Report for NLP - CSE 628 - HW3 - Gourab Bhattacharyya - 170048888

1 Configuration and experiment details (Code in DependencyParser.py in 'forward_pass()' module):

Configuration	Updated files	Loss values	Accuracy
Default configuration with cube non-	DependencyParser.py ParsingSystem.py	Average loss at step 0 : 4.51575613022	
linearity (Best Configuration)		Average loss at step 100 : 2.2349341011	
		Average loss at step 200 : 1.11413907409	Testing on dev set at step 200 UAS: 43.7295909465 UASnoPunc: 47.0553326174 LAS: 35.1895705063 LASnoPunc: 38.3484994065 UEM: 1.47058823529 UEMnoPunc: 1.47058823529 ROOT: 8.23529411765
		Average loss at step 300 : 0.786763839722	
		Average loss at step 400: 0.621368857622	Testing on dev set at step 400 UAS: 53.5683126854 UASnoPunc: 57.3164528345 LAS: 47.3066281128 LASnoPunc: 50.8619227943 UEM: 2.82352941176
			UEMnoPunc: 2.88235294118 ROOT: 19.0
		Average loss at step 500 : 0.527416317463	
		Average loss at step 600 : 0.463749989867	Testing on dev set at step 600 UAS: 67.4950769001 UASnoPunc: 70.6409314418 LAS: 62.5246154997 LASnoPunc: 65.4411349121
			UEM: 8.35294117647 UEMnoPunc: 8.88235294118 ROOT: 53.8823529412
		Average loss at step 700 : 0.425270348489	

		Average loss at step 800 : 0.389575449526	Testing on dev set at step 800 UAS: 69.9753221826 UASnoPunc: 73.0373594077 LAS: 65.4859535858 LASnoPunc: 68.2699372633 UEM: 10.4117647059 UEMnoPunc: 11.1764705882 ROOT: 58.3529411765
		Average loss at step 900 : 0.372784814835	
		Average loss at step 1000 : 0.346725863516	Testing on dev set at step 1000 UAS: 71.4136151756 UASnoPunc: 74.4475216187 LAS: 67.2682403968 LASnoPunc: 69.9683490646 UEM: 11.5882352941
			UEMnoPunc: 12.4117647059 ROOT: 59.9411764706
With sigmoid non-linearity	DependencyParser.py	Average loss at step 0 : 5.18698453903	
		Average loss at step 100 : 2.39680196524	
		Average loss at step 200 : 2.15802170992	Testing on dev set at step 200 UAS: 16.4668345091 UASnoPunc: 16.2889278246 LAS: 7.12914724431 LASnoPunc: 7.69230769231 UEM: 0.588235294118 UEMnoPunc: 0.588235294118 ROOT: 2.58823529412
		Average loss at step 300 : 1.95886603832	
		Average loss at step 400 : 1.76847328544	Testing on dev set at step 400 UAS: 18.1992671436 UASnoPunc: 18.4479737749 LAS: 8.64222150211 LASnoPunc: 9.58853783982 UEM: 0.647058823529 UEMnoPunc: 0.647058823529 ROOT: 2.64705882353
		Average loss at step 500 : 1.62100197911	
		Average loss at step 600 : 1.49606019855	Testing on dev set at step 600 UAS: 30.6129571005

			UASnoPunc: 32.7332843497 LAS: 18.6903307825 LASnoPunc: 21.0224382524 UEM: 1.05882352941 UEMnoPunc: 1.05882352941 ROOT: 3.17647058824
		Average loss at step 700 : 1.40109686017	
		Average loss at step 800 : 1.31042621255	Testing on dev set at step 800 UAS: 40.3444923598 UASnoPunc: 43.8845871249 LAS: 27.2228730962 LASnoPunc: 30.4385915334 UEM: 1.41176470588 UEMnoPunc: 1.41176470588 ROOT: 5.35294117647
		Average loss at step 900 : 1.23885253072	
		Average loss at step 1000 : 1.16462132931	Testing on dev set at step 1000 UAS: 43.0216616397 UASnoPunc: 46.8999039168 LAS: 31.8119500461 LASnoPunc: 35.3275306618 UEM: 1.47058823529 UEMnoPunc: 1.47058823529 ROOT: 6.11764705882
1464 5 1			
With Relu non- linearity	DependencyParser.py	Average loss at step 0: 4.48135995865	
		Average loss at step 100 : 2.1972854805	
		Average loss at step 200 : 1.54496123672	Testing on dev set at step 200 UAS: 31.6225041753 UASnoPunc: 33.6093370259 LAS: 21.0284916619 LASnoPunc: 23.447125982
			UEM: 1.11764705882 UEMnoPunc: 1.11764705882 ROOT: 3.52941176471
		Average loss at step 300 : 1.22418649316	
		Average loss at step 400 : 1.01173090756	Testing on dev set at step 400 UAS: 43.9688909938 UASnoPunc: 47.3492341604 LAS: 33.7338285515 LASnoPunc: 36.949641101

			UEM: 1.82352941176 UEMnoPunc: 1.94117647059 ROOT: 9.05882352941
		Average loss at step 500 : 0.881880100965	
		Average loss at step 600 : 0.771893889308	Testing on dev set at step 600 UAS: 51.9605154922 UASnoPunc: 55.3043576556 LAS: 43.550115911 LASnoPunc: 46.3997060985
			UEM: 3.35294117647 UEMnoPunc: 3.41176470588 ROOT: 27.5882352941
		Average loss at step 700 : 0.703143923283	
		Average loss at step 800 : 0.63053144753	Testing on dev set at step 800 UAS: 57.5391978463 UASnoPunc: 60.7980557283 LAS: 50.4449485256 LASnoPunc: 53.3035663822
			UEM: 4.11764705882 UEMnoPunc: 4.35294117647 ROOT: 37.8235294118
		Average loss at step 900 : 0.592157555819	
		Average loss at step 1000 : 0.540369702578	Testing on dev set at step 1000 UAS: 62.0335518608 UASnoPunc: 65.3902673374 LAS: 56.0360944238 LASnoPunc: 58.9894308484
			UEM: 5.47058823529 UEMnoPunc: 5.47058823529 ROOT: 44.6470588235
With tanh non-	DependencyParser.py	Average loss at step 0:	
linearity	Борениенсуг агаег.ру	4.58066225052	
		Average loss at step 100 : 2.03260846138	
		Average loss at step 200 : 1.46153076768	Testing on dev set at step 200 UAS: 39.4296682205 UASnoPunc: 42.6891991183 LAS: 25.9939676446

	LASnoPunc: 28.3643248742
	LASHOF UNC. 20.3043240742
	UEM: 1.52941176471 UEMnoPunc: 1.58823529412 ROOT: 11.2941176471
Average loss at step 300 : 1.17652178168	
Average loss at step 400 : 0.990951049924	Testing on dev set at step 400 UAS: 49.7569608894 UASnoPunc: 52.4359916351 LAS: 39.2576713114 LASnoPunc: 40.9483976714
	UEM: 3.05882352941 UEMnoPunc: 3.23529411765 ROOT: 39.0
Average loss at step 500 : 0.864987668991	
Average loss at step 600 : 0.770465891957	Testing on dev set at step 600 UAS: 55.1262557021 UASnoPunc: 58.2122873453 LAS: 46.5812498442 LASnoPunc: 49.0137342452
	UEM: 3.76470588235 UEMnoPunc: 4.0 ROOT: 38.6470588235
Average loss at step 700 : 0.698352285624	
Average loss at step 800 : 0.640565508008	Testing on dev set at step 800 UAS: 59.2068200513 UASnoPunc: 62.4455999548 LAS: 52.207293666 LASnoPunc: 54.9963262307
	UEM: 4.47058823529 UEMnoPunc: 4.58823529412 ROOT: 43.2352941176
Average loss at step 900 : 0.59782643795	
Average loss at step 1000 : 0.552508433759	Testing on dev set at step 1000 UAS: 59.9471545729 UASnoPunc: 63.3612163002 LAS: 53.8001346063 LASnoPunc: 56.8812524727
	UEM: 4.76470588235

			UEMnoPunc: 4.82352941176 ROOT: 40.3529411765
With 2 hidden layers. 1 - With cube	DependencyParser.py	Average loss at step 0 : 4.51270771027	
and 2 – with tanh non- linearity		Average loss at step 100 : 2.00896693587	
		Average loss at step 200 : 1.08066220343	Testing on dev set at step 200 UAS: 20.0912331431 UASnoPunc: 21.78827785 LAS: 0.902360595259 LASnoPunc: 0.997569660318
			UEM: 0.470588235294 UEMnoPunc: 0.470588235294 ROOT: 1.17647058824
		Average loss at step 300 : 0.766031338573	
		Average loss at step 400 : 0.610648165345	Testing on dev set at step 400 UAS: 16.9254929332 UASnoPunc: 18.1879839485 LAS: 0.897375177606 LASnoPunc: 1.00887356582
			UEM: 0.588235294118 UEMnoPunc: 0.588235294118 ROOT: 2.52941176471
		Average loss at step 500 : 0.52376113236	
		Average loss at step 600 : 0.464983358085	Testing on dev set at step 600 UAS: 15.3849988783 UASnoPunc: 16.4302266433 LAS: 0.987112695366 LASnoPunc: 1.10778273894
			UEM: 0.529411764706 UEMnoPunc: 0.529411764706 ROOT: 1.88235294118
		Average loss at step 700 : 0.426696145535	
		Average loss at step 800 : 0.393317946196	Testing on dev set at step 800 UAS: 15.4722436872 UASnoPunc: 16.3963149268 LAS: 1.03447416307 LASnoPunc: 1.13604250268

		Average loss at step 900 : 0.376706490517 Average loss at step 1000 : 0.352690368891	UEM: 0.823529411765 UEMnoPunc: 0.823529411765 ROOT: 1.58823529412 Testing on dev set at step 1000 UAS: 14.8166612658 UASnoPunc: 15.6728649748 LAS: 1.10427001022 LASnoPunc: 1.22082179393 UEM: 0.823529411765 UEMnoPunc: 0.823529411765 ROOT: 1.94117647059
With 3 hidden layers. 1 - With cube, 2 – with relu and 3 - with tanh non-linearity	DependencyParser.py	Average loss at step 0: 4.44890880585 Average loss at step 100: 2.08809595823 Average loss at step 200: 1.13550832093	Testing on dev set at step 200 UAS: 14.8789789865 UASnoPunc: 15.2828802351
			LAS: 0.620684497844 LASnoPunc: 0.703668117335 UEM: 0.705882352941 UEMnoPunc: 0.705882352941 ROOT: 8.52941176471
		Average loss at step 300 : 0.7579912889	
		Average loss at step 400 : 0.595848079324	Testing on dev set at step 400 UAS: 16.1402896528 UASnoPunc: 16.5404397219 LAS: 0.418775082883 LASnoPunc: 0.474764030973 UEM: 0.823529411765 UEMnoPunc: 0.882352941176
		Average loss at step 500 :	ROOT: 5.05882352941
		0.511559298038	
		Average loss at step 600 : 0.450352653563	Testing on dev set at step 600 UAS: 17.5835680634 UASnoPunc: 18.0834228226 LAS: 0.438716753496 LASnoPunc: 0.488893912847

			UEM: 0.764705882353 UEMnoPunc: 0.823529411765 ROOT: 5.76470588235
		Average loss at step 700 : 0.415178941488	
		Average loss at step 800 : 0.382003716528	Testing on dev set at step 800 UAS: 18.9495725004 UASnoPunc: 19.677273498 LAS: 0.51848343595 LASnoPunc: 0.584977109591
			UEM: 0.705882352941 UEMnoPunc: 0.823529411765 ROOT: 6.70588235294
		Average loss at step 900 : 0.364776985049	
		Average loss at step 1000 : 0.343047633469	Testing on dev set at step 1000 UAS: 20.2657227609 UASnoPunc: 21.1919968349 LAS: 0.53343968891 LASnoPunc: 0.593455038716
			UEM: 0.823529411765 UEMnoPunc: 0.941176470588 ROOT: 5.64705882353
Without using	DependencyParser.py	Average loss at step 0:	
gradient clipping	Dependency arser.py	4.61847877502	
		Average loss at step 100 : 2.21099841356	
		Average loss at step 200 : 1.58685922503	Testing on dev set at step 200 UAS: 35.3914799212 UASnoPunc: 38.3626292884 LAS: 22.4518284019 LASnoPunc: 24.4814333352
			UEM: 1.35294117647 UEMnoPunc: 1.35294117647 ROOT: 11.1176470588
		Average loss at step 300 : 1.26422503352	
		Average loss at step 400 : 1.04455502331	Testing on dev set at step 400 UAS: 48.0968168108 UASnoPunc: 50.8647487707 LAS: 37.2460552883

		LASnoPunc: 39.0295597129
		UEM: 2.70588235294 UEMnoPunc: 2.94117647059 ROOT: 34.0
	Average loss at step 500 : 0.905504993796	
	Average loss at step 600: 0.791361171007	Testing on dev set at step 600 UAS: 52.7556896079 UASnoPunc: 55.5106539309 LAS: 44.5521848593 LASnoPunc: 46.6031763974 UEM: 3.35294117647 UEMnoPunc: 3.82352941176
	A	ROOT: 42.7647058824
	Average loss at step 700 : 0.717938861847	
	Average loss at step 800 : 0.645456998348	Testing on dev set at step 800 UAS: 59.3464117456 UASnoPunc: 62.4512519075 LAS: 52.7357479373 LASnoPunc: 55.3580512067 UEM: 4.41176470588 UEMnoPunc: 4.76470588235
		ROOT: 47.0588235294
	Average loss at step 900 : 0.609607232809	
	Average loss at step 1000 : 0.553272984326	Testing on dev set at step 1000 UAS: 62.203056061 UASnoPunc: 65.2263607076 LAS: 56.6493007952 LASnoPunc: 59.2748544622 UEM: 5.17647058824
		UEMnoPunc: 5.52941176471 ROOT: 52.0588235294

2 What is Gradient Clipping and why it is required?:

In case of multi-layered neural network, the propagation happens in forward and backward mode. In case of backward propagation, if the gradients of the loss function get multiplied with numbers less than one

then there is a chance of the gradients to be vanished this is called vanishing gradient problem. Also, if the gradients get multiplied with numbers greater than one then there is possibility for exploding gradients also. This is when they get exponentially large and create a situation called exploding gradients. Gradient clipping helps to clip the gradients between two numbers to prevent them from getting too large.

I have configured the layered neural network and captured the result which can be seen in the above table (last row).

3 Findings and observations:

From the table above with experiment result with different configuration its observable that we got higher accuracy and lower loss with cube, Relu and tanh non-linearity and with one single hidden layer. But with sigmoid non-linearity and single hidden layer, we didn't observe much increment of the accuracy value.

If we increase the #of hidden layers with and use any non-linearity like cube or Relu or tanh, we start seeing a significant decrease in the accuracy even though the loss is not much increasing.

Without gradient clipping, I observed moderate loss value and accuracy.

4 Best Configuration:

Out of all the experiments, I have seen the best result in terms of less loss value and more accuracy with cube non-linearity and with single hidden layer (can be found in DependencyParser.py in forward_pass() module). I have used the default configuration given in paper and used the configuration parameters provided in the Config.py

```
#Testing Default with cube non-linearity
z1 = tf.add(tf.matmul(weights_input, tf.transpose(embed)), biases_input)
h = tf.pow(z1, 3)
pred = tf.add(tf.matmul(weights_output, h), self.b2)
          Config.py No Selection
맮
    UNKNOWN = "UNK"
    ROOT = "ROOT"
 2
    NULL = "NULL"
    NONEXIST = -1
 4
 5
    max_iter = 1001
 7
    batch_size = 10000
    hidden_size = 200
 8
 9
    embedding_size = 50
 10 learning_rate = 0.1
 11
    display_step = 100
    validation_step = 200 #validation_step = 200
 13 \quad n_{\text{Tokens}} = 48
 14 \quad lam = 1e-8
```

Loss Computed using Best Configuration:

Average loss at step 0: 4.51575613022

Average loss at step 100: 2.2349341011

Average loss at step 200: 1.11413907409

Average loss at step 300: 0.786763839722

Average loss at step 400: 0.621368857622

Average loss at step 500: 0.527416317463

Average loss at step 600: 0.463749989867

Average loss at step 700: 0.425270348489

Average loss at step 800: 0.389575449526

Average loss at step 900: 0.372784814835

Average loss at step 1000: 0.346725863516

Accuracy Computed using Best Configuration:

Testing on dev set at step 1000

UAS: 71.4136151756

UASnoPunc: 74.4475216187

LAS: 67.2682403968

LASnoPunc: 69.9683490646

UEM: 11.5882352941

UEMnoPunc: 12.4117647059 ROOT: 59.9411764706