Tutorial 1 and Tutorial 2

Sudakshina Dutta

IIT Goa

27th February, 2022

Tutorial 1

Write regular expression for all strings with no repeated digit

Tutorial 1

Write regular expression for all strings with no repeated digit

► 0?1?2?3?4?5?6?7?8?9? | 1?0?2?3?4?5?6?7?8?9? | · · ·

Tutorial 1

All strings of digits with at most one repeated digits

The following is a grammar for regular expressions over symbols a and b only, using + in place of j for union, to avoid conflict with the use of vertical bar as a metasymbol in grammars:

- ightharpoonup rexpr + rterm|rterm|
- rterm → rterm rfactor rfactor
- rfactor → rfactor * |rprimary
- ightharpoonup rprimary ightarrow a b
- 1. Left factor this grammar.
- 2. Does left factoring make the grammar suitable for top-down parsing ?
- 3. In addition to left factoring, eliminate left recursion from the original grammar.
- 4. Is the resulting grammar suitable for top-down parsing?

The following is a grammar for regular expressions over symbols a and b only, using + in place of j for union, to avoid conflict with the use of vertical bar as a metasymbol in grammars:

- ightharpoonup rexpr + rterm|rterm|
- rterm → rterm rfactor rfactor
- rfactor → rfactor * |rprimary
- ightharpoonup rprimary ightarrow a|b
- 1. Left factor this grammar.
 - The same grammar is generated

We don't have the Production of the Form: A -> @ (B1) | @ (B2)

The following is a grammar for regular expressions over symbols a and b only, using + in place of j for union, to avoid conflict with the use of vertical bar as a metasymbol in grammars:

- ightharpoonup rexpr + rterm|rterm|
- rterm → rterm rfactor rfactor
- ightharpoonup rfactor * | rprimary |
- ightharpoonup rprimary ightarrow a b
- Does left factoring make the grammar suitable for top-down parsing? No

The following is a grammar for regular expressions over symbols a and b only, using + in place of j for union, to avoid conflict with the use of vertical bar as a metasymbol in grammars:

- ightharpoonup rexpr + rterm rterm
- ► rterm → rterm rfactor rfactor
- rfactor → rfactor * |rprimary
- ightharpoonup rprimary
 ightarrow a|b|
- In addition to left factoring, eliminate left recursion from the original grammar.

Don't get confused. Here + is normal Addition.

Lis OR as usual

- ightharpoonup rexpr ightharpoonup rterm rexpr'
- rexpr' \rightarrow +rterm rexpr' $|\epsilon$
- rterm → rfactor rterm'
- rteriii → Hactor Heriii
- rterm' ightarrow rfactor rterm' $|\epsilon|$
- rfactor → rprimary rfactor'
- rfactor' $\rightarrow *$ rfactor' $|\epsilon|$
- ightharpoonup rprimary ightarrow a|b

We need to check whether this grammar is LL(1) or not

Computing FIRST & FOLLOW.

```
ightharpoonup rexpr \rightarrow rterm rexpr'

ightharpoonup rexpr' \rightarrow +rterm rexpr' | \epsilon

ightharpoonup rterm \rightarrow rfactor rterm'

ightharpoonup rterm' 
ightharpoonup rterm' 
vert \epsilon

ightharpoonup rfactor \rightarrow rprimary rfactor'

ightharpoonup rfactor' \rightarrow * rfactor' | \epsilon

ightharpoonup rprimary 
ightharpoonup a b
FIRST(rexpr) = FIRST(rterm) = FIRST(rfactor) = FIRST(rprimary)
= \{a, b\}
FIRST(rexpr') = \{+, \epsilon\}
FIRST(rterm') = \{a, b, \epsilon\}
FIRST(rfactor') = \{*, \epsilon\}
FOLLOW(rexpr) = FOLLOW(rexpr') = \{\$\}
FOLLOW(rterm) = \{+, \$\} = FOLLOW(rterm')
FOLLOW(rfactor) = \{a, b, +, \$\}
FOLLOW(rfactor') = \{a, b, +, \$\}
FOLLOW(rprimary) = \{a, b, +, \$, *\}
```

Constructing Predictive Parsing Table.

	a	b	+ .	*	\$
rexpr	$rexpr \rightarrow rterm \ rexpr'$	$rexpr \rightarrow rterm \ rexpr'$			
rexpr'			$rexpr' \rightarrow +rterm$		$rexpr' \rightarrow \epsilon$
rterm	$rterm \rightarrow rfactor\ rterm$	$'$ $rterm \rightarrow rfactor \ rterm'$			
rterm'		n' $rterm' \rightarrow rfactor \ rterm'$	$rterm' \rightarrow \epsilon$		$rterm' o \epsilon$
rfactor	$rfactor o rprimary \ rfactor$	$actor' \\ rfactor ightarrow rprimary \ rfa$	actor'		
rfactor	J			$rfactor' \rightarrow * rfactor$,
rprimary	rprimary o a	$rprimary \rightarrow b$			

Since there is no repeated entry, It is LL(1) grammar. So it is suitable for TopDownParsing/PredictiveParsing/LL(1)Parsing.

Tutorial 2-4 4 6

- ▶ A grammar is ϵ -free if no production body is ϵ (called an ϵ -production).
 - \triangleright Give an algorithm to convert any grammar into an ϵ -free grammar that generates the same language (with the possible exception of the empty string. No ϵ -free grammar can generate ϵ). Hint : First find all the non-terminals that are nullable,
 - meaning that they generate ϵ , perhaps by a long derivation.
 - Apply your algorithm to the grammar $S \to aSbS|bSaS|\epsilon$

Input : A grammar $G = \langle N, T, P, S \rangle$ which has some epsilon productions

Output : A grammar $G' = \langle N, T, P', S \rangle$ which has some epsilon productions

- 1. Find out the non-terminals which are nullable. Let the set of such nullable non-terminals be N_n
- 2. Let $A \in N N_n$. There is a production $A \to \alpha\beta \in P$ and $\alpha, \beta \in N_n$. Add $A \in N_n$. Continue step 2 until no more non-terminal from the set $N N_n$ can be added to N_n
- 3. Initialize the set of productions P' with the productions of the for $B \to \gamma \delta$ such that $\gamma, \delta \not\in N_n$
- 4. Consider a member $x \in N_n$. Let there be a production $B \to \gamma x \delta \in P$ where γ, δ can be any symbol. Add both $B \to \gamma x \delta$ and $B \to \gamma \delta$ to P'. Continue step 4 until no more production can be added to P'

- A grammar is ϵ -free if no production body is ϵ (called an ϵ -production).
 - lacktriangle Apply your algorithm to the grammar $S o aSbS|bSaS|\epsilon$

The set of nullable non-terminals N_n is $\{S\}$. The ϵ -free grammar G' is $\langle N, T, P', S \rangle$ where P' is

S
ightarrow aSbS|bSaS|abS|aSb|baS|bSa|ab|ba