# MA 515 Homework 5

# Zheming Gao

#### November 3, 2017

### Problem 1

Proof. Let  $V = \text{span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ , where  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  are linearly independent elements in V. Then there exists n linearly independent elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$  such that  $T(x_i) = v_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ . The existence promised by the fact that T is a linear operator. Let  $Y_0 = \text{span}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ . Hence,  $\dim(Y_0) = \dim(V) = n$ .

Also,  $\ker(T) \cap Y_0 = \{0\}$ . Indeed, if  $\exists y \neq 0, y \in Y_0$  such that T(y) = 0. Let  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i x_i$ . Then there exists  $\beta_i \neq 0$ . By linearity of T,  $T(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i T(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i v_i \neq 0$  and it yields a contradiction.

Next, we will show  $\ker(T)+Y_0=X$ . Suppose not, for any  $x\in X$ , there exists  $z\notin \ker(T)+Y_0, w\in \ker(T), r\in Y_0$  such that x=z+w+r. Let  $r=\sum_{i=1}^n t_ix_i$ , and  $T(x)=\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha v_i$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i v_i = T(x) = T(z) + T(w) + T(r) = T(z) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i v_i.$$

, which implies that  $T(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i - t_i) v_i$ .

However,  $z \notin \ker(T) + Y_0$  and so  $T(z) \notin \operatorname{span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \subset (\ker(T) + Y_0)$ . Hence, it is a contradiction.

In conclusion,  $\ker(T) + Y_0 = X$  and  $\ker(T) \cap Y_0 = \{0\}$ , and it implies that  $X = \ker(T) \oplus Y_0$ .

# Problem 2

*Proof.* If T is continuous, then the preimage(i.e., ker(T)) of  $\{0\}$  is closed since  $\{0\}$  is closed. Also, ker(T) is a subspace due to the linearity of T.

If  $\ker(T)$  is a closed subspace in X, we need to show that T is continuous, or equivalently, bounded. Since Y is a finite-dimensional space, from the result of problem 1, we know there exists a finite-dimensional subspace  $Y_0 \subset X$  such that  $X = \ker(T) \oplus Y_0$ . Hence, for any  $x \in X$ , there exists  $y \in \ker(T)$ ,  $z \in Y_0$  such that x = y + z.

Also, from  $X = \ker(T) \oplus Y_0$ ,  $\dim(Y_0)$  is finite and  $\ker(T)$  is closed, we know that both projection maps  $\Pi_{\ker}$  and  $\Pi_{Y_0}$  are bounded.  $y = \Pi_{\ker}(x)$ ,  $z = \Pi_{Y_0}(x)$ .

Consider the norm of T. For any  $x \in X$ , by linearity,  $T(x) = T(y) + T(z) = T(z) = T \circ \Pi_{Y_0}(x)$ .

$$||T||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{||T(x)||_{Y}}{||x||_{X}} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{||T \circ \Pi_{Y_{0}}(x)||_{Y}}{||\Pi_{Y_{0}}(x)||_{X}} \frac{||\Pi_{Y_{0}}(x)||_{X}}{||x||_{X}}$$

Since  $\Pi_{Y_0}$  is bounded,  $\frac{\|\Pi_{Y_0}(x)\|_X}{\|x\|_X} < +\infty$  for any  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . Consider linear operator  $T|_{Y_0}: Y_0 \to Y$  and it is bounded since  $\dim(Y_0) < +\infty$ .

$$\sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|T \circ \Pi_{Y_0}(x)\|_Y}{\|\Pi_{Y_0}(x)\|_X} \leqslant \sup_{y \in Y_0 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|T|_{Y_0}(y)\|_Y}{\|y\|_X} < +\infty.$$

Hence,  $||T||_{\infty} < +\infty$  and so T is continuous.

### Problem 3

Proof. Denote the graph of f as  $G(f) := \{(x, f(x)) | x \in X\} \subset X \times Y$ . Let  $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{(x_n, f(x_n))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset G(f)$  that converges to z = (x, y). It is enough to show that y = f(x). Indeed,  $x = \lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n$  and so  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$  due to the continuity of f. Also,  $y = \lim_{n \to +\infty} f(x_n)$ . Hence, y = f(x).

Question: Here we only need X, Y to be metric spaces. We didn't really need completeness. Is it correct?

# Problem 4

(a) *Proof.* Prove by contradiction.

Suppose that f is not continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence, there exists one sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}$  that converges to x, such that a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k\geqslant 1}\subset\{x_n\}_{n\geqslant 1}$ , from which  $\{f(x_{n_k})\}$  doesn't converge to f(x).

Since f is bounded, we know that  $\{f(x_{n_k})\}$  must have a convergent subsequence, denote as  $\{f(x_{n_{k_l}})\}_{l\geqslant 1} \to y$ . Also, we know  $\{x_{n_{k_l}}\} \to x$  and with closeness of G(f), we know y = f(x). This is equivalent to say that  $\{f(x_{n_{k_l}})\}_{l\geqslant 1} \to f(x)$ . It leads to a contradiction to the assumption that  $\{f(x_{n_k})\}$  doesn't converge to f(x).

In conclusion, f is a continuous function.

(b) Let f be the following function,

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

### Problem 5

- Proof.  $\Rightarrow$  If  $T_1$  is compact and  $T_2$  is continuous, then, for any bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}\subset \{x_n\}$  such that  $\{y_{n_k}\}:=\{T_1(x_{n_k})\}$  converges in Y. Denote  $\lim_{k\to+\infty}y_{n_k}=y$ . Since  $T_2$  is continuous,  $T_2(y_{n_k})\to T_2(y)\in Z$ . i.e., for any bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}\subset \{x_n\}$  such that  $\{T_2\circ T_1(x_{n_k})\}$  converges in Z. In conclusion,  $T_2\circ T_1$  is compact.
  - $\Leftarrow$  Conversely, suppose  $T_2$  is compact and  $T_1$  is continuous. Then, for any bounded set  $U \in X$ ,  $T_1(U) = V$  is also a bounded set in Y since  $T_1$  is bounded. By compactness,  $\overline{T_2(V)}$  is compact. Hence, for any bounded set  $U \in X$ ,  $T_2 \circ T_1(U)$  is compact in Z. Hence,  $T_2 \circ T_1$  is compact.

Problem 6

(i) *Proof.* T is linear, bounded and bijective so that  $T^{-1}$  exists and bounded. Note that  $||x|| = ||T^{-1} \circ T(x)||$ . Hence, there exists M > 0, such that

$$\frac{\|x\|}{\|T(x)\|} = \frac{\|T^{-1} \circ T(x)\|}{\|T(x)\|} \leqslant \sup_{y \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|T^{-1}(y)\|}{\|y\|} \leqslant M.$$

Let  $\beta = 1/M$ , then  $||T(x)|| \ge \beta ||x||, \forall x \in X$ .

(ii) *Proof.* From (i) we know that  $T^{-1}$  exists as a linear bounded operator.  $||T^{-1}|| \leq M = 1/\beta$ .

For each  $y \in Y$ , we define a map  $Q_y : X \to X$  such that  $Q_y(x) := T^{-1}(y - \Psi(x))$ , where  $\Psi$  is a bounded linear operator with norm  $\|\Psi\|_{\infty} < \beta$ . It is enough to show that  $Q_y$  is a contraction mapping.

Indeed, for any  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ , we have

$$||Q_{y}(x_{1}) - Q_{y}(x_{2})|| = ||T^{-1}(y - \Psi(x_{1})) - T^{-1}(y - \Psi(x_{2}))|| \le ||T^{-1}||_{\infty} ||y - \Psi(x_{1}) - (y - \Psi(x_{2}))||$$

$$= ||T^{-1}|| ||\Psi(x_{1}) - \Psi(x_{2})||$$

$$\le ||T^{-1}||_{\infty} ||\Psi||_{\infty} ||x_{1} - x_{2}||$$

$$= c||x_{1} - x_{2}||.$$

where  $c = ||T^{-1}||_{\infty} ||\Psi||_{\infty} \in (0,1)$ . This yields that  $Q_y$  is a contraction mapping for each  $y \in Y$ .

Hence, equation  $x = Q_y(x)$  has a unique solution for each  $y \in Y$ .

# Problem 7

(i) Proof. For given  $y \in Y$ , define  $f_y : X \to G(B) \cap (X \times \{y\}) \subset (X \times Y)$ ,  $f_y(x) = B(x, y)$ . Hence,  $f_y$  is linear since B is bilinear. Also, we know that  $X \times Y$  is a Banach space since both X and Y are Banach. By closed graph theorem, it is enough to show that  $G(f_y)$  is closed.

Take a convergent sequence  $\{a_n\} := \{(x_n, f_y(x_n))\} \subset G(f_y)$  and  $a_n \to a = (a_1, a_2)$ . i.e.,  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} x_n = a_1, \lim_{n \to +\infty} f_y(x_n) = a_2$ . We want to show that  $f_y(a_1) = a_2$ . Indeed, since B is continuous at the origin,  $f_y$  is continuous at 0. Also, with the linearity of  $f_y$ ,  $f_y$  is continuous on X.

then the sequence  $\{(x_n, y)\} \to (a_1, y)$ . Hence,  $B(x_n, y) = f_y(x_n)$  converges to  $B(a_1, y)$ , which is  $f_y(a_1)$ . Hence,  $a_2 = f_y(a_1)$ , and so  $G(f_y)$  is closed.

In conclusion,  $f_y$  is bounded for each  $y \in Y$ . Similarly,  $g_x : y \mapsto B(x, y)$  is also bounded for each  $x \in X$ .

(ii)

Problem 8

(i) *Proof.* We need to show that T is bounded. Consider norm  $||T||_{\infty}$ .

$$\|T\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\|f\|_{\infty} = 1} \|T[f]\|_{\infty} = \max\{ \sup_{\|f\|_{\infty} = 1, \atop t \in (0,1]} |T[f](t)|, \sup_{\|f\|_{\infty} = 1} |f(0)|\}.$$

It is clear that  $|\sup_{\|f\|_{\infty}=1} f(0)| < +\infty$ . For the other one,

$$\sup_{\substack{\|f\|_{\infty}=1,\\t\in(0,1]}} |T[f](t)| = \sup_{\substack{\|f\|_{\infty}=1,\\t\in(0,1]}} \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} f(s) ds \right|$$

$$\leq \sup_{\substack{\|f\|_{\infty}=1,\\t\in(0,1]}} \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{t} \int_{0}^{t} ds = 1 < +\infty.$$

Hence,  $||T||_{\infty} < +\infty$ .

(ii) *Proof.* First, we will show T is a one-to-one map. Suppose there exists  $f_1 \neq f_2$ ,  $f_1, f_2 \in X$  such that  $T[f_1] = T[f_2]$ . This is to say that  $\exists ||f_1 - f_2||_{\infty} > 0$  such that  $||T[f_1] - T[f_2]||_{\infty} = 0$ . However,

$$||T[f_1] - T[f_2]||_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |T[f_1](t) - T[f_2](t)|$$

$$= \max \left\{ |f_1(0) - f_2(0)|, \sup_{t \in (0,1]} \left| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f_1(s) - f_2(s) ds \right| \right\} = 0.$$

which implies that  $|f_1(0) - f_2(0)| = 0$ , i.e.  $f_1(0) = f_2(0)$ . It also yields that  $\forall t \in (0,1], \left|\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t f_1(s) - f_2(s)ds\right| = 0$ , i.e.,  $\forall t \in (0,1], \int_0^t f_1(s)ds = \int_0^t f_2(s)ds$ . Take derivative of t on both sides and get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t f_1(s) ds = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t f_2(s) ds \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_1(t) = f_2(t), \forall t \in (0, 1].$$

and this yields a contradiction to the assumption that  $f_1 \neq f_2$ . Hence, T is a one-to-one map.

Next, we will show that T is not an onto map.

Let  $g = T[f], \forall f \in X = C([0,1])$ . Since  $T: X \to X, g \in X$ . We compute  $\frac{d}{dt}g(t)$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt}g(t) = -\frac{1}{t^2} \int_0^t f(s)ds + \frac{1}{t}f(t) = \frac{f(t) - g(t)}{t}. \forall t \in (0, 1).$$

Since f,g are well-defined on [0,1], we know  $\frac{d}{dt}g(t)$  exists on (0,1). Also, notice that  $\frac{f(t)-g(t)}{t}$  is continuous on (0,1). If we take  $g(t)=|t-1/2|,t\in[0,1]$ .  $g\in X$ , but  $\frac{dg}{dt}$  doesn't exist at t=1/2, so there won't be any  $f\in X$  such that T[f]=g.

Hence, T is not a onto map.