

3ODM x PtW, a quantitative framework combining PoC assessment and optimal dose selection in a randomized phase 2 trial

Gautier Paux¹, Gu Mi¹

¹ Sanofi, Cambridge MA

Background

FDA Project Optimus

Reforming the dose optimization and dose selection paradigm in oncology

- Moving the oncology dose selection from Maximum Tolerated Dose (MTD) to Optimal Dose (OD) prior to marketing approval
- Recommended to perform randomized trials with at least two doses before selecting a Recommended Phase 2 Dose (RP2D)

3-Outcome Decision Making (3ODM) [1]

Decision-making based on 2-outcome is not well suited for exploratory phases

Clinical thresholds:

- Lower Reference Value (LRV):** smallest clinically meaningful treatment effect for further development
- Target value (TV):** desirable level of clinical activity to be commercially attractive

Dual criteria:

- Significance criterion:** What is the probability that the true treatment effect is larger than LRV?
- Relevance criterion:** What is the probability that the true treatment effect is larger than TV?

Decision rules:

- No-go:** $PP(\theta > LRV|p, d) < \tau_{LRV}$ & $PP(\theta > TV|p, d) < \tau_{TV}$
- Go:** $PP(\theta > LRV|p, d) > \tau_{LRV}$ & $PP(\theta > TV|p, d) > \tau_{TV}$
- Consider:** otherwise

where:

- θ is the true treatment effect
- PP is the posterior probability, based on data (d) and prior (p)
- τ_{LRV} and τ_{TV} are levels of confidence to achieve to meet the significance and relevance criterion, respectively

Existing pick-the-Winner (PtW) approaches

How to select the dose?

- Trials do not need to be powered to detect a statistically difference between doses: high (DL-H) and low (DL-L)

- Different approaches have been proposed:
- Simon et al. [2]: pick the dose with the best point estimate
- Chen et al. [3]: a 2-stage Simon design is applied to each dose. If both doses meet the final number of responders threshold of the 2-stage Simon design , the selection of the dose is based on the posterior probability that the true response rate (RR) of DL-H being greater than DL-L is greater than a pre-specified threshold. However, no quantitative rule is given regarding the selection of this threshold.

3ODM x PtW

Trial design

R

DL-H
N = n

DL-L
N = n

DL-H > DL-L

Primary endpoint:
response rate (RR)

No-go

Consider

Go

No-go

Consider

Go

Final trial outcome

DL-L	DL-H	Trial outcome
No-go	No-go	No-go
No-go	Consider	Consider DL-H
No-go	Go	Go DL-H
Consider	No-go	Consider DL-L
Consider	Consider	PtW
Consider	Go	PtW
Go	No-go	Go DL-L
Go	Consider	Go DL-L
Go	Go	PtW

The recommended trial 3ODM outcome (last column) based on the two doses 3ODM outcome may be modified according to the trial context

PtW approach

Rule philosophy

- PtW is triggered when both doses achieve either a **Consider** or **Go** decision
- Selection of the lowest dose is preferred (based on factors such as better safety, PRO, etc.) except if there is strong evidence that the highest dose yields to greater RR

Statistical rule

Select DL-H if $PP(RR_{DL-H} > RR_{DL-L}|d, p) > \gamma$
Otherwise, select DL-L

Where:

- PP is the posterior probability, based on data (d) and prior (p)
- RR_{DL-i} is the RR of dose i (high: H or low: L)
- γ corresponds to the level of confidence to achieve in order to select DL-H. The greater γ , the less likely to select DL-H

Illustrative case study

3ODM parameters

Operating characteristics according to γ when both doses have a true RR \geq TV = 40%

3ODM decision rules

How to select γ ?

Utility function according to γ

What is the impact on 3ODM operating characteristics?

3ODM operating characteristics (by dose)

3ODM x PtW operating characteristics

Additional considerations:

What is the final trial outcome?

Trial outcome summary matrix

Scenario example when pick-the-winner is triggered

Key considerations in implementing 3ODM x PtW approach

When should 3ODM x PtW be considered?

Pros

Cons

References

Download me