# A Network-Based Model of Productivity Growth: From Local Diffusion to Fractal Structure

Gorka Bravo Díaz

April 2025

## Abstract

This paper proposes a network-based model of productivity growth that generalizes and challenges the assumptions of traditional macroeconomic growth frameworks. We allow productivity to evolve heterogeneously across a graph of agents, with spillovers occurring through structured diffusion and innovation driven by node-specific factors. We further extend the model by introducing a fractal, multi-scale interpretation in which nodes themselves may represent recursively defined subnetworks. This approach captures both bottom-up emergence and top-down influence in a single formalism.

# 1 Model Framework

#### 1.1 1. Network Setup

We define a network of N nodes (agents, firms, regions, or countries) with graph structure:

- G = (V, E) where  $V = \{1, ..., N\}$
- Each node *i* has:
  - Productivity  $A_i(t)$
  - Capital  $K_i(t)$
  - Labor  $L_i(t)$

Output follows a Cobb-Douglas form:

$$Y_i(t) = A_i(t)K_i(t)^{\alpha}L_i(t)^{1-\alpha}$$

#### 1.2 2. Productivity Dynamics

Productivity at each node evolves via both local diffusion and endogenous innovation:

$$\frac{dA_i(t)}{dt} = \beta \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} w_{ij} (A_j(t) - A_i(t)) + \psi_i(t) \cdot \gamma_i + \epsilon_i(t)$$

Where:

- $\beta$  is the diffusion rate
- $w_{ij}$  is the weight on the edge between i and j
- $\gamma_i$  is the innovation/absorption potential
- $\psi_i(t) \in [0,1]$  is the institutional effectiveness of node i
- $\epsilon_i(t)$  is a noise or shock term

## 1.3 3. Dynamic Network Topology

Edge weights can evolve over time based on productivity differentials:

$$\frac{dw_{ij}(t)}{dt} = \eta |A_j(t) - A_i(t)| w_{ij}(t) - \delta w_{ij}(t)$$

Where  $\eta$  controls sensitivity to productivity gaps, and  $\delta$  is the decay rate.

#### 1.4 4. Shock Framework

We incorporate three types of shocks:

- Productivity shocks to  $A_i$  directly (e.g., tech breakthroughs)
- Institutional shocks to  $\psi_i(t)$  (e.g., governance failures)
- Network shocks to  $w_{ij}$  (e.g., sanctions, decoupling)

#### 2 Fractal Extension: Multi-Scale Generalization

## 2.1 1. Recursive Graphs by Level

Let l denote the level of scale (e.g., l = 0 for firms, l = 1 for regions, l = 2 for countries). Each level has its own graph:

$$G^{[l]} = (V^{[l]}, E^{[l]})$$

Where nodes at level l+1 are composed of subgraphs at level l.

#### 2.2 2. Layer-Specific Dynamics

At any level l, productivity evolves via:

$$\frac{dA_i^{[l]}(t)}{dt} = \beta^{[l]} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i^{[l]}} w_{ij}^{[l]} (A_j^{[l]} - A_i^{[l]}) + \psi_i^{[l]}(t) \cdot \gamma_i^{[l]} + \xi_i^{[l]}(t)$$

#### 2.3 3. Cross-Level Coupling

Higher-level innovation depends on lower-level aggregation:

$$\gamma_i^{[l+1]} = f\left(\{A_j^{[l]} \mid j \in V_i^{[l]}\}\right)$$

Lower-level institutions may depend on macro context:

$$\psi_{j}^{[l]}(t) = g\left(A_{i}^{[l+1]}, \text{policy}, \text{external conditions}\right)$$

This allows top-down influence and bottom-up emergence to coexist.

# 3 Conclusion

This model allows us to capture localized growth, diffusion, and divergence in a way that traditional macroeconomic models cannot. The fractal extension further permits a unified framework across scales, making it suitable for simulation, policy experimentation, and inequality tracking across nested economic structures.