

# Strengthening the Assumption of Judah–Repický Preservation Theorem

Tatsuya Goto

September 17, 2025

## Abstract

A preservation theorem of Judah–Repický states that, for countable support iteration of proper forcing of limit length, if every initial segment does not add a dominating real, then the final stage neither does. In this note, we strengthen the assumption of this theorem from proper forcing into Axiom A forcing and simplify the proof.

First we recall the notion of Axiom A from Baumgartner’s text [Bau83].

**Definition 1.** A forcing notion  $(P, \leq)$  is called Axiom A forcing if there is a sequence  $\langle \leq_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  of partially ordering of  $P$  satisfying the following properties.

- (1) For all  $n$ ,  $\leq_{n+1}$  is stronger than  $\leq_n$  and  $\leq_n$  is stronger than  $\leq$ .
- (2) For every sequence  $\langle p_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  satisfying  $p_{n+1} \leq_n p_n$  (for every  $n$ ), there is  $q$  such that  $q \leq_n p_n$  (for every  $n$ ).
- (3) For every antichain  $A \subseteq P$ ,  $p \in P$  and  $n \in \omega$ , there is  $q \leq_n p$  such that the set  $\{r \in A : r \parallel q\}$  is countable.

To handle countable support iterations of Axiom A forcing, the following notion is useful.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\langle P_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha < \delta \rangle$  be a countable support iteration of Axiom A forcing.

For  $p, q \in P_\delta$  and  $F \subseteq \delta$  and  $n \in \omega$ , we define  $q \leq_{F,n} p \iff q \leq p$  and  $\forall \alpha \in F (q \leq_n p)$ .

A sequence  $\langle (p_n, F_n) : n \in \omega \rangle$  is called a fusion sequence if

- (1)  $p_n \in P_\delta$ ,  $F_n \subseteq \delta$  finite.
- (2)  $p_{n+1} \leq_{F_n,n} p_n$ .
- (3)  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \text{supt}(p_n)$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\langle P_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha < \delta \rangle$  be a countable support iteration of Axiom A forcing. Let  $\langle (p_n, F_n) : n \in \omega \rangle$  be a fusion sequence. Then there is a condition  $q$  such that  $q \leq_{F_n,n} p_n$  for all  $n$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\langle P_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha < \delta \rangle$  be a countable support iteration of Axiom A forcing. Let  $F \subseteq \delta$  be a finite set and  $n \in \omega$ . Let  $p \in P_\delta$  and  $\dot{a}$  be a  $P_\delta$ -name such that  $P_\delta \Vdash \dot{a} \in V$ . Then there is  $q \leq_{F,n} p$  and a countable set  $x$  such that  $q \Vdash \dot{a} \in x$ .

For  $x, y \in \omega^\omega$ , we define  $x <^\infty y$  iff there exist infinitely many  $n$  such that  $x(n) < y(n)$ .

Now that we are prepared, we state the main theorem modified from Judah–Repický Preservation Theorem [JR95], whose assumption is strengthened but the proof is simplified.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\langle P_\alpha, \dot{Q}_\alpha : \alpha < \delta \rangle$  be a countable support iteration of Axiom A forcing where  $\delta$  is a limit ordinal. Assume that  $P_\alpha \Vdash \forall x \exists y \in V \ x <^\infty y$  for any  $\alpha < \delta$ . Then  $P_\delta$  also forces it.

*Proof.* Let  $\dot{x}$  be a  $P_\delta$ -name and  $p \in P_\delta$ .

We create a fusion sequence  $\langle p_n, F_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  inductively.

Suppose we have constructed  $p_n$  and  $F_n$ . Put  $\gamma = \max F_n$ . Take a sequence  $\langle \dot{q}_n^m : m \in \omega \rangle$  of  $P_\gamma$ -names and a  $P_\gamma$ -name  $\dot{y}_n$  such that:

$$p_n \restriction \gamma \Vdash \langle \dot{q}_n^m : m \in \omega \rangle \text{ interprets } \dot{x} \text{ as } \dot{y}_n.$$

By the assumption, we can take a  $P_\gamma$ -name  $\dot{z}_n$  such that

$$p_n \restriction \gamma \Vdash “\dot{y}_n <^\infty \dot{z}_n \text{ and } \dot{z}_n \in V”.$$

By the property of Axiom A, we can take  $q_n \leq_{F_n, n} p_n \restriction \gamma$  and a countable set  $A_n$  such that

$$q_n \Vdash \dot{z}_n \in A_n.$$

Let  $A_n = \{w_n^l : l \in \omega\}$ . Take a name  $\dot{m}_n$  of a natural number such that

$$q_n \Vdash “\dot{z}_n = w_n^l \rightarrow (\dot{y}_n(\dot{m}_n) < \dot{z}_n(\dot{m}_n) \text{ and } \dot{m}_n \geq n + l)”.$$

Take  $\dot{r}_n$  such that

$$q_n \Vdash \dot{r}_n = \dot{q}_n^{\dot{m}_n}.$$

Put  $p_{n+1} = q_n \cup \dot{r}_n$ . Then we have

$$p_{n+1} \Vdash \dot{x}(\dot{m}_n) < \dot{z}_n(\dot{m}_n)$$

Set  $F_{n+1}$  by a bookkeeping fashion to satisfy  $\bigcup_n F_n = \bigcup_n \text{supt}(p_n)$  finally.

This finishes the construction of the fusion sequence. Finally, let  $p$  be the limit condition of  $\langle p_n, F_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  and let  $u \in \omega^\omega$  be a real dominating all elements in  $\{w_n^l : l, n \in \omega\}$ , in particular

$$\forall l, n \ \forall m \geq l + n \ (w_n^l(m) < u(m)).$$

Then we have for all  $n$ ,

$$p \Vdash \dot{x}(\dot{m}_n) < u(\dot{m}_n) \text{ and } \dot{m}_n > n.$$

□

## References

- [Bau83] James E. Baumgartner. “Iterated forcing”. *Surveys in set theory* 87 (1983), pp. 1–59.
- [JR95] Haim Judah and Miroslav Repický. “No random reals in countable support iterations”. *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 92.1 (1995), pp. 349–359.