

# Fractional Knapsack Problem using Greedy Approach

## Problem Scenario :

A thief is robbing a store and can carry a maximal weight of  $W$  into his knapsack. There are  $n$  items available in the store and weight of  $i^{th}$  item is  $w_i$  and its profit is  $p_i$ . What items should the thief take?

In this context, the items should be selected in such a way that the thief will carry those items for which he will gain maximum profit. Hence, the objective of the thief is to maximize the profit.

Based on the nature of the items, Knapsack problems are categorized as

- Fractional Knapsack
- 0 1 Knapsack

## Fractional Knapsack

In this case, items can be broken into smaller pieces, hence the thief can select fractions of items.

According to the problem statement,

- There are  $n$  items in the store
- Weight of  $i^{th}$  item  $w_i > 0$
- Profit for  $i^{th}$  item  $p_i > 0$  and
- Capacity of the Knapsack is  $W$

In this version of Knapsack problem, items can be broken into smaller pieces. So, the thief may take only a fraction  $x_i$  of  $i^{th}$  item.

$$0 \leq x_i \leq 1$$

The  $i^{th}$  item contributes the weight  $x_i \cdot w_i$  to the total weight in the knapsack and profit  $x_i \cdot p_i$  to the total profit.

Hence, the objective of this algorithm is to

$$\text{maximize } \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \cdot p_i)$$

subject to constraint,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \cdot w_i) \leq W$$

It is clear that an optimal solution must fill the knapsack exactly, otherwise we could add a fraction of one of the remaining items and increase the overall profit.

Thus, an optimal solution can be obtained by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \cdot w_i) = W$$

In this context, first we need to sort those items according to the value of  $p_i/w_i$ , so that  $p_{i+1}/w_{i+1} \leq p_i/w_i$ . Here,  $x$  is an array to store the fraction of items.

**Algorithm: Greedy-Fractional-Knapsack** ( $w[1..n]$ ,  $p[1..n]$ ,  $W$ )

```

for i = 1 to n
  do  $x[i] = 0$ 
weight = 0
profit = 0
for i = 1 to n
  if weight +  $w[i] \leq W$  then
     $x[i] = 1$ 
    weight = weight +  $w[i]$ 
    profit = profit +  $p[i]$ 
  else
     $x[i] = (W - \text{weight}) / w[i]$ 
    weight =  $W$ 
    profit = profit +  $p[i] * x[i]$ 
  break

```

→ Array having profits of items  
 → Array having weights of items  
 → Array having parts of taken items  
 Knapsack Capacity

$$(60 - 50) / 20 = \frac{10}{20} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$380 + 120 \times \frac{1}{2} = 380 + 60 = 440$$

## Analysis

If the provided items are already sorted into a decreasing order of  $p_i/w_i$ , then the loop takes a time in  $O(n)$ ; Therefore, the total time including the sort is in  $O(n \log n)$ .

## Example

Let us consider that the capacity of the knapsack  $W = 60$  and the list of provided items are shown in the following table –

Item	A	B	C	D
Profit	280	100	120	120
Weight	40	10	20	24
Ratio ( $p_i/w_i$ )	7	10	6	5

As the provided items are not sorted based on  $p_i/w_i$ . After sorting, the items are as shown in the following table.

Item	B	A	C	D
Profit	100	280	120	120
Weight	10	40	20	24
Ratio ( $p_i/w_i$ )	10	7	6	5

Solution

After sorting all the items according to  $p_i/w_i$ . First all of **B** is chosen as weight of **B** is less than the capacity of the knapsack. Next, item **A** is chosen, as the available capacity of the knapsack is greater than the weight of **A**. Now, **C** is chosen as the next item. However, the whole item cannot be chosen as the remaining capacity of the knapsack is less than the weight of **C**.

Hence, fraction of **C** (i.e.  $(60 - 50)/20$ ) is chosen.

Now, the capacity of the Knapsack is equal to the selected items. Hence, no more item can be selected.

The total weight of the selected items is  $10 + 40 + 20 * (10/20) = 60$

And the total profit is  $100 + 280 + 120 * (10/20) = 380 + 60 = 440$

This is the optimal solution. We cannot gain more profit selecting any different combination of items.

W=60  
B → 10 ✓  
↳ 100

A → 40 ✓  
↳ 280

C → 10 ✓  
↳ 60

440  
↓  
Total profit