A11 for Multi-Agent Robotics — Coordination Framework

Aleksej Dvojnev

Robotics / Multi-Agent Systems / Autonomous Coordination

Technical Report

2026

A11 for Multi-Agent Robotics — Coordination Framework

Author: Aleksej Dvojnev

Category: Robotics / Multi-Agent Systems / Autonomous Coordination

Publication Type: Technical Report

Year: 2026

Annotation

This document presents a coordination framework for multi-agent robotic systems based on structured decision-making principles derived from Algorithm 11 (A11).

The framework enables predictable, scalable, and communication-efficient coordination among heterogeneous robotic agents operating in shared environments.

The model addresses key challenges in multi-agent robotics, including conflict resolution, distributed task allocation, swarm-level behavior, and stability under uncertainty.

Contents

1. Introduction

Multi-agent robotic systems are increasingly used in:

- warehouse automation
- industrial logistics
- drone fleets
- autonomous delivery
- construction robotics
- planetary exploration

These systems require reliable coordination mechanisms that:

- scale to large numbers of agents
- operate under uncertainty
- avoid conflicts and deadlocks
- remain interpretable and certifiable
- do not rely on constant communication

This document introduces a structured coordination framework designed to meet these requirements.

2. Problem Definition

A multi-agent coordination problem occurs when:

- multiple autonomous robots
- operate in a shared environment
- with overlapping goals or paths
- and must avoid interference, conflict, or deadlock

The framework must ensure:

- safety
- scalability
- predictable convergence

- minimal communication requirements
- compatibility with heterogeneous agents

3. Challenges in Multi-Agent Coordination

3.1 Communication Limitations

Not all agents can rely on V2V or shared networks.

3.2 Heterogeneous Capabilities

Different robots may have different:

- speeds
- sensors
- control systems
- decision policies

3.3 Conflict Situations

Agents may block each other in:

- narrow passages
- intersections
- shared workspaces
- resource-limited zones

3.4 Scalability

Coordination must remain stable as the number of agents increases.

3.5 Certifiability

Industrial and safety-critical systems require deterministic behavior.

4. A11-Based Coordination Framework

The framework uses a structured decision-making loop with:

- contextual interpretation

- option generation
- constraint filtering
- priority assignment
- action selection

This ensures:

- deterministic coordination
- interpretable decisions
- reproducible behavior
- compatibility with heterogeneous agents

5. System Architecture

```
┌──────────────────────────────────────────┐
│      Perception & Local Mapping          │
└──────────────────────────────────────────┘
                  │
                  ▼
┌──────────────────────────────────────────┐
│   Local Situation & Conflict Detection   │
└──────────────────────────────────────────┘
                  │
                  ▼
┌──────────────────────────────────────────┐
│  A11 Multi-Agent Coordination Module     │
│  • Context Interpretation                │
│  • Local Option Generation               │
│  • Constraint Filtering                  │
```

```
│ • Priority Assignment        │
│ • Action Selection           │
└──────────────────────────────┘
            │
            ▼
┌──────────────────────────────┐
│       Motion Planning        │
└──────────────────────────────┘
            │
            ▼
┌──────────────────────────────┐
│         Control              │
└──────────────────────────────┘
```

6. Coordination Algorithm

Step 1 — Context Interpretation

Each agent evaluates:

- nearby agents
- predicted trajectories
- available space
- environmental constraints

Step 2 — Local Option Generation

Each agent generates feasible actions:

- proceed
- yield
- slow down
- stop

- lateral adjustment
- reroute

Step 3 — Constraint Filtering

Unsafe or non-viable options are removed based on:

- collision risk
- kinematic limits
- workspace geometry
- task constraints

Step 4 — Priority Assignment

Priority is assigned using:

- minimal-risk principle
- minimal-change principle
- fairness principle
- deadlock-avoidance rule

Step 5 — Action Selection

Each agent selects the action with:

- highest safety score
- highest convergence probability
- lowest deviation from intended task

7. Swarm-Level Behavior Model

The framework supports emergent swarm behavior without centralized control.

7.1 Local Rules

Agents follow:

- local perception
- local constraints
- local priorities

7.2 Global Stability

Despite local rules, the system exhibits:

- global convergence
- conflict-free flow
- predictable patterns

7.3 Scalability

The model scales to:

- dozens
- hundreds
- or thousands of agents

depending on hardware and environment.

8. Example Scenarios

Scenario A — Warehouse Intersection

Multiple AGVs approach a shared crossing.

Outcome:

- priority assigned locally
- no communication required
- no deadlock

Scenario B — Drone Swarm Navigation

Drones navigate through a constrained airspace.

Outcome:

- minimal-change trajectories
- collision-free flow
- stable formation

Scenario C — Construction Robotics

Robots share a workspace with limited maneuvering room.

Outcome:

- conflict-free task execution
- predictable coordination
- safe fallback behavior

9. Integration into Robotic Systems

The framework integrates as a **decision-layer module**:

- above motion planning
- below perception
- independent of control stack
- compatible with ROS2, PX4, MoveIt, and custom systems

Inputs:

- local map
- predicted trajectories
- agent state

Outputs:

- selected action
- priority decision
- safety justification

10. Safety and Stability Considerations

The framework ensures:

- deterministic behavior
- bounded decision time
- monotonic risk reduction
- fallback to safe stop
- no reliance on communication

It is suitable for safety-critical certification.

11. Performance Characteristics

- low computational cost
- deterministic runtime
- robust to perception noise
- scalable to large agent populations
- compatible with heterogeneous robots

12. Extensions

Future extensions include:

- cooperative task allocation
- swarm-level optimization
- V2V-enhanced coordination
- off-Earth multi-robot systems

13. Conclusion

This document presents a structured coordination framework for multi-agent robotic systems.

It provides predictable, scalable, and communication-efficient coordination suitable for industrial, commercial, and research applications.

The framework is based on principles derived from the A11 cognitive architecture.

14. References

1. A11 — Cognitive Architecture Specification https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18536520
2. A11 — Language Specification https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18540045
3. A11 for Autonomous Vehicles — Conflict Resolution Model https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18542117
4. Multi-Agent Robotics Literature

5. Distributed Systems Research