

Final Exam Solutions

1. (20 pts) Consider the following nonlinear dynamical system model:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{x}} &= \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})u \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{1+x_2} \\ x_1x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u.\end{aligned}$$

- (i) (10 pts) Find the equilibrium states corresponding to the constant input $u = -2$.
(ii) (10 pts) Derive Taylor linearized state-space models for small deviations about the obtained equilibria.

- (i) We compute the equilibrium state corresponding to the constant input $u = -2$ by solving the following set of the algebraic equations, $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \frac{4}{1+x_2} - 2x_2 \\ 0 &= x_1x_2 - 2.\end{aligned}$$

Manipulating the first of the above equations, we obtain

$$(x_2 - 1)(x_2 + 2) = 0$$

Hence, we have two equilibrium states corresponding to the constant input $u = -2$;

$$\mathbf{x}_e^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_e^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (ii) We denote the modeling equations as

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, u).$$

The linearized state-space model for small deviations about the equilibrium has the form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\delta\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}\delta\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\delta u,$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right|_{\substack{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_e \\ u=u_e}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{4}{(1+x_2)^2} + u \\ x_2 & x_1 \end{bmatrix} \bigg|_{\substack{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_e \\ u=u_e}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} = \left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial u} \right|_{\substack{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_e \\ u=u_e}} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}_e)$$

The Taylor linearized system about $\mathbf{x}_e^{(1)}$ has the form,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \delta \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \delta \mathbf{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \delta u$$

The Taylor linearized system about $\mathbf{x}_e^{(2)}$ has the form,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \delta \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -6 \\ -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \delta \mathbf{x} + \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \delta u$$

◇

2. (20 pts)

- (i) (15 pts) Find the linear state-feedback control law that minimizes

$$J = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{4} x(t)^2 + 9u(t)^2 \right) dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{x}(t) = \sqrt{2}x(t) + 3u(t), \quad x(0) = 1.$$

- (ii) (5 pts) Find the value of the performance index for the closed-loop system driven by the optimal controller.

- (i) (15 pts) To find the optimal controller for this problem, we first solve the algebraic Riccati equation (ARE),

$$\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{P} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{O},$$

Then, we use its solution, $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^\top \succ 0$, to construct the optimal controller,

$$u^* = -\mathbf{K} \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}.$$

The matrices that are needed when solving the ARE are

$$\mathbf{A} = \sqrt{2}, \quad \mathbf{B} = 3, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \mathbf{R} = 9.$$

Substituting the above matrices into the ARE gives

$$2\sqrt{2}\mathbf{P} + \frac{1}{4} - \mathbf{P}^2 = 0,$$

equivalently,

$$\mathbf{P}^2 - 2\sqrt{2}\mathbf{P} - \frac{1}{4} = 0$$

Solving the above gives

$$\mathbf{P} = \sqrt{2} \pm \frac{3}{2}.$$

We take positive definite \mathbf{P} . Hence, the optimal controller is

$$u^* = -\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} = -\frac{1}{3} \left(\sqrt{2} + \frac{3}{2} \right) x = -\frac{2\sqrt{2} + 3}{6} x$$

- (ii) (5 pts) The value of the performance index on the trajectories of the closed-loop system driven by the optimal controller is

$$J = \mathbf{x}(0)^\top \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}(0) = \left(\sqrt{2} + \frac{3}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{2\sqrt{2} + 3}{2}.$$

◇

3. (20 pts) Construct $u = u(t)$ that minimizes

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 u(t)^2 dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u, \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We form the Hamiltonian,

$$H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{p}^\top (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}).$$

Because there are no constraints on the control, we use the first-order-necessary condition for unconstrained minimum to obtain a candidate control law that minimizes the Hamiltonian,

$$\mathbf{0}^\top = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{u}^\top + \mathbf{p}^\top \mathbf{B}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{u}^* = -\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{p},$$

where \mathbf{p} is the co-state vector obtained by solving the co-state equation

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = -\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{p}.$$

The solution to the above equation has the form,

$$\mathbf{p}(t) = e^{-\mathbf{A}^\top t} \mathbf{p}(0),$$

where

$$e^{-\mathbf{A}^\top t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -t & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}^* &= -\mathbf{B}^\top e^{-\mathbf{A}^\top t} \mathbf{p}(0) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -t & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}(0) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} t & -1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the solution to $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}$,

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{x}(0) + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau.$$

Employing the above formula and taking into account the given boundary conditions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(1) &= e^{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x}(0) + \int_0^1 e^{\mathbf{A}(1-\tau)} \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} 1-\tau \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau & -1 \end{bmatrix} d\tau \mathbf{p}(0) \\ &= \int_0^1 \begin{bmatrix} \tau - \tau^2 & 1-\tau \\ \tau & -1 \end{bmatrix} d\tau \mathbf{p}(0) \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2}\tau^2 - \frac{1}{3}\tau^3 & -\tau + \frac{1}{2}\tau^2 \\ \frac{1}{2}\tau^2 & -\tau \end{array} \right] \bigg|_0^1 \mathbf{p}(0) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \mathbf{x}(1) \\ &= \frac{12}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\boxed{u^* = 6t}$$

◇

4. **(20 pts)** Use dynamic programming to find $u[0]$ and $u[1]$ that minimize the performance index,

$$J = (x[2] - 1)^2 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^1 u[k]^2$$

subject to

$$x[k+1] = bu[k], \quad x[0] = 10,$$

where $b \neq 0$. Note that there are no constraints on $u[k]$. Also, find the value of J^* .

Let $J^*(x[k])$ denote the minimal cost of transfer of $x[k]$ at time k to some final state $x[2]$. Then,

$$J^*(x[2]) = (x[2] - 1)^2 = (bu[1] - 1)^2,$$

and

$$J^*(x[1]) = \min_{u[1]} (2u[1]^2 + J^*(x[2])) = \min_{u[1]} (2u[1]^2 + (bu[1] - 1)^2).$$

There are no constraints on $u[1]$ so we can apply the first-derivative test. Differentiating the above with respect to $u[1]$ and equating the result to zero gives

$$\frac{\partial J(x[1])}{\partial u[1]} = 4u[1] + 2b(bu[1] - 1) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$u^*[1] = \frac{b}{2 + b^2}.$$

Substituting the above into $J^*(x[1])$ yields

$$J^*(x[1]) = \frac{2}{(2+b^2)^2}.$$

Continuing, we obtain

$$J^*(x[0]) = \min_{u[0]} \left(2u[0]^2 + J^*(x[1]) \right) = \min_{u[0]} \left(2u[0]^2 + \frac{2}{(2+b^2)^2} \right).$$

Hence

$$u^*[0] = 0.$$

To obtain the optimal cost, we find

$$x^*[2] = bu^*[1] = \frac{b^2}{2+b^2}.$$

The optimal cost is

$$\begin{aligned} J^* &= (x^*[2] - 1)^2 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^1 u^*[k]^2 \\ &= (bu^*[1] - 1)^2 + 2u^*[0]^2 + 2u^*[1]^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{2+b^2}. \end{aligned}$$

◇

5. (20 pts) Minimize

$$J_0 = 3 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\mathbf{x}[k]\|_2^2$$

subject to

$$\mathbf{x}[k+1] = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}[k], \quad \mathbf{x}[0] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$J_0 = \mathbf{x}[0]^\top \mathbf{P} \mathbf{x}[0],$$

where $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^\top \succ 0$ is the solution to the discrete Lyapunov equation

$$\mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{P} = -\mathbf{Q} = -3\mathbf{I}_2.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence,

$$J_0 = 4$$

◇

6. (20 pts) Given the following model of a dynamical system:

$$\dot{x} = 2u_1 + 2u_2, \quad x(0) = 3,$$

and the associated performance index

$$J = \int_0^\infty (x^2 + ru_1^2 + ru_2^2) dt,$$

where $r > 0$ is a parameter.

- (i) (10 pts) Find the solution to the algebraic Riccati equation corresponding to the linear state-feedback optimal controller.
- (ii) (5 pts) Write the equation of the closed-loop system driven by the optimal controller.
- (iii) (5 pts) Find the value of J for the optimal closed-loop system.

(i) We have

$$\mathbf{A} = 0, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{Q} = 1, \quad \mathbf{R} = r\mathbf{I}_2.$$

The algebraic Riccati equation (ARE) for this problem has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{P} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{P} \\ &= 1 - \frac{8}{r} p^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the solution to the ARE is

$$\mathbf{P} = \sqrt{\frac{r}{8}}.$$

(ii) The optimal controller has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u} &= -\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2r}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} x.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the closed-loop optimal system is described by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \\ &= -\frac{4}{\sqrt{2r}}x.\end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned}\min J(\mathbf{u}) &= \int_0^\infty (x^2 + ru_1^2 + ru_2^2) dt \\ &= 9 \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{8t}{\sqrt{2r}}\right) (1+1) dt \\ &= 9\sqrt{\frac{r}{8}}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\min J(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{x}(0)^\top \mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}(0).$$

◇

7. (20 pts) Given the following model of a dynamical system:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 - 2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= u,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|u| \leq 1.$$

The performance index to be minimized is

$$J = \int_0^{t_f} dt.$$

Find the state-feedback control law $u = u(x_1, x_2)$ that minimizes J and drives the system from a given initial condition $\mathbf{x}(0) = [x_1(0), x_2(0)]^\top$ to the final state $\mathbf{x}(t_f) = \mathbf{0}$. Proceed as indicated below.

(i) (5 pts) Derive the equations of the optimal trajectories.

- (ii) (5 pts) Derive the equation of the switching curve.
- (iii) (10 pts) Write the expression for the optimal state-feedback controller.
-

- (i) The Hamiltonian is

$$H = 1 + \psi_1 (x_2 - 2) + \psi_2 u.$$

By the Minimum Principle, the optimal control has the form

$$u = \text{sign}(-\psi_2).$$

When $u = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= t + c_1 \\ x_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(t + c_1 - 2)^2 + c_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - 2)^2 + c_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, when $u = -1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= -t + c_3 \\ x_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}(-t + c_3 - 2)^2 + c_4 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(x_2 - 2)^2 + c_4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the optimal trajectories have the form of parabolas.

- (ii) The switching curve γ is obtained by combining parabolas passing through the origin of the state plane. For $u = 1$ the parabola that passes through the origin is

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x_2 - 2)^2 - 2,$$

while the parabola that passes through the origin corresponding to $u = -1$ is

$$x_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(x_2 - 2)^2 + 2.$$

Thus the switching curve γ can be described as

$$x_1 = (\text{sign}(x_2)) \left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_2 - 2)^2 + 2 \right).$$

- (iii) The optimal state-feedback control law is

$$u(x_1, x_2) = -\text{sign} \left(x_1 - (\text{sign} x_2) \left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_2 - 2)^2 + 2 \right) \right).$$

◇

8. (20 pts) Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Express $\det(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B})$ in terms of $\det \mathbf{A}$ and $\det \mathbf{B}$, where the symbol \otimes denotes the Kronecker product. (You may find the identities $(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{C})(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{AD} \otimes \mathbf{CB}$ and $\det(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{I}_r) = (\det \mathbf{A})^r$ to be useful in your derivation.) Then employ the obtained formula to evaluate $\det(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B})$ for the case when

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 & -8 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider the identity, $(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{C})(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{AD} \otimes \mathbf{CB}$. Let $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}_n$ and $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{I}_m$. Then, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{C})(\mathbf{D} \otimes \mathbf{B}) = (\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{I}_n)(\mathbf{I}_m \otimes \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{AI}_m \otimes \mathbf{BI}_n = \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}.$$

Note that

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_m \otimes \mathbf{B}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{O} & \cdots & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{B} & \cdots & \mathbf{O} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} & \cdots & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = (\det \mathbf{B})^m.$$

Taking into account that $\det(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{I}_n) = (\det \mathbf{A})^n$, we obtain

$\det(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}) = (\det \mathbf{A})^n (\det \mathbf{B})^m$. For the case when

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 & -8 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

we obtain

$$\det(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}) = (\det \mathbf{A})^3 (\det \mathbf{B})^2 = (-2)^3 (-6)^2 = (-8)(36) = -288.$$

◇

9. (20 pts) For the nonlinear system model of Problem 1, that is, the model

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{1+x_2} + x_2 u \\ x_1 x_2 + u \end{bmatrix},$$

find the equilibrium state \mathbf{x}_e corresponding to $u_e = -2$ and such that $x_{e1} = -1$. Then, construct a **linear** in \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{u} model describing the system operation about (\mathbf{x}_e, u_e) .

The equilibrium pair (\mathbf{x}_e, u_e) satisfies the algebraic equations,

$$\dot{x}_1 = \dot{x}_2 = 0,$$

that is,

$$\frac{4}{1+x_{2e}} + x_{2e}u_e = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_{1e}x_{2e} + u_e = 0.$$

From the second of the above equations, we obtain

$$x_{e2} = -2.$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{x}_e = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_e = -2.$$

The system model is linear in the control variable and can be represented in the following form:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, u) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x})u = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{1+x_2} \\ x_1x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u.$$

We proceed with constructing a linear approximation. We first obtain the input matrix

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}_e) = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We next proceed with computing the matrix \mathbf{A} . We first compute the Jacobian matrix of \mathbf{f} ,

$$D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_e) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} \end{array} \right] \bigg|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_e} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\frac{4}{(1+x_2)^2} \\ x_2 & x_1 \end{array} \right] \bigg|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_e} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -4 \\ -2 & -1 \end{array} \right].$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_e) + \frac{\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_e) - D\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_e)\mathbf{x}_e}{\|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2} \mathbf{x}_e^\top \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 \\ -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{5} \left(\begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 \\ -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 \\ -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} -12 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 \\ -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 24 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{12}{5} & \frac{4}{5} \\ -\frac{8}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The resulting linear model has the form,

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{12}{5} & \frac{4}{5} \\ -\frac{8}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u.$$

◇

10. (20 pts) Consider the following continuous-time fuzzy model,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}} &= (\alpha_1 \mathbf{A}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{A}_2) \mathbf{x} \\ &= \left(\alpha_1 \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \mathbf{x}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$. Does there exist a quadratic Lyapunov function for this system? If yes, find one, if not explain why not.

Both local systems are asymptotically stable. Let us check if the matrix $(\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2)$ is Hurwitz. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 4 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic polynomial of $(\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2)$ is

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} s+3 & -4 \\ -2 & s+2 \end{bmatrix} = s^2 + 6s - 2.$$

It is easy to check that the characteristic polynomial zeros are located at

$$-3 \pm \sqrt{11}.$$

Thus one of the zeros is positive while the other one is negative meaning that the matrix $(\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2)$ is not Hurwitz and therefore the system does not have a quadratic Lyapunov function. ◇