

# Building a Bloodbank Database

Nicholas Gordon

University of Memphis

**Abstract** A report on the development and construction of a database suitable for use by a blood bank. Includes discussion of issues faced, conceptual design of the database, and rationale for design decisions. A report on the appropriateness, development, and construction of a database suitable for use by a blood bank. Includes short introduction to databases and competing vendors and web technologies as well. Discussed are the design decisions made, including a discussion of the schema used for the database, as well as the user interface design. Finally, a discussion of the implementation itself is given, including problems faced, analysis of the solution, and future expansions of the solution.

## 1 Introduction

Databases are ubiquitous in computers. They are an extremely powerful tool for organizing data, which is an imperative in computer science; randomness is tantamount to uselessness. Once data is organized, it can be manipulated in powerful ways to derive meaning from data. One useful example of this is a blood bank. In a blood bank, the data are a pool of patients, each with varying blood types, blood-borne diseases, names, addresses, etc. Without organization, the best you could hope to do is keep track of everyone. With organization, you can generate lists of patients to contact for donation, who can donate to some arbitrary patient, or even location-based demographics on frequency of donation, disease distribution, etc.

Now we come to the database itself. We could simply organize these lists by hand, periodically generating all of these metrics, but we can use computers to muscle this data into formats we prefer for us. This is the impetus for this project: generate a database that can be populated with data from a blood bank which will provide to the bank useful meaning from their data. The rest of this report will deal with some knowledge upon which this report is incumbent, such as differing database vendors and web technologies available for interfacing with these databases, the overall design of the database that has been produced, including the structure of the tables and the database itself, and a discussion of the problems faced, the solution presented, and its appropriateness to the problem at hand.

## 2 Related Work

In order to fully understand the decisions made during this project and the design of the database given, some background knowledge needs to be established.

Given that a not-narrow audience is likely to be reading a paper such as this, this explanation is warranted. Databases often are just referred to as “the database” because of their ubiquity, but the truth of the matter is that there are a great many databases that any sysadmin must choose from, each having their own quirks, costs, and intended uses. According to db-engines.com, which uses a variety of metrics to determine database popularity, the five most popular databases in February 2016[1] are, in order:

1. Oracle
2. MySQL
3. Microsoft SQL Server
4. MongoDB
5. PostgreSQL

This list is not linear, however. The top three entries have reasonably close numbers in terms of popularity, but MongoDB and PostgreSQL have only about 30% each of the share of Microsoft SQL Server. Most databases are relational, which means their records are stored in tables or similar, and relate multiple fields together in items called records. These databases are the dominant paradigm, and only MongoDB on this list is not a relational database. MongoDB fits into another paradigm known as document-store database, which effectively are a dictionary that stores complex objects in a key-value system. The differences between these databases largely come down to individual feature support, cost of use, and enterprise-support. Of note is that MySQL, MongoDB, and PostgreSQL are all open-source software and as such are free to use or have a free version available.<UNFINISHED>

### 3 Design

The database itself is described by a schema, which is essentially some logical design of the database stipulating things such as how the tables are interrelated, what tables have which attributes, and how those attributes are constrained. The schema for the table in this database is as follows:

#### **Donor**

Attribute	Constraints
id	serial primary key
lastname	varchar(255) not null
firstname	varchar(255) not null
bloodtype	varchar(3) not null
address	varchar(255)
testsdone	text[]
knowndiseases	text[]
lastdonationdate	date
phone	char(14)

<UNFINISHED>

## **4 Analysis**

<UNFINISHED>

## **5 Conclusion**

<UNFINISHED>

## **References**

1. Db-engines ranking. Online (February 2016), <http://db-engines.com/en/ranking>