

26 Aug 2017

How Einstein would have fixed the world



Now that I have revealed my "[Part of the problem or part of the solution](#)" article, perhaps it is time to look at "Fixing our world: Rediscovering how Einstein urged us to solve problems".

My thanks to Saran Eswaran (a LinkedIn associate) for liking an article posted by John Velledor (a LinkedIn associate of Saran). A very interesting article and conversation with Alec Hogg (a journalist with BizNews) and [Dr. Claudius van Wyk](#).

Herewith a few of my comments, however, If you think that I am not eminent enough to produce this (or any of my preceding) 'body of work/s' then please consider this:

- a) Dr. Albert Einstein (PhD) was a theoretical physicist & mathematician. His theory of relativity was a theory which aided in the development of the atomic bomb. He developed the formula $E=mc^2$ whereby.
 - a1) Energy is measured [Joules](#)
 - a2) [Mass is constant](#) but the weight is relative to where the object is
 - a3) The [speed of light](#) is huge
 - b) Dr. Claudius van Wyk D.Sc. Alt Med, M.Sc. is "the world's foremost Holism fundi". A fundi is "An expert in a particular area" or "An enthusiasm for a subject or activity"
 - c) I studied physics, mathematics as well as applied mathematics under the eminent applied mathematician of his time, [Dr Arthur Bleksley](#) (PhD). Unfortunately, I was not fortunate enough to have completed my university degree. I did try in 2016 but the pressure of having to make a living got in the way. So I do not have a Ph.D nor am I recognised as a mathematician, medical practitioner or physicist but I do have the experience & expertise that has given me the wherewithal to produce a 'body of work' which I believe encapsulates the 'spirit' of work carried out by Drs. Einstein & Bleksley.
- 1) Dr. Einstein is dead. How he would 'fix' the world is being interpreted by others
 - 2) However there is truth in what Dr. van Wyk and others have stated however they need to put into context:
 - 2.1) "Everything is in relationship to everything else" - true, the 'anatomy of information or governance' (part of my body of work) not only agrees with this but also proves it to be true:
 - 2.1.1) Input>Process>Output - It is the outputs (an effect) from one process that creates an input (a cause) into another process. Get these wrong and fixing the world has just become a whole lot harder. Get this right and the relationships become symbiotic
 - 2.1.2) Relationships are formed by finding the right association between one 'thing/artifact and another. A 'thing' can also have a relationship with itself
 - 2.2) "The real dynamic we should be looking at is the relationship between the living entities and the bodies in the world" – Entities (nouns and gerunds) are real objects. Ill define them and/or get the relationships between these real objects wrong and fixing the world has just become a whole lot harder

2.3) "That view then immediately invites us not to be focussing on hard fixed parts to construct a view of the world or construct the view of what is going on but to look at the interactions of past". Due to lack of technology the view of the past was only ever theoretical. As technology improved the theory could be tested. Unfortunately a lot of the theory can now be proven to be flawed, yet are still being taught as if they are valid or perhaps as a warning on how not to do things but these warnings are hardly ever heeded

2.4) "The major shift then that Einstein would have brought is to say we have to see the dynamic interaction of entities in the world as the real substance of what's going on and the real place, that energetic place, where if we touch into that energy we can make a real difference". Energy cannot be seen, Energy can only be experienced and by the time it is experienced it may be too late to do anything about it. Entities on the other hand are real, they can be touched and manipulated without causing a loss of a limb (or limbs) or even life.

How sad is it that Dr. Einstein did not have today's technology for if he did he could have developed the architecture which would have prevented the legacy system time 'e-bomb' and there would be no need to 'fix the world'. Then again, according to my research, the only work Dr. Einstein did on 'data' was part of his work on Zero-point energy. The data he worked with was numbers.

So using what Einstein suggested what practical (explicit), step by step approach does the good Dr. van Wyk suggest we follow to fix the world?

For my suggestions on what we should do, please read my previous article "[Part of the problem or part of the solution](#)".

Charles Meyer Richter
Principal information architect/diagnostician
Ripose Pty Limited
charles.richter@ripose.com