

# Homeworks of Bayesian Inference

(B004652)

Group 1

Giovanni Papini

Last revision October 3, 2018

## Week 1

# Exchangeability and stochastic processes

### 1.1 Exercise 1

#### 1.1.1 Data

- $E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5$ , events of simple alternative, exchangeable
- $P(E_2) = \omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}$
- $P(E_3 \wedge E_5) = \omega_2 = \frac{1}{4}$
- $\omega_5 = \frac{\omega_3^5}{\binom{5}{3}} = \frac{\omega_1^5}{\binom{5}{1}} = \frac{1}{30}$

#### 1.1.2 Questions

Compute:

1.  $P(E_2 \wedge E_3 \wedge E_4) = \omega_3$
2.  $P(E_1 \wedge E_2 \wedge E_3 \wedge E_4) = \omega_4$
3.  $P(E_1 \wedge E_2 \wedge \bar{E}_3 \wedge \bar{E}_4 \wedge \bar{E}_5) = \frac{\omega_2^5}{\binom{5}{2}}$

#### 1.1.3 Solutions

First we find  $\omega_1^5$  and  $\omega_3^5$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1^5 &= \frac{1}{30} \cdot \binom{5}{1} = \frac{1}{6} \\ \omega_3^5 &= \frac{1}{30} \cdot \binom{5}{3} = \frac{1}{3}\end{aligned}$$

Knowing that

$$\omega_h = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{h}} \sum_{r=h}^n \omega_r^n \binom{r}{h}$$

we can write that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1 &= \frac{\omega_1^5(1) + \omega_2^5(1) + \omega_3^5(1) + \omega_4^5(1) + \omega_5^5(1)}{\binom{5}{1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{5} \omega_2^5 + \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 + \frac{1}{5} \cdot 4 \omega_4^5 + \frac{1}{30} \\ &= \frac{8}{30} + \frac{2}{5} \omega_2^5 + \frac{4}{5} \omega_4^5\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_2 &= \frac{\omega_2^5(2) + \omega_3^5(2) + \omega_4^5(2) + \omega_5^5(2)}{\binom{5}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{10} \omega_2^5 + \frac{1}{10} \cdot \frac{1}{10} \cdot 3 + \frac{1}{10} \cdot 6 \omega_4^5 + \frac{1}{30} \\ &= \frac{2}{15} + \frac{1}{10} \omega_2^5 + \frac{3}{5} \omega_4^5\end{aligned}$$

Combining them:

$$\begin{aligned}&\begin{cases} \frac{2}{5} \omega_2^5 + \frac{4}{5} \omega_4^5 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{8}{30} \\ \frac{1}{10} \omega_2^5 + \frac{3}{5} \omega_4^5 = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2}{15} \end{cases} \\ \implies &\begin{cases} \omega_2^5 = \frac{7}{24} \\ \omega_4^5 = \frac{7}{48} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Now we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_3 &= \frac{\omega_3^5(3) + \omega_4^5(3) + \omega_5^5(3)}{\binom{5}{3}} &= \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{10} + \frac{7}{48} \cdot 4 \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{30} = \frac{1}{8} \\ \omega_4 &= \frac{\omega_4^5(4) + \omega_5^5(4)}{\binom{5}{4}} &= \frac{7}{48} \cdot \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{30} = \frac{1}{16}\end{aligned}$$

## 1.2 Exercise 2

### 1.2.1 Data

- Process of simple alternative  $\{|E_n|\}$
- $P(E_1) = \omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}$
- $P(E_1 \wedge E_2) = \omega_2 = \frac{1}{4}$
- $P(E_1 \wedge E_2 \wedge E_3) = \omega_3 = \frac{1}{7}$
- $P(E_1 \wedge E_2 \wedge E_3 \wedge E_4) = \frac{3}{28}$

### 1.2.2 Questions

1. Could the 4 indicators  $|E_1|$ ,  $|E_2|$ ,  $|E_3|$  and  $|E_4|$  be the starting path of an exchangeable process?
2. Could it continue for at least one step?

### 1.2.3 Solutions

1. An exchangeable process must satisfy the condition

$$(-1)^{n-h} \Delta^{n-h} \omega_h \geq 0, \forall n, h \leq n$$

Thus we compute

- $(-1)^{4-1} \Delta^{4-1} \omega_1 = (-1) \cdot \Delta^3 \omega_1 = \frac{1}{14} \geq 0$
- $(-1)^{4-2} \Delta^{4-2} \omega_2 = (-1) \cdot \Delta^2 \omega_2 = \frac{1}{14} \geq 0$
- $(-1)^{4-3} \Delta^{4-3} \omega_3 = (-1) \cdot \Delta \omega_3 = \frac{1}{28} \geq 0$
- $(-1)^{4-4} \Delta^{4-4} \omega_4 = (-1) \cdot \omega_4 = \frac{3}{28} \geq 0$

Thus we can affirm that the process is exchangeable.

2. If we consider  $n = 5$  we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta \omega_4 &= \omega_4 - \omega_5 \geq 0 \implies \omega_5 \leq \omega_4 \\ \Delta^2 \omega_3 &= \omega_3 - 2\omega_4 + \omega_5 \geq 0 \implies \omega_5 \geq 2\omega_4 - \omega_3 \\ -\Delta^3 \omega_2 &= \omega_2 - 3\omega_3 + 3\omega_4 - \omega_5 \geq 0 \implies \omega_5 \leq 3\omega_4 - 3\omega_3 + \omega_2 \\ \Delta^4 \omega_1 &= \omega_1 - 4\omega_2 + 6\omega_3 - 4\omega_4 + \omega_5 \geq 0 \implies \omega_5 \geq 4\omega_4 - 6\omega_3 + 4\omega_2 - \omega_1 \end{aligned}$$

And substituting  $\omega_k$  with their values we obtain a system:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_5 \leq \frac{3}{28} \\ \omega_5 \geq \frac{2}{28} \\ \omega_5 \leq \frac{3}{28} \\ \omega_5 \geq \frac{2}{28} \end{cases} \implies \frac{2}{28} \leq \omega_5 \leq \frac{3}{28}$$

Thus we can affirm that the process could continue.

## Week 2

# Conjugate priors and posterior distributions

### 2.1 Exercise 2.3

#### 2.1.1 Data

- $p(x, y, z) \propto f(x, z) g(y, z) h(z)$

#### 2.1.2 Questions

Prove that:

1.  $p(x|y, z) \propto f(x, z)$
2.  $p(y|x, z) \propto g(y, z)$
3.  $X$  and  $Y$  conditionally independent, given  $Z$ .

#### 2.1.3 Solutions

We know by definition that

$$p(x|y, z) = \frac{p(x, y, z)}{p(y, z)}$$

and also that

$$p(y, z) = \int_{S_X} p(x, y, z) \partial x \propto \int_{S_X} f(x, z) g(y, z) h(z) \partial x = g(y, z) h(z) \int_{S_X} f(x, z) \partial x$$

Where  $S_X$  is the support of the r.v.  $X$ . Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} p(x|y, z) &= \frac{f(x, z) g(y, z) h(z)}{g(y, z) h(z) \int_{S_X} f(x, z) \partial x} \\ &= \frac{f(x, z)}{\int_{S_X} f(x, z) \partial x} \end{aligned}$$

But  $\int_{S_X} f(x, z) \partial x$  is constant given  $z$ , so we can say

$$p(x|y, z) \propto f(x, z)$$

as we wanted to show.  
Similarly, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(y|x, z) &= \frac{p(x, y, z)}{p(x, z)} \\
 &= \frac{f(x, z)g(y, z)h(z)}{f(x, z)h(z) \int_{S_Y} g(y, z) \partial y} \\
 &= \frac{g(y, z)}{\int_{S_Y} g(y, z) \partial y} \\
 &\propto g(y, z)
 \end{aligned}$$

To show that  $X \perp Y$  given  $Z$  we have to prove that  $p(y|z, x) = p(y|z)$ , so:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(y|z) &= \frac{p(y, z)}{p(z)} \\
 &= \frac{\int_{S_X} f(x, z)g(y, z)h(z) \partial x}{\int_{S_X} \int_{S_Y} f(x, z)g(y, z)h(z) \partial y \partial x} \\
 &= \frac{g(y, z)h(z) \int_{S_X} f(x, z) \partial x}{h(z) \int_{S_X} f(x, z) \partial x \int_{S_Y} g(y, z) \partial y} \\
 &= \frac{g(y, z)}{\int_{S_Y} g(y, z) \partial y} \\
 &= p(y|x, z)
 \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Exercise 3.5

### 2.2.1 Data

- $p(y|\phi) = c(\phi)h(y) \exp(\phi t(y))$
- $p_1(\theta) \dots p_k(\theta)$  conjugate priors
- $\tilde{p}(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k p_k(\theta)$  where  $\omega_k > 0$  and  $\sum_k \omega_k = 1$

### 2.2.2 Questions

1.  $p(\theta|y)$  as a function of  $p(y|\theta)$  and  $\tilde{p}$
2. Previous question but in the case that  $\theta \sim \text{Pois}$  and  $p_1 \dots p_k \sim \Gamma$

### 2.2.3 Solution

For the Bayes rule:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\theta|y) &= \frac{p(y|\theta) \cdot p(\theta)}{p(y)} \\
 &= \frac{p(y|\theta) \cdot \tilde{p}(\theta)}{p(y)} \\
 &= \frac{\prod_i p(y_i|\theta) \tilde{p}(\theta)}{p(y)} \\
 &= \frac{\prod_i c(\theta) h(y_i) \exp(\theta t(y_i)) \cdot \tilde{p}(\theta)}{p(y)} \\
 &= \frac{\prod_i h(y_i) c(\phi)^n \exp(\phi \sum_i t(y_i)) \cdot \sum_k w_k p_k(\theta)}{\int_{S_\theta} \prod_i h(y_i) c(\phi)^n \exp(\phi \sum_i t(y_i)) \sum_k w_k p_k(\theta) \partial \theta} \\
 &= \frac{c(\phi)^n \exp(\phi \sum_i t(y_i)) \cdot \sum_k w_k p_k(\theta)}{\int_{S_\theta} c(\phi)^n \exp(\phi \sum_i t(y_i)) \sum_k w_k p_k(\theta) \partial \theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

In the particular case that  $p(y|\theta)$  is a Poisson distribution and  $p_k$  are Gamma distribution, we have that

- $t(y) = y$
- $\phi = \log(\theta)$
- $c(\phi) = \exp(e^{-\phi}) = \exp(\theta^{-1})$
- $p_k(\theta) = \frac{\beta_k^{\alpha_k}}{\Gamma(\alpha_k)} \theta^{\alpha_k-1} \exp(-\beta_k \theta) = c_k \theta^{\alpha_k-1} \exp(-\beta_k \theta)$

So we can rewrite the posterior of the first part as

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\theta|y) &= \frac{\exp(\theta^{-1})^n \exp(\log \theta \sum_i y_i) \sum_k w_k c_k \theta^{\alpha_k-1} \exp(-\beta_k \theta)}{\int_{S_\theta} \exp(\theta^{-1})^n \exp(\log \theta \sum_i y_i) \sum_k w_k c_k \theta^{\alpha_k-1} \exp(-\beta_k \theta) \partial \theta} \\
 &= \frac{\exp(\theta^{-n}) \theta^{\sum_i y_i} \sum_k w_k c_k \theta^{\alpha_k-1} \exp(-\beta_k \theta)}{\int_{S_\theta} \exp(\theta^{-n}) \theta^{\sum_i y_i} \sum_k w_k c_k \theta^{\alpha_k-1} \exp(-\beta_k \theta) \partial \theta} \\
 &= \frac{\exp(\theta^{-n}) \sum_k w_k c_k \theta^{\alpha_k + \sum_i y_i - 1} \exp(-\beta_k \theta)}{\text{den}}
 \end{aligned}$$