

Q1

for MoM:

$$\int_0^1 x f(x) dx = \int_0^1 x \theta x^{\theta-1} dx = \theta \int_0^1 x^{\theta} dx = \theta \left(\frac{x^{\theta+1}}{\theta+1} \right) \text{ for } x \text{ 1 to 0}$$

$$\theta \left(\frac{1^{\theta+1}}{\theta+1} \right) = \frac{\theta}{\theta+1} = m_1$$

$$\theta = \frac{m}{1-m}$$

$m = E(x)$, mean

for X we have 0.65 as mean. If we plug 0.65 as m in the theta function we will get 1.85 as the MoM estimator.

for MLE:

$$f(x) = \theta x^{\theta-1}$$

$$\ln f(x) = \ln \theta + (\theta - 1) \ln x$$

$$\ln f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\ln \theta + (\theta - 1) \ln x_i)$$

$$\ln f(x) = n \ln \theta + (\theta - 1) \sum_{i=1}^n (\ln x_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln f(x)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\ln x_i) = 0$$

$$\theta = \frac{-n}{\sum_{i=1}^n (\ln x_i)}$$

If we plug X samples' values to this function we would get $\theta = -1.97$ as the MLE estimator.

Q2

For the inverse function of $f(x) = 2.4x^{1.4}$

$$f^{-1}(x) = \left(\frac{x}{2.4} \right)^{1.4}$$

From our sample set, the values we would get are:

0.054 , 0.143 , 0.214 , 0.253

Q3

Variance of the estimators gets smaller when N increases which is expected since an increase in sample size when taking samples from same interval (P list only contains elements from the inverse function with interval $0 \leq x \leq 1$) will make the variance smaller.

Mean converges to the expected value as N increases since while increasing sample size average value converges to the mean with more and more elements are added.

With the increase of N we can much clearly see which values the estimators converge to. Which are roughly 0.4 and 0.1.

I would prefer the Method of Moments on this experiments since even at small sample sizes , variance is much smaller than what we would get in Maximum Likelihood Method.