

# **Micro-Credit Defaulter Model**

Submitted by:

**GOURAV KUMAR** 

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This includes mentioning of all the references, research papers, data sources, professionals and other resources that helped you and guided you in completion of the project.

### **INTRODUCTION**

This is a classic Business problem which helps Micro Financing Institutions and other Lending companies reduce Credit risks by recognizing potential Defaulters.

Before advancement of Data Science, loan lending companies used to risk a high rate of defaulting. Many a times a perfect candidate would display erratic financial and repayment behavior after being approved for loan. Machine Learning can help lenders predict potential defaulters before approving their candidature using their past data. The candidates' income, past debt and repayment behavior can be important metrics for the same.

# **Model/s Development and Evaluation**

- Data Exploration and Cleaning On data exploration, I found that the dataset was imbalanced for the target feature(87.5% for Non-defaulters and 12.5% for Defaulters). Also, I found that the data had some very unrealitic values such as 999860 days which is not possible. Also, there were negative values for variables which must not have one (example:frequency,amount of recharge etc). All these unrealistic values were dropped which caused a data loss of 8% only.
- Data Exploration and Cleaning On data exploration, I found that the dataset was imbalanced for the target feature(87.5% for Non-defaulters and 12.5% for Defaulters). Also, I found that the data had some very unrealitic values such as 999860 days which is not possible. Also, there were negative values for variables which must not have one (example:frequency,amount of recharge etc). All these unrealistic values were dropped which caused a data loss of 8% only.
- Feature Selection Since there were 36 features, many of which I suspected were redundant because of the data duplication. It was imperative to select only most significant of them to make ML models more efficient and cost effective. The method used was 'Univariate Selection' using chi-square test. I selected top 20 features which were highly significant.
- Data Visualization On visualizing data, there were two important insights I gathered. a. Imbalance of data b. Distribution was not normal
- Data Normalization Since the data was not normal, I normalized all the features except the target variable which was dichotomous(Values '1' and '0').

- Oversampling of Minority class Since the data was expensive, I did not want to lose out on data by undersampling the majority class. Instead, I decided to oversample the minority class using SMOTE.
- Build Models Since it was a supervised classification problem,
  I built 5 models to evaluate performance of each of them: a.
  Logistic Regression b. Linear SVM c. Decision Tree d. Random
  forest e. Gradient Boost Classifier Since the data was
  imbalanced, accuracy was not the correct performance
  metric. Instead I focused on other metrics like precision, recall
  and ROC-AUC curve.

•

#### CONCLUSION

According to the performance metrics, Random Forrest scores highest in accuracy. Also, the curve is tending towards the ideal shape. Hence, Random Forrest looks like the best fit for this data.