## Fast Computer Vision based Geometry Estimation Bachelor Thesis

**Authors** 

Cédric Renda, Manuel Tischhauser **Supervisor**Prof. Dr. Guido M. Schuster **Subject** 

**Image Processing** 

#### **Abstract**

Introduction

Approach

Conclusion

#### **Contents**

Abbreviations		1
1	Introduction	3
2	Theory  2.1 Camera Calibration in OpenCV	
3	Evaluation	9
4	Development	11
5	Results	13
6	Conclusion	15
Re	eferences	17
Li	st of figures	17
Li	st of tables	19
Sta	atement of Plagiarisms	21
A	Requirements         A.1 Assignment	25 25 26

#### **Abbreviations**

**ASK** Amplitude Shift Keying

#### Introduction

#### **Theory**

This chapter takes a closer look at the theory and technology applied in this thesis.

#### 2.1 Camera Calibration in OpenCV

This section describes the theory behind the calibration process in OpenCV 4.3.0, which is the latest version at the time of writing this thesis.

#### 2.1.1 The pinhole camera model

The functions OpenCV provides to calibrate the camera use the so-called pinhole camera model [1]. This model describes, how a 3D-point specified in world-coordinates ( $P_w$ ) is transformed to a 3D-point in camera-coordinates ( $P_c$ ) and then further projected onto the image plane (p). After this step, the point is described as a 2D-point in pixel coordinates. Figure 2.1 illustrates this setup.

Figure 2.1: Pinhole-model (not up to date).

The transition from the world-coordinates to the camera-coordinates can be described as

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} X_c \\ Y_c \\ Z_c \end{pmatrix}}_{P_c} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{pmatrix}}_{R} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} X_w \\ Y_w \\ Z_w \end{pmatrix}}_{P_w} + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} t_x \\ t_y \\ t_z \end{pmatrix}}_{t}.$$

The vector  $P_w$  is first rotated by R and the translated by t. This can be written in one single matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_c \\ Y_c \\ Z_c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_x \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_y \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_w \\ Y_w \\ Z_w \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad P_c = \begin{pmatrix} R & | & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_w \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.1)

As a result of the theorem of intersecting lines, the projection from  $P_c$  to p is described as

$$\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}}_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} f_x \cdot X_c / Z_c \\ f_y \cdot y_c / Z_c \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} c_x \\ c_y \end{pmatrix}.$$

where  $f_x$  and  $f_y$  are the focal length f (in world units) normalized by their respective pixel size (in world units). Thus  $f_x$  and  $f_y$  are the same, if the pixels are quadratic.

By adding the principal point  $(c_x \ c_y)^T$ , which is usually close to the image center, it is taken into account, that pixel-coordinates are specified with respect to the upper left corner of the image plane. It is now simpler to write this in homogeneous coordinates:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim s \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} f_x & 0 & c_x \\ 0 & f_y & c_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{K} \begin{pmatrix} X_c \\ Y_c \\ Z_c \end{pmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad s \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = K \cdot P_c, \tag{2.2}$$

where s is an arbitrary scaling factor and K is called the camera matrix. The overall transition from world- to pixel-coordinates is the result of combining 2.1 and 2.2:

$$s \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_x & 0 & c_x \\ 0 & f_y & c_y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} & t_x \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} & t_y \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} & t_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X_w \\ Y_w \\ Z_w \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad s \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = K \begin{pmatrix} R & | & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_w \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2.3)

The rotation and translation in  $\begin{pmatrix} R & | & t \end{pmatrix}$  are called the extrinsic parameters. The camera matrix K contains analogously the linear intrinsic parameters.

#### 2.1.2 The distortion model in OpenCV

#### **Radial Distortion**

Radial distortion is caused by the flawed curvature of the lens [2]. It can be modeled with

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'' \\ y'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x' \frac{1+k_1r^2 + k_2r^4 + k_3r^6}{1+k_4r^2 + k_5r^4 + k_6r^6} \\ y' \frac{1+k_1r^2 + k_2r^4 + k_3r^6}{1+k_4r^2 + k_5r^4 + k_6r^6} \end{pmatrix},$$
(2.4)

where x' and y' are coordinates, described in camera-coordinates, normalized with  $Z_c$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_c/Z_c \\ Y_c/Z_c \end{pmatrix}$$

from and r is the radius as taken with respect to the principal point  $\begin{pmatrix} c_x & c_y \end{pmatrix}^T$ 

$$r^2 = x'^2 + y'^2.$$

This type of distortion is symmetrical about the optical axis. Figure 2.2 illustrates the effect on a rectangle.

#### **Tangential distortion**

blabla




Figure 2.2: Different types of radial distortion. Black: no distortion, red:  $k_1 = 10$ ,  $k_2 = 11$ ,  $k_3 = 12$ ,  $k_4 = 5$ ,  $k_5 = 6$ ,  $k_6 = 7$ , blue:  $k_1 = -2.2$ ,  $k_2 = -1.2$ ,  $k_3 = -0.8$ ,  $k_4 = -0.4$ ,  $k_5 = -0.3$ ,  $k_6 = -0.2$ 

#### Thin prism distortion

#### The combined model in OpenCV

Non linear distortions, which appear before the projection in 2.2 should be considered too. OpenCV implements the following model:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'' \\ y'' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x' \frac{1 + k_1 r^2 + k_2 r^4 + k_3 r^6}{1 + k_4 r^2 + k_5 r^4 + k_6 r^6} + 2p_1 x' y' + p_2 (r^2 + 2x'^2) + s_1 r^2 + s_2 r^4 \\ y' \frac{1 + k_1 r^2 + k_2 r^4 + k_3 r^6}{1 + k_4 r^2 + k_5 r^4 + k_6 r^6} + 2p_2 x' y' + p_1 (r^2 + 2y'^2) + s_3 r^2 + s_4 r^4 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2.5)

[1]

In summary, a point in normalized camera-coordinates  $\begin{pmatrix} x' & y' \end{pmatrix}^T$  is distorted as modeled in 2.5, which leads to the point  $\begin{pmatrix} x'' & y'' \end{pmatrix}^T$ . To get the distorted pixel-coordinates (subscript d), the projection has to be applied

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_d \\ v_d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x'' f_x + c_x \\ y'' f_y + c_y \end{pmatrix} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_d \\ v_d \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = K \begin{pmatrix} x'' \\ y'' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which completes the model so far. Keep in mind, that when correcting the distortion, equation 2.5 has to be inverted.

#### **Evaluation**

## **Development**

This chapter covers the developing process in more detail.

#### **Results**

This chapter covers the most important results.

#### **Conclusion**

#### References

- [1] (). Camera Calibration and 3D Reconstruction, [Online]. Available: https://docs.opencv.org/4.3.0/d9/d0c/group\_\_calib3d.html.
- [2] J. Weng, P. Cohen, and M. Herniou, "Camera calibration with distortion models and accuracy evaluation," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 965–980, 1992.

## **List of Figures**

2.1	Pinhole-model (not up to date)	5
2.2	Different types of radial distortion. Black: no distortion, red: $k_1 = 10$ , $k_2 = 11$ ,	
	$k_3 = 12, k_4 = 5, k_5 = 6, k_6 = 7, \text{ blue: } k_1 = -2.2, k_2 = -1.2, k_3 = -0.8,$	
	$k_4 = -0.4, k_5 = -0.3, k_6 = -0.2$	7

#### **List of Tables**

#### **Statement of Plagiarism**

We declare that, apart from properly referenced quotations, this report is our own work and contains no plagiarism; it has not been submitted previously for any other assessed unit on this or other degree courses.

Place Date

Rapperswil April 21, 2020

**Signatures** 

Cedric Renda

Manuel Tischhauser

# Appendix A Requirements

#### A.1 Assignment

#### **A.2** Requirement Specification