12/15/2023, 11:04 AM

Case Study

Consider the following optimization problem:

$$\min \ rac{x^{ op}Qx}{x^{ op}Px},$$

where $Q=Q^ op\succ 0$ and $P=P^ op\succ 0$. The above could represent the optimization problem:

$$\min \frac{-\dot{V}(x)}{V(x)},$$

where
$$V(x) = x^ op P x$$
 , $\dot{V}(x) = -x^ op Q x$, and $A^ op P + P A = -Q$.

Note that if a point $x=[x_1,\dots,x_n]^ op$ is a solution to the problem, then so is any nonzero scalar multiple of it,

$$tx = [tx_1, \dots, tx_n]^ op, \qquad t
eq 0.$$

Indeed,

$$rac{(tx)^ op Q(tx)}{(tx)^ op P(tx)} = rac{t^2x^ op Qx}{t^2x^ op Px} = rac{x^ op Qx}{x^ op Px}.$$

Therefore, to avoid the multiplicity of solutions, we further impose the constraint

$$x^{ op}Px=1.$$

The optimization problem becomes

$$\min x^{ op}Qx$$
 subject to $x^{ op}Px=1.$

Let us write

$$f(x) = x^ op Q x, \ h(x) = 1 - x^ op P x.$$

We now apply Lagrange's method. We first form the Lagrangian function

$$l(x,\lambda) = x^{ op}Qx + \lambda(1-x^{ op}Px).$$

Applying the Lagrange condition yields

1 of 2

$$egin{aligned} D_x l(x,\lambda) &= 2x^ op Q - 2\lambda x^ op P = 0^ op, \ D_\lambda l(x,\lambda) &= 1 - x^ op P x = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first of the equations above can be represented as

$$Qx - \lambda Px = 0$$

or

$$(\lambda P - Q)x = 0.$$

This representation is possible because $P=P^ op$ and $Q=Q^ op$. By assumption $P\succ 0$, and hence, P^{-1} exists. Premultiplying $(\lambda P-Q)x=0$ by P^{-1} , we obtain

$$(\lambda I_n - P^{-1}Q)x = 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$P^{-1}Qx = \lambda x.$$

Therefore, the solution, if it exists, is an eigenvector of $P^{-1}Q$, and the Lagrange multiplier is the corresponding eigenvalue. As usual, let x^* and λ^* be the optimal solution. Because $x^{*\top}Px^*=1$ and $P^{-1}Qx^*=\lambda^*x^*$, we have

$$\lambda^* = x^{* op}Qx^*.$$

Hence, λ^* is the minimum of the objective function, and therefore is, in fact, the minimal eigenvalue of $P^{-1}Q$. It is also called the minimal **generalized eigenvalue**.

