

Well posedness of PDEs :-

- One and Unique Solution exists for the PDE.
- The solution depends on initial values and boundary conditions.

Is it relevant?

- If PDE is not well posed then there is missing or incorrect physics.

Navier-Stokes

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \cdot \vec{u} - \nu \nabla^2 \vec{u} = -\nabla h$$

- No Mathematical Proof of Pointwise Solution - in general.
- Weak solution exists (Not known if unique)
- Not sure if — i) we might be missing some physics
- ii) we might be using wrong laws

- If the PDE is not well posed, it might not have a solution!

Norms a.k.a. Distance

For real numbers, a_1 & a_2

$$d_{12} = |a_1 - a_2|$$

$$l_{12} = \frac{|a_1 - a_2|}{\sqrt{1 + a_1^2 a_2^2}}$$

For (x_1, y_1) & (x_2, y_2)

$$d = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$$

$$d = \sqrt[3]{(x_1 - x_2)^3 + (y_1 - y_2)^3}$$

For functions $f(\vec{r})$, $g(\vec{r})$

These 'distances'
are known as
norms

1) $\|f - g\|_{L_2}$

2) $\|f - g\|_{L_3}$

$$d_{12} = \left[\int_0^1 (f(u) - g(u))^2 du \right]^{1/2}$$

$$d_{12} = \left[\int_0^1 (f(u) - g(u))^3 du \right]^{1/3}$$

For FEA

Q1)

WEAK FORMULATION
- WELL POSED?

A: — I) Lax - Milgram $a \rightarrow$ continuous

for $a(u, v) = L(v)$

if $a(u, u) \geq \alpha \|u\|^2 \quad \forall u$

Key Question: — Is the PDE Coercive?

$u \in V \rightarrow V$ is a Hilbert Space

$u \in W \rightarrow$ Reflexive Banach space
 $v \in V \rightarrow$ Banach space

II) inf-sup Condition

for $a(u, v) = L(v)$

$\exists \alpha$

$$\alpha \|w\|_W = \sup_V \frac{a(w, u)}{\|u\|_V}$$

Key Question: — Does the PDE satisfy inf-sup condition?

Also known as

BNB Theorem

\rightarrow Banach - Necas - Babuska Theorem

Q2 >

WEAK FORMULATION in FINITE - ELEMENT BASIS

*) Finite Element Spaces are finite dimensional

$$V \longrightarrow V_h$$

$$W \longrightarrow W_h$$

$$a(\cdot, \cdot) \longrightarrow a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$$

$$L(\cdot) \longrightarrow L_h(\cdot)$$

We need BNB for finite dimensional case.

\approx $a_h \rightarrow$ (becomes matrix a)
 \rightarrow being invertible.

Ideas

Conformity $W_h \subset W$
 $V_h \subset V$

Approximability $\forall w \in W$
 $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\inf_{w_h \in W_h} \|w - w_h\|_{W(h)} \right) = 0$

Consistency $a_h(u, v_h) = f_h(v_h)$
 $\forall v_h \in V_h$

Asymptotic
consistency

i) a_h is uniformly bounded on $W_h \times V_h$

(interpolation operator) $\exists \Pi_h : W \rightarrow W_h$

$$\| \Pi_h w - w \|_{W(h)}$$

(There is a state of minimum distance)

$$\leq C \inf_{w_h \in W_h} \| w - w_h \|_{W(h)}$$

$$R_h(u) = \sup_{v_h \in V_h} \left| \frac{f_h(v_h) - a_h(\Pi_h u, v_h)}{\|v_h\|_{V_h}} \right|$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} R_h(u) = 0$$

. There is a state of minimum distance that satisfies the functional equation as $h \rightarrow 0$.

$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \Pi_h w$ might not lie in W .

Q3 >

APPROXIMATION?

Non consistent - • Finite quadratures
for integrals

nonconformal - a_h, L_h not
properly defined
in W, V

ERROR ANALYSIS

Basic Idea : $\|u - u_h\| < E_h(u, u_h)$

if $h \rightarrow 0$ & $E_h(u, u_h) \rightarrow 0$

$$\Rightarrow u = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} u_h$$

Basic Theorem

i) Finite BNB Theorem holds
Finite Element Problem is wellposed.
BNB arg

ii) a_h is uniformly bounded

There is a maximum for
 $|a_h|$

iii) asymptotically consistent

as $h \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{|a_h(u_h, v_h) - L_h(v_h)|}{|v_h|} \rightarrow 0$$

iv) Approximability

as $h \rightarrow 0$

we can find u_h s.t.

$$\|u - u_h\| \rightarrow 0$$

$$\|u - u_h\|_{w(h)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_h} R_h(u) + c \inf_{u_h \in W_h} \|u - u_h\|_{w(h)}$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$

$$\|u - u_h\|_{w(h)} \rightarrow 0$$

When Things are Non-consistent, Non-conformal
then There is a Minimum Error.

Non-consistent - u is not a solution
of a_h

Non-conformal - $W_h - W \neq \emptyset$

There are Theorems that give an error estimate -

i) Non-consistent & Nonconformal
2nd Strang Lemma

ii) Non-consistent & conformal

g:- $\cdot a_h(u_h, \dots)$ involves
point values of u_h

• unable to perform a_h for
some w in W .

1st Strang Lemma.