasing continuous function from \mathbb{R}_+ to \mathbb{R}_+ , then there exists an increasing continuous inverse function f^{-1} of f such that $f^{-1}(d_f(x, y)) = ||x - y||_X$. Hence,

$$||x_m - x_n||_X = f^{-1}(d_f(x_m, x_n)) < f^{-1}(\epsilon) \to 0$$
, as $\epsilon \to 0, \forall n, m > N$

Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence on $(X, ||\cdot||_X)$ and so it converges in X in norm $||\cdot||_X$. Let $x_n \to x \in X$ in $||\cdot||_X$. Then we have $\lim_{n\to+\infty} ||x_n-x||_X = 0$. With the continuity of f, we know $\lim_{n\to+\infty} f(||x_n-x||_X) = 0$. And this improves that $x_n \to x$ in distance d_f . Hence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent on (X, d_f) .

In conclusion, (X, d_f) is a complete metric space.

(b) *Proof.* Take arbitrarily $x, y \in B_f(0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then $z = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in X$. It is enough to show that $d_f(0, z) < 1$. Use property (ii) and the property given in (b), we have the following,

$$d_f(0,z) = f(||z||_X) \leqslant f(\alpha||x||_X + (1-\alpha)||y||_X)$$

$$\leqslant f(\alpha||x||_X) + f((1-\alpha)||y||_X)$$

$$\alpha f(||x||_X) + (1-\alpha)f(||y||_X)$$

$$= \alpha d_f(0,x) + (1-\alpha)d_f(0,y) < \alpha + 1 - \alpha = 1.$$

This proves that $z \in B_f(0,1)$ and then $B_f(0,1)$ is convex.

Problem 4

Proof. " \Leftarrow ", if absolute convergence of any sequence $\{x_n\}$ implies the convergence of this sequence, then $(X, ||\cdot||)$ is complete. Take $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ as a Cauchy sequence on X, then from

the definition we can always find a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that $||x_{n_k} - x_{n_{k+1}}|| < 2^{-k}$. Let $y_k = x_{n_k} - x_{n_{k+1}}$ and we know for each N > 0,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} ||y_k|| = \sum_{k=1}^{N} ||x_{n_k} - x_{n_{k+1}}|| < \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

Since N is arbitrarily chosen, we take the limits on both sides such that $\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} ||y_k|| < +\infty$. This implies the convergent of $\{y_k\}$, i.e, $\lim_{N\to+\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} y_k < +\infty$.

Suppose $S_y = \lim_{N \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k$, then

$$S_y = \lim_{N \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k = \lim_{N \to +\infty} x_{n_1} - x_{n_{N+1}}.$$

which implies that $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges to $x_{n_1} - S_y$. Since it is a subsequence of Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$, $\{x_n\}$ also converges. This proves the completeness of X.

"\Rightarrow". Conversely, with Banach space $(X, ||\cdot||)$, and the absolutely convergent $\{x_n\}$ has sum $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} ||x_n|| < +\infty$, we need to prove the infinite sum of $\{x_n\}$ is also finite.

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} ||x_n|| < +\infty$, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 > 0$ such that $\sum_{n=N_0+1}^{+\infty} ||x_n|| < \epsilon$. Let $z_k = \sum_{n=1}^k x_n \in X$, $\{z_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence because for any $\epsilon > 0$, take $N = N_0$, then

$$||z_p, z_q|| \le ||\sum_{n=q+1}^p x_n|| \le \sum_{n=q+1}^p ||x_n|| \le \sum_{n=N+1}^{+\infty} ||x_n|| < \epsilon, \quad \forall p, q > N.$$

Then $\{z_k\}$ converges in Banach space $(X, ||\cdot||)$. In conclusion, we proved the claim.

Problem 5

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$, and $\forall x \in \ell^p$, we need to find $e \in V$ such that $||e - x||_p < \epsilon$. Since $x \in \ell^p$, we know $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} |x_i|^p < +\infty$ and this implies $\exists N$ such that $\sum_{i=N+1}^{+\infty} |x_i|^p < \epsilon^p$. Hence, we may let

$$e = \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i e_i.$$

It is clear that $e \in V$ and check the distance between e and x.

$$||e - x||_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N |x_i - x_i|^p + \sum_{i=N+1}^{+\infty} |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p} = \left(\sum_{i=N+1}^{+\infty} |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p} < \epsilon.$$

Hence, V is dense in ℓ^p .

Proof. We need to show for any $x \in X$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \epsilon$ holds, $\forall y \in B(x, \delta), f_n \in \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Take arbitrary $x \in X$. Since f is continuous on X, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta_x > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon/3$ holds for any $y \in B(x, \delta_x)$.

Also, the sequence of functions $\{f_n\} \to f$ uniformly, there exists N > 0 such that $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon/3$ holds for all n > N. By triangle inequality, we have

$$|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \le |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y) - f_n(y)|$$

 $< \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon, \quad \forall n > N, \forall y \in B(x, \delta_x).$

For each index $i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$, there exists $\delta_{i_x} > 0$ such that $|f_i(x) - f_i(y)| < \epsilon/3$ for any $y \in B(x, \delta_{i_x})$. We may let $\Delta_x = \min\{\delta_{1_x}, ..., \delta_{N_x}, \delta_x\}$. Hence, for any $f_n \in \{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $|f_n(x) - f_n(x)| < \epsilon$ holds for all $y \in B(x, \Delta_x)$.

Hence, $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous on X, since it is equicontinuous at each $x\in X$.

Problem 7

(a) *Proof.* To prove it is a normed vector space, we need to show that $0 \in C^{\alpha}([a,b])$, $C^{\alpha}([a,b])$ is closed under linear operations and $||\cdot||_{\alpha}$ is properly defined.

Firstly, $0 \in C^{\alpha}([a,b])$ is obvious. For linearity, $\forall k \in \mathbb{R}, \forall f \text{ and } g \in C^{\alpha}([a,b])$, we have

$$\frac{|kf(x) - kf(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha}} \le |k| \cdot C.$$

$$\frac{|(f+g)(x) - (f+g)(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \leqslant \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} + \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \leqslant 2C.$$

Hence, $C^{\alpha}([a,b])$ is a vector space. Next we need to show that the norm is properly defined.

To begin with, $||f||_{\alpha} = 0 \Rightarrow \max\{|f(x)| + |f(x) - f(y)|/|x - y|^{\alpha}\} = 0, \forall x \neq y \in [a, b]$. And this implies that $|f(x)| = 0, \forall x \in [a, b]$, i.e. $f \equiv 0$. Conversely is obvious since $f = 0 \Rightarrow ||f||_{\alpha} = 0$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$||kf||_{\alpha} = \max\{|kf(x)| + |kf(x) - kf(y)|/|x - y|^{\alpha}\} = |k|\max\{|f(x)| + |f(x) - f(y)|/|x - y|^{\alpha}\} = |k|\max\{|f(x)| + |f(x)| + |f(x)|/|x - y|^{\alpha}\} = |k|\max\{|f(x)| + |f(x)| + |f(x)|/|x - y|^{\alpha}\} = |k|\max\{|f(x)| + |f(x)|/|x - y|^{\alpha}\} = |k|\max\{|f(x)|/|x - y|^{\alpha}\} = |k$$

To check the triangle inequality, take $f, g \in C^{\alpha}([a, b])$,

$$||f+g||_{\alpha} = \max \{|f(x)+g(x)|+|f(x)+g(x)-f(y)-g(y)|/|x-y|^{\alpha}\}$$

$$\leq \max \{|f(x)|+|f(x)-f(y)|/|x-y|^{\alpha}+\max \{|g(x)|+|g(x)-g(y)|/|x-y|^{\alpha}\}\}$$

$$\leq ||f||_{\alpha}+||g||_{\alpha}.$$

In conclusion, $(C^{\alpha}([a,b]), ||\cdot||_{\alpha})$ is a normed vector space.

(b) Proof. For any $f \in \overline{B}_{\alpha}(0,1)$, $||f||_{\alpha} \leq 1$, and by definition, it implies $\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| \leq 1$, which is uniformly bounded. And it also yields $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |x - y|^{\alpha}, \forall x, y \in [a,b]$. Then, $f \in C([a,b])$ because $\forall \epsilon > 0$, we can let $\delta = \epsilon^{1/\alpha}$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \delta^{\alpha} = \epsilon$, $\forall |x - y| < \delta$. Hence $\overline{B}_{\alpha}(0,1) \subset C([a,b])$. This also yields that $\overline{B}_{\alpha}(0,1)$ is equicontinuous.

Next we would like to show that for any sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \overline{B}_{\alpha}(0,1)$, it will converge in $\overline{B}_{\alpha}(0,1)$. Note that [a,b] is a compact set on \mathbb{R} and $\{f_n\} \subset \overline{B}_{\alpha}(0,1) \subset C([a,b])$ is uniformly bounded and $\{f_n\}$ is equicontinuous, by Azelà -Ascoli Theorem, we know $\exists \{f_{n_k}\} \subset \{f_n\}$ converges to $\overline{f} \in \overline{B}_{\alpha}(0,1)$. Hence, $\overline{B}_{\alpha}(0,1)$ is compact in $(C([a,b]),||\cdot||_{\infty})$.

Problem 8

Proof. It is enough to show that T is a contraction mapping. Then from Contraction Mapping principle, T has a fixed point.

Suppose $T: X \to X$ is not a contraction mapping. Hence, take two different elements $x, y \in X$, for any 0 < c < 1, $d(T(x), T(y)) \ge cd(x, y)$. Take sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ from X and they both have convergent subsequences $\{x_{n_k}\} \to \bar{x}$ and $\{y_{n_k}\} \to \bar{y}$. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d(T(x_n), T(y_n)) \geqslant (1 - \frac{1}{n})d(x_n, y_n).$$
 (1)

Next ,we need to show that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} T(x_n) = T(\bar{x})$. Indeed, $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists N > 0$ such that $d(T(x_n), T(\bar{x})) < d(x_n, \bar{x}) < \epsilon$. Hence, take limits on both sides of (1) and we get $d(T(\bar{x}), T(\bar{y})) \ge d(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$. This yields a contradiction to the statement.

In conclusion, the claim is proved.

vi

Proof. let $g(x) = \frac{1}{4}e^{f(x)}$. We need to show that $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a contraction map. If so, then there exists a unique $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(x_0) = x_0$, which is the unique solution to the equation.

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) \in [0,1]$. And also, let $h(z) = e^z$, $z \in [0,1]$ and the derivative of h is in [1,e]. Thus, there exists 0 < c < 1, such that

$$|g(x) - g(y)| = \frac{1}{4}|e^{f(x)} - e^{f(y)}| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}\max|h'| \cdot |f(x) - f(y)| \leqslant \frac{e}{4}c|x - y|.$$

Let $c' = \frac{e}{4}c$ and note that $c' \in (0,1)$. Hence, g is a contraction map.

Problem 10

1. Proof. If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$, then the claim is trivial. If not, then we only need to show that there exists a positive β such that

$$\frac{||\alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2||}{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2|} \geqslant \beta.$$

Let function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be $f(c_1, c_2) = ||c_1e_1 + c_2e_2||$ and $\text{dom} f = \{(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 ||c_1| + |c_2| = 1\}$. It is clear that f is continuous on \mathbb{R}^2 and dom f is compact. So f reaches its minimum $K \ge 0$ on dom f. Also, K > 0, because if K = 0 then $c_1 = c_2 = 0$, which leads to a contradiction that $(c_1, c_2) \notin \text{dom} f$. Hence, we have

$$\frac{||\alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2||}{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2|} = \left\| \frac{\alpha_1}{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2|} e_1 + \frac{\alpha_2}{|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2|} e_2 \right\| \geqslant K.$$

And this proves the claim.

2. Proof. Similar to the previous one, only need to show that there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{||\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x_i||}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i|} \geqslant \beta.$$

Let function $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be $g(c) = ||\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e_i||$ and $\mathrm{dom} g = \{c \in \mathbb{R}^n | \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i| = 1\}$. It is clear that g is continuous on \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathrm{dom} g$ is compact. So g reaches its minimum $\kappa \geqslant 0$ on $\mathrm{dom} g$. Also, $\kappa \notin 0$ with the same reason above. Hence, we have

$$\frac{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} e_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\alpha_{i}\right|} = \left\|\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left|\alpha_{i}\right|} e_{i}\right\| \geqslant \kappa.$$

And this proves the claim.