

#### **ECE 68000: MODERN AUTOMATIC CONTROL**

Professor Stan Żak

Recovering Corrupting Errors---Analysis

## Organizing the Observations for Further Processing—Final Form

- Let  $\Omega[k] = [\boldsymbol{I}_{\tau p} \quad \boldsymbol{F}[k]]$
- Let  $\boldsymbol{E}[k] = [\boldsymbol{E}_s^{\top}[k] \quad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}^{\top}[k]]^{\top}$
- Then

$$m{Y}[k] = \mathcal{O}^{\tau-1} m{x}[k-\tau+1] + \mathbf{\Omega}[k] m{E}[k]$$
  
where  $\mathbf{\Omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{\tau p \times [\tau p + (\tau-1)m]}$  and  $m{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{\tau p + (\tau-1)m}$ 

• Objective: Recover E[k], a sparse vector

#### Computing Left Annihilator of $\mathcal{O}^{\tau-1}$

• Use MATLAB's null function to obtain

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_{2}^{\top} = \left( \text{null} \left( \mathcal{O}^{\tau-1} \right)^{\top} \right)^{\top},$$

where  $Q_2$  is right annihilator of  $(\mathcal{O}^{\tau-1})^{\top}$ 

• Indeed, since

$$\left(\mathcal{O}^{ au-1}
ight)^{ op}oldsymbol{Q}_2=oldsymbol{O},$$

then taking the transpose gives

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_2^{\top}\mathcal{O}^{\tau-1} = \boldsymbol{O}^{\top}$$

### Constructing an Optimization Problem for $\boldsymbol{E}[k]$ Recovery

- Recall that  $\boldsymbol{Q}_2^{\top} \mathcal{O}^{\tau-1} = \boldsymbol{O}$
- Pre-multiply

$$\boldsymbol{Y}[k] = \mathcal{O}^{\tau-1} \boldsymbol{x}[k-\tau+1] + \boldsymbol{\Omega}[k] \boldsymbol{E}[k]$$

by  $\boldsymbol{Q}_2^{\top}$ 

- We obtain,  $\boldsymbol{Q}_{2}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Y}[k] = \boldsymbol{Q}_{2}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\Omega}[k]\boldsymbol{E}[k]$
- Let  $\boldsymbol{Z}[k] = \boldsymbol{Q}_2^{\top} \boldsymbol{Y}[k]$  and  $\boldsymbol{W}[k] = \boldsymbol{Q}_2^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Omega}[k]$
- Then

$$\boldsymbol{Z}[k] = \boldsymbol{W}[k]\boldsymbol{E}[k]$$

### The Constraint in the Optimization Problem to Recover $\boldsymbol{E}[k]$

• We have

$$Z[k] = W[k]E[k]$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{Z}[k] \in \mathbb{R}^{\tau p - n}$$
 and  $\boldsymbol{W}[k] \in \mathbb{R}^{(\tau p - n) \times [\tau p + (\tau - 1)m]}$ 

- Note that W[k] is full row rank
- That is,

$$rank(\mathbf{W}[k]) = \tau p - n$$

- This is because  $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{Q}_2^\top) = \tau p n$ ,  $\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}[k]) = \tau p$
- Hence

$$\operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{W}[k]) = \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{Q}_2^{\top}\boldsymbol{\Omega}[k]) = \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{Q}_2^{\top})$$

#### Optimization Problem to Recover $\boldsymbol{E}[k]$

If E[k] is an *i*-sparse vector, the solution to

$$\boldsymbol{Z}[k] = \boldsymbol{W}[k]\boldsymbol{E}[k]$$

can be obtained by solving the optimization problem

$$\min \|\boldsymbol{E}[k]\|_0$$
 subject to  $\boldsymbol{Z}[k] = \boldsymbol{W}[k]\boldsymbol{E}[k]$ 

## Assumptions for the Optimization Problem to Recover $\boldsymbol{E}[k]$

- Assume that over the time interval  $[k-\tau+1,k]$  there are at most  $i_s$  malicious packet drops from the sensor to the controller and at most  $i_a$  malicious packet drops from the controller to the actuator
- Assume that E[k] is *i*-sparse
- Hence,

$$i = \|\mathbf{E}[k]\|_0 = \|\mathbf{E}_s[k]\|_0 + \|\mathbf{E}_a[k]\|_0 \le i_s + i_a$$

# Existence of the Solution to the Optimization Problem to Recover $\boldsymbol{E}[k]$

#### Lemma

If the solution E[k] to Z[k] = W[k]E[k] is i-sparse and  $(\tau p - n) \ge 2(i_s + i_a)$  and all subsets of  $2(i_s + i_a)$  columns of W[k] are full rank, then E[k] is unique

G. Fiore, Y. H. Chang, Q. Hu, M. D. Di Benedetto, C. J. Tomlin, Secure state estimation for Cyber Physical Systems with sparse malicious packet drops, 2017 ACC, Sheraton Seattle Hotel, Seattle, May 24–26, pp. 1898–1903

## Approximating the Optimization Problem to Recover $\boldsymbol{E}[k]$

We approximate the 0-norm optimization problem

$$\min \|\boldsymbol{E}[k]\|_0$$
 subject to  $\boldsymbol{Z}[k] = \boldsymbol{W}[k]\boldsymbol{E}[k]$ 

with the 1-norm optimization problem

$$\min \|\boldsymbol{E}[k]\|_1$$
 subject to  $\boldsymbol{Z}[k] = \boldsymbol{W}[k]\boldsymbol{E}[k]$ 

D. L. Donoho and M. Elad, For most large under-determined systems of linear equations the minimal  $l_1$ -norm solution is also the sparsest solution, SIAM Review, Vol. 56, No. 6, pp. 797–829, 2006

#### Converting the 1-Norm Optimization Into a Linear Programming Problem

- $\min \|\mathbf{E}[k]\|_1 = \min(\sum_{i=1}^q |E_i[k]|)$
- Let  $E_i^+, E_i^-$  be such that  $|E_i| = E_i^+ + E_i^-, E_i = E_i^+ E_i^-,$ and  $E_i^+ E_i^- = 0$
- Then we obtain

min 
$$(E_1^+ + E_1^-) + (E_2^+ + E_2^-) + \dots + (E_q^+ + E_q^-)$$
  
subject to  $\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{E}^+ - \boldsymbol{E}^-) = \boldsymbol{Z}$   
 $\boldsymbol{E}^+, \boldsymbol{E}^- \ge 0,$ 

where 
$$\mathbf{E}^+ = [E_1^+ \cdots E_q^+]^\top$$
,  $\mathbf{E}^- = [E_1^- \cdots E_q^-]^\top$ , and  $q = \tau p + (\tau - 1)m$ 

#### Linear Programming Program in Standard Form

- Let  $\boldsymbol{x}_{lp} = [\boldsymbol{E}^{+\top} \quad \boldsymbol{E}^{-\top}]^{\top}$
- Let  $c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{2q}$
- Let  $\boldsymbol{A}_{lp} = [\boldsymbol{W} \quad -\boldsymbol{W}]$
- Then we have

$$egin{array}{ll} \min & oldsymbol{c}^{ op} oldsymbol{x}_{lp} \ \mathrm{subject\ to} & oldsymbol{A}_{lp} oldsymbol{x}_{lp} = oldsymbol{Z} \ oldsymbol{x}_{lp} \geq 0 \end{array}$$

• The above linear programming problem can be solved using standard methods

#### Recovering Output Sensor Error $e_s[k]$

- Choose  $\tau$  such that  $(\tau p n) \ge 2(i_s + i_a)$
- **2** Compute Y[k] and matrices  $\mathcal{O}^{\tau-1}$  and  $\Omega[k]$
- **3** Find left annihilator,  $\boldsymbol{Q}_2^{\top}$  of  $\mathcal{O}^{\tau-1}$
- **①** Construct the optimization problem, where  $\boldsymbol{Z}[k] = \boldsymbol{Q}_2^{\top} \boldsymbol{Y}[k]$ ,  $\boldsymbol{W}[k] = \boldsymbol{Q}_2^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Omega}[k]$
- **5** Solve optimization problem for  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{E}}[k]$
- **©** Compute  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{e}}_s[k]$  that approximates  $\boldsymbol{e}_s[k]$

### State Observer = UIO + Output Sensor Error Estimator

