

ECE 602: LUMPED LINEAR SYSTEMS

Professor Stan Žak

Controllability Tests for Continuous-Time (CT)
Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) Systems

Controllability Tests for Continuous-Time (CT) Linear Time-Invariant (LTI) Systems

- **Objective:** Discuss different controllability tests for CT LTI controlled systems modeled as

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t), \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0,$$

where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$

- Recall the solution of the system

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}t}\mathbf{x}(0) + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(\tau)d\tau$$

Reachability and Controllability Definitions

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = e^{\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{x}(0) + \int_0^t e^{\mathbf{A}(t-\tau)} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(\tau) d\tau$$

The system $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t)$ is reachable if for any \mathbf{x}_f there is $t_1 > 0$ and a control law, $\mathbf{u}(\cdot)$, that transfers $\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{0}$ to $\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \mathbf{x}_f$

The system $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t)$ is controllable if there is a control law $\mathbf{u}(\cdot)$ that transfers any initial state $\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{x}_0$ to the origin at some time $t_1 > t_0$

- For **continuous-time** LTI systems controllability and reachability are equivalent

Some Controllability Tests

The following are equivalent:

- ① The system $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t)$ is controllable
- ② $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{AB} & \cdots & \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = n$
- ③ The controllability Gramian

$$\mathbf{W}(t_0, t_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{-\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B}^\top e^{-\mathbf{A}^\top t} dt$$

is non-singular for all $t_1 > t_0$

- ④ The Popov-Belevitch-Hautus (PBH) Test
 $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} s\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = n$ for all $s \in \text{eig}(\mathbf{A})$

Methods of Proof

- A sentence is a part of a language
- Sentences are used to make different statements
- Statements are either true or false
- Consider the following two statements:
 $A : x > 7;$
 $B : x^2 > 49.$
- We can combine the above two statements into one statement, called a *conditional*, that has the form: “IF A THEN B .”
- A conditional is a compound statement obtained by placing the word “IF” before the first statement and inserting the word “THEN” before the second statement

Methods of Proof—Contd

- The symbol " \Rightarrow " is used to represent the conditional "IF A THEN B " as $A \Rightarrow B$
- The statement $A \Rightarrow B$ also reads as " A implies B ," or " A only if B ," or " A is sufficient for B ," or " B is necessary for A "
- Statements A and B may either be true or false
- The relationship between the truth or falsity of A and B and the conditional $A \Rightarrow B$ can be illustrated by means of a diagram called a *truth table*
- In the table, T stands for "true," and F stands for "false"

A	B	$A \Rightarrow B$
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	F
T	T	T

More on Methods of Proof

A	B	$A \Rightarrow B$
F	F	T
F	T	T
T	F	F
T	T	T

- It is intuitively clear that if A is true, then B must also be true for the statement $A \Rightarrow B$ to be true
- If A is not true, then the sentence $A \Rightarrow B$ does not have an obvious meaning in everyday language
- Interpret $A \Rightarrow B$ to mean that we cannot have A true and B not true
- Check that the truth values of the statements $A \Rightarrow B$ and “not (A and (not B))” are the same—proof by contradiction
- Check that the truth values of $A \Rightarrow B$ and “not $B \Rightarrow$ not A ” are the same—proof by contraposition

Let Us Show (2) \implies (4)

- $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & \dots & A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix} = n \implies$
 $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} sI_n - A & B \end{bmatrix} = n$ for all $s \in \text{eig}(A)$
- Proof by contraposition

$$S_1 \implies S_2 \iff (\text{NOT } S_2) \implies (\text{NOT } S_1)$$

- NOT S_2 means, there is a vector $\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that for some $s \in \text{eig}(A)$,

$$\mathbf{q}^\top \begin{bmatrix} sI_n - A & B \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}^\top,$$

that is,

$$\mathbf{q}^\top A = s\mathbf{q}^\top, \quad \mathbf{q}^\top B = \mathbf{0}^\top$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}^\top AB &= s\mathbf{q}^\top B = \mathbf{0}^\top \\ \mathbf{q}^\top A^2B &= s\mathbf{q}^\top AB = \mathbf{0}^\top \end{aligned}$$

Showing (2) \implies (4)

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}q^\top AB &= sq^\top B = \mathbf{0}^\top \\ q^\top A^2 B &= sq^\top AB = \mathbf{0}^\top\end{aligned}$$

- Continue to obtain

$$q^\top A^{n-1} B = sq^\top A^{n-2} B = \mathbf{0}^\top.$$

- Write the above as

$$q^\top \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & \cdots & A^{n-1} B \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}^\top,$$

- This means that the rank of the controllability matrix of the pair (A, B) is less than n , which is

NOT S_1

QED

Showing (1) \implies (2)

- We are to show $S_1 =$ The system $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t)$ is controllable $\implies S_2 = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{AB} & \dots & \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = n$
- Proof by contradiction

$$S_1 \implies S_2 \iff \text{NOT } (S_1 \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } S_2))$$

- NOT S_2 means

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{AB} & \dots & \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} < n,$$

- Then, there is a constant n -vector $\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{0}$ such that

$$\mathbf{q}^\top \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}^\top, \quad \mathbf{q}^\top \mathbf{AB} = \mathbf{0}^\top, \dots, \mathbf{q}^\top \mathbf{A}^{n-1}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}^\top$$

- By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem the matrix \mathbf{A} satisfies its own characteristic equation
- Hence

$$\mathbf{A}^n = -a_{n-1}\mathbf{A}^{n-1} - \dots - a_1\mathbf{A} - a_0\mathbf{I}_n$$

$$(1) \implies (2)$$

- We have

$$\mathbf{q}^\top \mathbf{A}^n \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{q}^\top (-a_{n-1} \mathbf{A}^{n-1} \mathbf{B} - \dots - a_1 \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} - a_0 \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{0}^\top$$

- By induction

$$\mathbf{q}^\top \mathbf{A}^i \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}^\top \quad \text{for } i = n+1, n+2, \dots$$

- Let now $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \mathbf{0}$
- We will show that there is no control law that can transfer the system from $\mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{q}$ to $\mathbf{x}(t_1) = \mathbf{0}$
- From the solution formula for the controlled system, we obtain

$$-\mathbf{q} = \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(t) dt$$

- Premultiply by \mathbf{q}^\top

$$0 \neq -\|\mathbf{q}\|^2 = \int_0^{t_1} \mathbf{q}^\top e^{-\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(t) dt = 0$$

(1) \implies (2)—Contd

- We have

$$0 \neq \|\mathbf{q}\|^2 = \int_0^{t_1} \mathbf{q}^\top e^{-\mathbf{A}t} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}(t) dt = 0$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}^\top e^{\mathbf{A}(t_1-t)} \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{q}^\top \left(\mathbf{B} + (t_1 - t) \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} + \frac{(t_1 - t)^2}{2!} \mathbf{A}^2 \mathbf{B} + \dots \right) \\ &= \mathbf{0}^\top \end{aligned}$$

- A CONTRADICTION $\implies \Longleftarrow$
- We showed

$$(1) \implies (2) \iff \text{NOT } ((1) \text{ AND } (\text{NOT } (2)))$$

QED