1. Understanding words Nec

(a) To prove:

is an one-hot vector with 1 9n Context Nector and 0 in all other positions.

$$-\frac{5}{\omega \in V_{0GL}} y_{\omega} \log (\hat{y}_{\omega}) = -\left[y, \log(\hat{y}_{0}) + \dots + y_{0} \log(\hat{y}_{0}) + \dots + y_{0} \log(\hat{y}_{0})\right]$$

$$= -\left[0 + \dots + \log(\hat{y}_{0}) + \dots + y_{0} \log(\hat{y}_{0})\right]$$

$$= -\log \hat{y}_{0}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)}{\sum_{w \in Volub} \exp(Ux^T V_c)} = \frac{\sum_{x \in Volub$$

When
$$8 \neq 0$$
.

$$\frac{\partial J_{\text{TN}}(V_{k}, 0, U)}{\partial U_{1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{1}} \left[\log \frac{\sum}{\omega_{k}} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] - U_{k} \nabla V_{k} \right] \right]$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{1}} \left[\log \frac{\sum}{\omega_{k}} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{1}} \left(U_{k} \nabla V_{k} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{1}} \left[\log \frac{\sum}{\omega_{k}} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial U_{1}} \left(U_{k} \nabla V_{k} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\omega_{k}} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] = \frac{1}{\omega_{k}} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] + \dots \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\omega_{k}} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] = \frac{1}{\omega_{k}} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] = \frac{1}{\omega_{k}} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] + \dots \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \left(U_{k} \nabla V_{k} \right) \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \left(U_{k} \nabla V_{k} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\omega_{k}} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] + \dots \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] + \dots \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] + \dots \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \left(U_{k} \nabla V_{k} \right) \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \left(U_{k} \nabla V_{k} \right) \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \left(U_{k} \nabla V_{k} \right) \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \left(U_{k} \nabla V_{k} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\omega_{k}} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] + \dots \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] + \dots \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \exp[U_{k} \nabla V_{k}] \underbrace{\partial}_{u} \left(U_{k} \nabla V_{k} \right) \underbrace{\partial}_{u}$$

e) Treg-somple (Ve, O, U) = -log(to (UoT Ve)) - & Leg(to (-Uk TVe)) OJns (Vc, O, U) = OF [-log(o(voTVe)) - O [& log(o(-UkTVe))] $= \frac{1}{\sigma(U_0 T V_c)} \frac{\sigma(U_0 T V_c)(1 - \sigma(U_0 T V_c))}{\partial V_c} \frac{\partial}{\partial V_c} \left(U_0 T V_c \right)$ = - 1 & (voTVc)(1-t(voTVc)) Vo. $=\frac{k}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}}\frac{1}{\sigma(-u_{k}^{T}v_{c})}\left(1-\sigma(-u_{k}^{T}v_{c})\right)\cdot\left(-U_{k}\right)$ 07m(Vc,0,U) = (1)-(2) $= \frac{1}{\sigma(\upsilon_{\delta}TV_{c})} (1 - \sigma(\upsilon_{\delta}TV_{c})) U_{\delta} + \frac{k}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma(-\upsilon_{k}TV_{c})} \cdot (1 - \sigma(-\upsilon_{k}TV_{c})) \cdot U_{k}$ OVC <u> 0 1m</u> OVC. $\frac{\partial J_{rs}(v_{c},0,U)}{\partial \boldsymbol{U_{o}}} = \frac{1}{\sigma(u_{o}^{T}v_{c})} \frac{\sigma(u_{o}^{T}v_{c})(1-\sigma(u_{o}^{T}v_{c})) \cdot V_{c}}{\sigma(u_{o}^{T}v_{c})} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma(-u_{o}^{T}v_{c})} \cdot (1-\sigma(-u_{o}^{T}v_{c})) \cdot V_{c}}{\sigma(u_{o}^{T}v_{c})}$ & Samilarly, = 0 + 1 & C-UNTVC). (1-& (-UKTVC)). VC = - (1-0(UOTVC))UO + 5 (1-0(-UKTVL)).UK DV C = - (1-0 (UETVC)). VC & CHAHEART HEART METERS = (1-0(-UKTVc)). Vc MM Thus loss for its more efficient than naive softman DUR because en halvo bytmex we have compute (5 UNTVC) everytone which is confutationally exposure, whereas in negative sampling only the I simpled to rectors are used thus necesting an faster computation.